

Explanatus abstract C 2^d p^d 1855

"House of Knowledge & Co" &c

In the duplicate of this House the promoter
describ'd for \$553, instead of \$533 as stated in
the remarks - The original bill was for \$605.

but Mr Culver had advanced him \$52 - which
was deducted as shown on the voucher and
no claim has been set up for the original

amount but only as has been receipted ^{for} \$553.
Mr Culver certifies to the purchase, and ^{subsequently} receipts
for the articles on his property, return

~~The reason for the delay was in consequence of the
judge not calling for the amount. Mr Culver
at the time Mr Culver purchased the articles
he had no funds in his hands. And the delay
was in consequence of his ^{involuntarily} not calling for the amount.~~

I find the amt upon the certificate of Mr Culver that
these articles had been purchased - and upon the
members own statement, that such had been at about
time by Mr Culver - ^{to purchase} ^{abstract} and ^{of} entirely that the
assert is correct and just and that you have actually
this 5th day of May 1855 find the amt
of

Lael Palmer
Capt and officer

affirmations in support of
purchase of Abstract
2d of 1855