8081

PB80-213523

Human Intestinal Parasitic Infections and Environmental Health Factors in Rural Egyptian Communities. A Report of the U.S.-Egyptian River Nile and Lake Nasser Research Project

Michigan Univ. Ann Arbor

Prepared for

Environmental Research Lab. Athens, GA

Jul 80

U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service United States Environmental Protection Agency

Ressarch and Development

Environmental Research Caterratory Athens GA 30605

EPA-600 1 80 024 July 1980

PEA0-213523



Human Intestinal Parasitic Infections and Environmental Health Factors in Rural Egyptian Communities



RESEARCH REPORTING SERIES

Research reports of the Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, have been grouped into nine series. These nine broad categories were established to facilitate further development and application of environmental technology. Elimination of traditional grouping was consciously planned to foster technology transfer and a maximum interface in related fields. The nine series are

- 1 Environmental Health Effects Research
- 2 Environmental Protection Technology
- 3 Ecological Research
- 4 Environmental Monitoring
- 5 Socioeconomic Environmental Studies
- 6 Scientific and Technical Assessment Reports (STAR)
- 7 Interagency Energy-Environment Research and Development
- 8 Special" Reports
- 9 Miscallaneous Reports

This report has been assigned to the ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH EFFECTS RE-SEARCH series. This series describes projects and studies relating to the tolerances of man for unhealthful substances or conditions. This work is generally assessed from a medical viewpoint, including physiological or psychological studies. In addition to toxicology and other medical specialities, study areas include biomedical instrumentation and health research techniques utilizing animats — but always with intended application to human health measures.

This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia, 22161

(Plcasc read Instruc	NICAL REPORT DATA ctions on the reverse before comple	ting)	
EPA-600/1-80-024	3.	RECIPIENT'S	21 3523
A TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5.	REPORT DATE	E
Human Intestinal Parasitic Infection	as and Environmen-	July 19	980
tal Health Factors in Rural Egyptian	n Communities	PERFORMING	ORGANIZATION CODE
AUTHOR(S)		PERFORMING	OPCANIZATION PERCET
F.D. Miller, M. Hussein, K.H. Maney.	, and M.S. Hilbert	PERFORMING	UNGANIZATION REPORT
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10	A 28 BILA	LEMENT NO.
University of Michigan		ACODIA	
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109	11	CONTRACT/C	SRANT NO.
Alexandria		Project N	oreign Currency
2 SPONSOBING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS		IIOJecc M	0. 03-942-1
Environmental Research LaboratoryA	Athens GA	Final	ORT AND PERIOD COVERI
U.S. Environmental Protection Accord	14	SPONSORING	AGENCY CODE
Athens, Gerogia 30605		EPA/600/0	1
5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
First report in series: <u>3chistosomi</u>	asis in Rural Ngypt	(EPA-600/	1-78-070)
ABSTRACT			
located Nubian population was also i persons in 41 villages. More than 9 stool specimen. Environmental healt	a, Upper Middle Egyp ncluded. The total 5% attended and appr	t and Uppe sampling i oximately	er Egypt. The re- included 15,664 90% provided a
located Nubian population was also i persons in 41 villages. More than 9 stool specimen. Environmental healt the households from which a fimily w pling within a study site (which inc vide a probability of selection. Sto parasites and ova at a central labor. The findings indicated a very 1 low prevalence of <u>Ancylostoma</u> and the Important features such as the tainer), and cooking fuel were evalue wastewater use was developed. Also, parasitic infections of interest and was compiled for the first time.	a, Upper Middle Egyp ncluded. Theytotal 5% attended and appr h observations and m as selected and in t luded one or more vi ool specimens were p atory using the MIFC low prevalence for al e <u>Ascaris</u> infections household stable, th ated. Considerable : a review of the avai environmental health	t and Upper sampling i oximately easures we he villages) was reserved a technique 11 helmint was found ne zir (a informatio ilable informatio	er Egypt. The re- included 15,664 90% provided a ere made in each o e environs. Sam- as designed to pro and examined for e. whic infections. the infections. the storage con on on water and formation on the ms for rural Egyp
located Nubian population was also i persons in 41 villages. More than 9 stool specimen. Environmental healt the households from which a family w pling within a study site (which inc. vide a probability of selection. Ste parasites and ova at a central labor. The findings indicated a very 1 low prevalence of <u>Ancylostoma</u> and the Important features such as the tainer), and cooking fuel were evalue wastewater use was developed. Also, parasitic infections of interest and was compiled for the first time.	a, Upper Middle Egyp ncluded. Theytotal 5% attended and appr h observations and m as selected and in t luded one or more vi ool specimens were p atory using the MIFC low prevalence for al e <u>Ascaris</u> infections household stable, th ated. Considerable : a review of the ava: environmental health	t and Upper sampling i oximately easures we he village llages) wa reserved a technique ll helmint was found he zir (a informatio ilable informatio	er Egypt. The re- included 15,664 90% provided a ere made in each o e environs. Sam- as designed to pro and examined for e. whic infections. this infections. the storage con on on water and formation on the ons for rural Egyp
located Nubian population was also i persons in 41 villages. More than 9 stool specimen. Environmental healt the households from which a family w pling within a study site (which inc. vide a probability of selection. Ste parasites and ova at a central labor. The findings indicated a very 1 low prevalence of <u>Ancylostoma</u> and the Important features such as the tainer), and cooking fuel were evalue wastewater use was developed. Also, parasitic infections of interest and was compiled for the first time. <u>DESCRIPTORS</u>	a, Upper Middle Egyp ncluded. Theytotal 5% attended and appr h observations and m as selected and in t luded one or more vi ool specimens were p atory using the MIFC low provalence for al e <u>Ascaris</u> infections household stable, th ated. Considerable : a review of the ava: environmental health <u>AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS</u> b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN 6	t and Upper sampling i oximately easures we he villages) we reserved a technique 11 helmint was found ne zir (a informatio ilable informatio	c. COSATI Field/Group
located Nubian population was also i persons in 41 villages. More than 9 stool specimen. Environmental healt the households from which a family w pling within a study site (which inc. vide a probability of selection. Sto parasites and ova at a central labor. The findings indicated a very 1 low prevalence of <u>Ancylostoma</u> and the Important features such as the tainer), and cooking fuel were evalue wastewater use was developed. Also, parasitic infections of interest and was compiled for the first time. <u>DESCRIPTORS</u> Disease Vectors	a, Upper Middle Egyp ncluded. Theytotal 5% attended and appr h observations and m as selected and in t luded one or more vi ool specimens were p atory using the MIFC low prevalence for al e <u>Ascaris</u> infections household stable, th ated. Considerable a a review of the avai environmental health	t and Upper sampling i oximately easures we he villages) we reserved a technique 11 helmint was found ne zir (a informatio ilable inf n conditio	c. COSATI Field/Group of Field/Group c. COSATI Field/Group
located Nubian population was also i persons in 41 villages. More than 9 stool specimen. Environmental healt the households from which a fimily w pling within a study site (which inc. vide a probability of selection. Sto parasites and ova at a central labor. The findings indicated a very 1 low prevalence of <u>Ancylostoma</u> and the Important features such as the tainer), and cooking fuel were evalue wastewater use was developed. Also, parasitic infections of interest and was compiled for the first time. <u>KEY WORDS</u> Disease Vectors Infectious Diseases	a, Upper Middle Egyp ncluded. Theytotal 5% attended and appr h observations and m as selected and in t luded one or more vi ool specimens were p atory using the MIFC low prevalence for al e <u>Ascaris</u> infections household stable, th ated. Considerable to a review of the avai environmental health	t and Upper sampling i oximately easures we he villages) we reserved a technique 11 helmint was found the zir (a informatio ilable inf conditio	c. COSATI Field/Group 06F 135 135 135 135 135 135 135 135
located Nubian population was also i persons in 41 villages. More than 9 stool specimen. Environmental healt the households from which a fimily w pling within a study site (which inc vide a probability of selection. Sto parasites and ova at a central labor. The findings indicated a very 1 low prevalence of <u>Ancylostoma</u> and the Important features such as the tainer), and cooking fuel were evalue wastewater use was developed. Also, parasitic infections of interest and was compiled for the first time. <u>KEY WORDS</u> Disease Vectors Infectious Diseases Parasites	a, Upper Middle Egyp ncluded. Theytotal 5% attended and appr h observations and m as selected and in t luded one or more vi ool specimens were p atory using the MIFC low prevalence for al e <u>Ascaris</u> infections household stable, th ated. Considerable a review of the avai environmental health b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN (t and Upper sampling i oximately easures we he villages) we reserved a technique 11 helmint was found the zir (a informatio ilable inf conditio	c. COSATI Field/Group C. COSATI Field/Group C. COSATI Field/Group
located Nubian population was also i persons in 41 villages. More than 9 stool specimen. Environmental healt the households from which a fimily w pling within a study site (which inc vide a probability of selection. Sto parasites and ova at a central labor: The findings indicated a very 1 low prevalence of <u>Ancylostoma</u> and the Important features such as the tainer), and cooking fuel were evalue wastewater use was developed. Also, parasitic infections of interest and was compiled for the first time. <u>KEY WORDS</u> Disease Vectors Infectious Diseases Parasites Public Health	a, Upper Middle Egyp ncluded. Theytotal 5% attended and appr h observations and m as selected and in t luded one or more vi ool specimens were p atory using the MIFC low prevalence for al e <u>Ascaris</u> infections household stable, th ated. Considerable : a review of the ava: environmental health <u>AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS</u> b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN (t and Uppe sampling i oximately easures we he village llages) wa reserved a technique ll helmint was found ne zir (a informatio ilable inf n conditio	c. COSATI Field/Group C. COSATI Field/Group
Include Mubian population was also i persons in 41 villages. More than 9 stool specimen. Environmental healt the households from which a fimily w pling within a study site (which inc vide a probability of selection. Ste parasites and ova at a central labor. The findings indicated a very 1 low prevalence of <u>Ancylostoma</u> and the Important features such as the tainer), and cooking fuel were evalue wastewater use was developed. Also, parasitic infections of interest and was compiled for the first time. <u>KEY WORDS</u> Disease Vectors Infectious Diseases Parasites Public Health DISTAIBUTION STATEMENT	a, Upper Middle Egyp ncluded. Theytotal 5% attended and appr h observations and m as selected and in t luded one or more vi ool specimens were p atory using the MIFC low prevalence for al e <u>Ascaris</u> infections household stable, th ated. Considerable : a review of the ava: environmental health <u>AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS</u> b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN (t and Upper sampling i oximately easures we he village llages) wa reserved a technique ll helmint was found he zir (a informatio ilable informatio	c. COSATI Field/Group C. COSATI Field/Group
Include Nubian population was also i persons in 41 villages. More than 9 stool specimen. Environmental healt the households from which a fimily w pling within a study site (which inc vide a probability of selection. Ste parasites and ova at a central labor. The findings indicated a very 1 low prevalence of <u>Ancylostoma</u> and the Important features such as the tainer), and cooking fuel were evalue wastewater use was developed. Also, parasitic infections of interest and was compiled for the first time. <u>KEY WORDS</u> Disease Vectors Infectious Diseases Parasites Public Health DISTAIBUTION STATEMENT RELEAC TO PUBLIC	a, Upper Middle Egyp ncluded. Theytotal 5% attended and appr h observations and m as selected and in t luded one or more vi ool specimens were p atory using the MIFC low prevalence for al e <u>Ascaris</u> infections household stable, th ated. Considerable : a review of the ava: environmental health <u>AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS</u> b.IDENTIFIERS/OPEN (19. SECURITY CLASS ()	t and Upper sampling is oximately easures we he village llages) wa reserved a technique ll helmint was found he zir (a informatio ilable informatio	c. COSATI Field/Group C. COSATI Field/Group C. COSATI Field/Group

ε

EPA-600/1-80-024 July 1980

HUMAN INTESTINAL PARASITIC INFECTIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH FACTORS IN RURAL EGYPTIAN COMMUNITIES

A Report of the U.S.-Egyptian River Nile and Lake Nasser Research Project

by

F. DeWolfe Miller Mohamad Hussein Khalil H. Mancy Morton S. Hilbert

The University of Michigan School of Public Health Ann Arbor, Michigan, U.S.A. 48109 and The University of Alexandria High Institute of Public Health Alexandria, A.R. Egypt

Special Foreign Currency Project No. 03-542-1

Project Officer

Walter M. Sanders, III Environmental Research Laboratory Athens, Georgia, U.S.A. 30605

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY ATHENS, GEORGIA 30605

j.a

DISCLAIMER

This report has been reviewed by the Environmental Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, Georgia, and approved for publication. Approval does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views and policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recomendation for use.

FOREWORD

After centuries of annual flooding and drought, the construction of the Aswan High Dam has provided effective flow control to the River Nile as it enters the fertile Egyptian Nile Valley. The dam has resulted in the production of hydroelectric power for municipal, agricultural, and industrial use, and the continuous availability of water has increased agricultural productivity. Optimum benefits from a project of this magnitude cannot be fully realized, however, until the major environmental, agricultural, social, economic, and public health impacts have been incorporated into strategies for managing the water resources within the basin. In 1975, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the Ford Foundation began support of a 5-year, multifaceted research program conducted by the Egyptian Academy of Scientific Research and Technology and related institutions and the University of Michigan to provide the information needed for comprehensive water quality management in the Nile Valley.

Although the project addresses issues of vital importance to Egypt, the knowledge gained also will be of significant benefit to the general scientific community. For example, water resources management models developed for the Nile Basin can be applied to some river basins in the United States.

This report, a companion document to <u>Schistosomiasis in Rural Egypt</u> (EPA-600/1-78-070), describes a survey of common intestinal parasites in the Nile Delta, Upper Middle Egypt, and Upper Egypt that was part of the public health portion of the U.S.-Egyptian project.

David W. Duttweiler Director Environmental Research Laboratory Athens, Georgia

iii

PREFACE

The Aswan High Dam was built for the purpose of water storage, river flow control and hydroelectric power production. The fulfillment of these goals is of vital importance to Egypt's agricultural and industrial development programs. This can be easily realized since the River Nile constitutes 90% of Egypt's fresh water resources and the present population of 38 million people inhabits approximately 4% of the land with the rest barren desert. Nevertheless, since its inception, the Aswan High Dam has been under unprecedented attacks in the news media and scientific literature. It has been blamed for causing serious ecological perturbation that resulted in reducing the fish population in the Mediterrean Sea, lowering the fertility of the Nile Valley, and markedly increasing schistosomiasis in Egypt. Our study, <u>Schistosomiasis in Rural Egypt</u>, however, indicated a marked decline in the disease prevalence over the past 40 years.

These research findings are the outcome of a comprehensive ongoing project dedicated to the study of the River Nile and the impacts of the Aswan High Dam on multipurpose river uses. This includes irrigation, community water supply, fishing, recreation, transportation, etc. The aim of this project is to provide the decision makers in Egypt with river management alternatives compatible with government goals for economic development. This includes the assessment of trade-offs and predictions of the outcome of each river resource management alternative. This is a joint project between the Egyptian Academy of Scientific Research and Technology and the University of Michigan. The technical and financial support of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the Ford Foundation, and the World Bank is highly appreciated.

> Khalil H. Mancy Principal Investigator School of Public Health University of Michigan

ίv

ABSTRACT

A survey of common intestinal parasites was completed in three areas of the Egyptian Nile Valley: The Nile Delta, Upper Middle Egypt and Upper Egypt. The relocated Nubian population was also included. The total sampling included 15,664 persons in 41 villages. More than 95% attended and approximately 90% provided a stool specimen. Environmental health observations and measures were made in each of the households from which a family was selected and in the village environs. Sampling within a study site (which included one or more villages) was designed in a faction to provide a probability of selection. Stool specimens were preserved and examined for parasites and ova at a central laboratory using the MIFC technique.

The findings indicated a very low prevalence for all helminthic infections. A low prevalence of <u>Ancylostoma</u> and the <u>Ascaris</u> infections was found.

Important features such as the household stable, the zir (a water storage container), and cooking fuel were evaluated. Considerable information on water and wastewater use was developed. Also, a review of the available information on the parasitic infections of interest and environmental health conditions for rural Egypt was compiled for the first time. This information is necessary for the design of accurate parasitic surveillance programs.

This study is the result of a joint effort by the University of Michigan and the Egyptian Academy of Scientific Research and Technology that was funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, The Ford Foundation, and the World Bank.

CONTENTS

1

5

*

FOREWORD								4			÷				÷										iii
PREFACE.							•	÷.	è.	÷.		4	4											а.	iv
TABLES															۰.		•						÷.		viii
ACKNOWLE	DGMENTS	• • •	• •	•	• •	•	•	÷	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		х
CHAPTER																									
Ι.	INTRODUCT	ION	1.1			5	3.	3	5	3	Ξ.	1	ġ.	2		j,				5					1
	Backgrou	und		1	2.2		0	2	÷		2		2		â	3	1	1		2	1	1	1		1
	The Set	ting:	Eg	ypt						•	÷			•	÷		÷	÷		÷	÷	÷		2	3
II.	CONCLUSIO	NS AND	RE	COM	MEN	DAT	TIC	NS	5			•			•				÷	•			4	÷	5
III.	REVIEW OF	LITER	ATU	RE																					7
	Parasite	es Stu	died	f																					7
	Environ	nnetal	Hea	alt	h C	ond	lit	io	ns	; i	n	Ru	ira	al	Eg	SYI	ot		÷	•	•	•	•	•	9
IV.	MATERIALS	AND M	ETH	DDS																					16
	Descript	tion o	f tł	ne	"Do	wns	str	ea	m"	' S	stu	idy	r												16
	Descript	tion o	f th	ne	"Nu	bia	in"	S	tu	dy	,														17
	Data Aqu	uisiti	on										÷.			ί.		÷		÷					18
	Specific	c Data	Col	lle	cte	d					÷		à.	4	ς.									Ξ.	18
	Selectio	on of	the	Fi	eld	Su	irv	ey	S	it	es	5							÷						19
	Data Col	llecti	on !	lea	ms												•			•					21
	Review of	of the	Fac	cil	iti	es	an	đ	Fr	ep	ar	at	ic	n	of	N	lat	er	·ia	1					22
	Preserva	ation	of a	Sto	Dì	and	U	ri	ne	S	pe	eci	me	ens	5					•			•		24
	Examinat	tion o	f St	:00	l a	nd	Ur	in	e	Sp	ec	in	ier	ıs		•		•		•	÷.	•	÷	•	25
	Selectio	on of	the	Sa	mpl	e P	op	ul	at	io	n			•	•	•	•	•	۰.	•	•	٠.	•		27
	Examinat	tion o	f th	ie i	Env	iro	nm	en	t	an	d	th	le	Pc	ppu	112	ti	or	1	•		•		•	28
	Data Mar	nageme	nt a	ind	An	aly	si	s		•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	31
v.	RESULTS AN	ND DIS	CUSS	SIO	N																				33
	Parasiti	ic Inf	ecti	on	S										÷	÷	÷								33
	Environm	nental	Fac	to	rs	4							÷												41
	The Nubi	ians		•								×1	è.	÷	÷					•			÷	•	42
	The Down	istrea	m St	udy	y S	ite	S		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	÷	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	42
REFERENCI	s	• • •	• •			•	•	•	•	•	•	÷	•	•	•		·	•	•	•	•	•		•	45
APPENDIX	I. COMPOS	SITE T.	ABLE	s s	SHO	WIN	G	AN	0	VE	RV	IE	W	OF	M	AJ	OR	S	EC	TO	RS				
	OF EGY	(PT	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	÷	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	÷	÷		54

Preceding page blank

APPENDIX	II.	HOUSING, WATER SUPPLY, AND OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS 56
APPENDIX	III.	ANALYSIS OF ASSOCIATION BETWEEN PERCENT PREVALENCE
		OF SELECTED PARASITES AND ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES,
		AGE, SEX, AND STUDY SITES
APPENDIX	IV.	RAW COUNT DATA FOR HOUSING AND OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL
		VARIABLES

٠

TABLES

Page

Number		Pa	ge
1	Helminthic and Protozoan Parasites Screened for in the Selected Rural Egyptian Population		2
2	Summary of Prevalence Surveys for Selected Parasites in Egypt	i.	8
3	Distribution of Examined Population by Source of Water Supply		11
<u>1</u> 4	Distribution of Examined Population by Type of Housing	ł	12
5	Water and Wastewater Facilities in Upper-Middle Egypt	ξĺ	13
6	Tribe, Location, Village, and Number of Families Selected in Nubia, 1960	•	14
7	Housing Characteristics, Old Nubia, 1960		15
8	Water Supply and Lighting in Old Nubia, 1960	÷	15
9	Water Supply, Sanitation, and Prevalence of Parasitism in Rural Egypt	į	34
10	Water Supply by Use and Study Area	•	36
11	Relation of Water Supply to Parasites in the Nile Delta (Kafr El Sheikh), 1976	•	37
12	Relationship between Latrine and Infection with Selected Parasites in the Three "Downstream" Study Areas	•	39
13	Multiparasitic Infections in the Study Areas	÷	40
14	Percent Positive of Selected Parasites by Study Area	•	40
15	A Comparison of Parasite Prevalence (%) Before and After Resettlement in Nubia	·	41
т 1.	Environmental Factors		54

viii

TABLES, CONTINUED

Number		Page
I.2	Irrigation and Population	55
II.1	Housing Variables by Study Sites, Percent of Houses with Attributes (not including the Nubian study sites)	56
11.2	Distribution of Environmental and Housing Factors in Egyptian New Nubia, 1977, Percent of Houses with Attributes	74
II.3.I	Prevalence of Perasitosis in Egyptian Nubia, 1977	78
II.3.II	Age Distribution of Important Parasitic Infections in Egyptian Nubia, 1977	79
II.3.III	Sex Distribution of Important Parasitic Infections in Egyptian Nubia, 1977	80
II.3.IV	A Comparison of the Prevalence of Intestinal Helminthic and Protozoal Infestations (per 100 persons) by Age and Sex; Nubia, Egypt; 1963 and 1977	81
III.1	Analysis of Association, Kafr El Sheikh (Nile Delta)	82
III.2	Analysis of Association, Beni Suef (Upper Middle Egypt) .	98
III.3	Analysis of Association, Aswan (Upper Egypt)	114
IV.1	Raw Count Data, Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh	224
IV.2	Raw Count Data, Aswan and New Nubia	138

2

ıx

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work required the examination of almost 15,500 stool specimens which had been preserved in small 10 cc plastic bottles and shipped to the parasitology laboratory at the High Institute of Public Health from the various study sites. The laboratory was directed by Associate Professor Rashida Barakat, M.D. and supervised by Lecturer Ebtesam Omar, M.D. In addition, there were seven employees, all doctors of medicine who, as an extension of their training in parasitology, helped in the examination of these specimens. To them we are deeply indebted.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

This report is the second and final part of an analysis of data collected in rural Egypt on parasitic infections and key environmental variables. The study objectives, study design and methodology have been described in detail in the first part entitled <u>Schistopomiasis in Eural Egypt: A Second of</u> <u>U.S.-Egyptian River Sile and Take Sasser Sesearch Project</u> (Miller et al., 1978). Salient features of the study design and methodology are included in this report for purposes of clarity.

The objectives of this study were to obtain information on the prevalence of helminth and protozoan infections in selected rural Egyptian communities in collaboration with the River Nile-Lake Nasser Study, a joint University of Michigan-Egyptian Academy of Scientific Research and Technology project. The River Nile-Lake Nasser Project's prime objectives have been to evaluate the overall environmental impact of the Aswan High Dam (AHD).

Diverse disciplines have been incorporated into this project in an attempt to obtain an integrated picture of changes occuring in the Egyptian Nile Lisin, following the formation of the large man-made lake, Lake Nasser. It was in this vein that the design of the study reported here was formulated.

The parasites screened for are typical helminth and protozoan infections of the intestinal tract, common to tropical and semi-tropical areas of the world and detected by the identification of the characteristic ova, cysts or tropozoites in stool specimens. Frequently these infections are associated with water supply and use, as well as waste water disposal practices. It was felt that basic for damental knowledge of the current prevalence, distribution and secular trends were important to determine the magnitude of the water management schemes now under development as a result of the formation of Lake Nasser. Furthermore, the implication of changes in prevalence or transmission of these parasites, directly are indirectly, as a related function of the lake formation was explored in an attempt to more completely follow the impact of the High Dam construction in the health of the rural Egyptian population.

Table 1 is a list of these parasites and a brief description of their principal mode of transmission. Some of these parasites have no direct relation with water supply, but were included as the methods of examination used to reveal these infections.

1

TABLE 1

HELMINTHIC AND PROTOZOAN PARASITES SCREENED FOR IN THE SELECTED RURAL EGYPTIAN POPULATION

Pathogen

Helminth

Ascaris lumbricoides Trichuris trichuris Enterohius vermicularis Ancylostoma duodenale Strongyloides stercoralis Taenia spp# Trichostrongylus spp Hymenolepis nana Heterophyes heterophyes Fasciola hepatica	roundworm whipworm pinworm hockworm threadworm tapeworms dwarf tapeworm	<pre>man/pig-soil-man man-soil-man man-man man-soil-man man-soil-man man-cattle/pig-man man-soil-man man/rodent-man man-snail-fish-man</pre>
Fasciola gigantica Protozoans	liver fluke	man/sheep-snail-man man/sheep-snail-man
<u>Giardia lamblia</u> <u>Entamoeba histolylica</u> <u>Entamoeba coli</u> <u>Entamoeba hartmanni</u> <u>Iodamoeba butschlii</u> <u>Chilomastix mesnili</u> <u>Trichomonas hominis</u> <u>Dientamoeba fragilis</u> <u>Endolimax nana</u>	intestinal flagellate intestinal amoeba intestinal amoeba intestinal amoeba intestinal amoeba intestinal flagellate genital flagellate intestinal amoeba intestinal amoeba	man-man man-man man-man man-man man-man man-man man-man man-man

* The ova of this genus are not morphologically differentiable.

2

Specifically, the objectives of this study were to:

- Obtain and critically review all available past information of the prevalence, distribution, incidence and transmission of the selected parasites in an effort to establish baseline and trend data for the rural Egyptian population.
- Obtain measures and estimates of the point prevalence of the selected parasites in rural Egyptian communities.
- Show the changes in the epidemiology of the selected parasites through comparisons with assessed historical data.
- In general, collect, assess and analyze environmental health data in parallel with the parasite surveys in the rural study sites.
- Illustrate any village environmental e.fects on the transmission of the selected parasites studied.
- 6. Suggest appropriate needs in light of the findings.

THE SETTING: EGYPT

This study included 41 rural villages in 4 major and separate study areas in rural Egypt. In order that the reader have a clear picture of the study areas, and an understanding of the rationale in selecting these sites, the following notes have been prepared.

Egypt is made up of several distinct sectors. The largest sector is made up of the eastern and western deserts which account for over 90% of the land mass. The area it populated rather sparsely by nomads, with few small settlements. Located in the western desert are several more populated oases. El Kharga and El Dakhla cases, in what is referred to as the new valley, are sites of recent agricultural development and currently have a combined population of about 76,000 persons. There are also populated settlements along the western Mediterranean shoreline and along the Suez Canal. The remaining 99% of the Egyptian population is compressed in the Nile Valley and in the Nile Delta, 3.5% of the country's land mass. The population density in these areas has been estimated at 2,400 persons per square mile (Waterbury, 1971). For the purposes of this study, the Nile Valley has been divided into:

- (a) the delta or lower Egypt,
- (b) Upper-"iddle Egypt, between the delta and Assyut, and
- (c) southern or Upper Egypt located between Assyut and the Aswan High Dam (AHD). Before the AHD was constructed, there existed a people called Nubians located between Aswan and the Sudanese border. When the new lake inundated this area, the Nubians were resettled, en masse, in Kom Ombo, an agricultural plain about 75 km north of Aswan, and in Kheshm El Girba, in the Sudan.

The population of Egypt has always been predominatly "rural;" described by Scott (1937) as persons "whose habits of life bring them into contact with fields and canals where infestations with parasites may be acquired." In 1937, 11.49 million persons were living in a rural setting; 72% of the total population of 15.92 million. By 1960, 62% were rural. The rural population has further declined to 56.1%, according to the last census survey conducted in 1976 (Capmas, 1976).

The distribution of the Egyptian population is as follows: 60% of the population resides in Cairo or north of Cairo in the Nile Delta or Lower Egypt, 23% live in Upper-Middle Egypt, and 12% live in Upper Egypt (Omran, 1973). Males compromise 53% of the total population (Capmas, 1976).

CHAPTER II

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The key results of this investigation were; 1) that the parasite infections <u>Ascaris and Ancylostoma</u> are not elevated to high levels of prevalence at any of the village studies sites, 2) the high prevalence of <u>Entameoba</u> infections were present at all study sites, 3) the Nubians have not been adversely affected by their relocation in respect to the parasites studied or environmental health conditions which were greatly improved and 4) the most extensive descriptive data on environmental health conditions for rural Egyptian villages has been collected and assessed.

Conversations with officials from the Ministry of Health (the Secretary General of parasitic diseases) in 1975 before the field activities indicated that hookworm infections were low throughout Egypt, but that <u>Ascaris</u> was quite common in both the urban and rural sectors. The surprising findings of very low number of persons infected with <u>Ascaris</u> in the sample remains, in part, unresolved.

It had been indicated that piperazine had been widely distributed by the rural health units and centers in the period just previous to the field collection of specimens. This, in part, may have caused a sharp reduction in prevalence. Also, in Upper Egypt and in Nubia the prevaling opinion of the local practitioner was that both <u>Ascaris</u> and <u>Ancylostoma</u> were infrequent infections. Zawahry's findings in 1964 in Old Nubia are in agreement with these attitudes, and the results from New Nubia and Aswan are also consistent. It is with considerable reserve, however, that a figure of 8.4% is presented as a reasonable estimate of <u>Ascaris</u> infection in the Nile Delta. A more specialized small scale study aimed at establishing current rural health programs in the control of <u>Ascaris</u> transmission and concurrent prevalence surveillance is recommended. The primary design of such a study should be directed at obtaining the minimum amount of evidence necessary to determine the order of magnitude of <u>Ascaris</u> infectation in the population.

Based on the assumption that intestinal protozoan infections which have a fecal-oral route are an indicator of hygienic conditions, the high prevalence of the Entameoba infections indicates a strong need in this area. It is encouraging that <u>E. histolytic</u> was not found as frequently as did Weir et. al. (1952). Rather, <u>E. coli</u> infections were the most frequently identified <u>Entameoba</u>, at about 10 to 1 ratio. <u>E. coli</u> is not considered a pathogen and specific measures for control are not recommended. It is expected that an increase in water availability and water use would improve the hygienic conditions of the rural Egyptian villager and would result in an improvement in his/her well being. Indeed, it is water, specifically the supply of clean,

5

cheap, ready available water that is wholeheartily recommended. Furthermore, any system that would increase the quantity, quality and use of water by the villagers should be considered. Appropriate technology rather than imported technology should be stimulated to meet these needs. In respect to water use and appropriate technological developments the household water container, the zir, must be taken into consideration. As revealed in the descriptive environmental health data, the zir, the stable and the fuel materials used for cooking are high priorities for improvement of the immediate environment of the Egyptian villager. Historical information indicated that the progress for installing latrines have been fairly well accepted and that standpipes or latrines are not a novelty in rural Egypt. This is encouraging, although there still remains a strong need for waste disposal in the sample from Upper-Middle Egypt.

The Nubians, in terms of parasitosis, were not found to vary greatly from their fellow Saidis (Upper Egyptians). This is a positive finding in that following the relocation of the Nubian tribes, it was expected that there would be a fall in their health status as measured by these studies. The same recommendations applied, therefore, to the Nubians in that improved water and hygiene measures are needed.

CHAPTER III.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

PARASITES STUDIED

There is no previous review of surveys available on the prevalence, distribution and transmission of these parasites in the Egyptian population. It is understandable that if historical information can be found, it must be assessed before a parasitic survey can be planned effectively. The search for historical data paralleled the assessment of the literature for schistosomiasis that has been published in the first part (Miller, et al., 1978). Like the information found for schistosomiasis, more historical data was uncovered than had been expected, although there are obvious restrictions on the interpretation of this past data. Important and fundamental aspects of the prevalence and distribution of these parasites were locatable. The principle reasons for restricting the direct comparison of these different studies are: the differences used in detecting the various parasitic infections in the excreta, and in the methods used to sample the human population from which specimens were obtained. Standardization in detection of parasites and ova in the stool remains to be established and is a recurrent source of ciiticism. However, sampling methodologies have clearly established that sample selection, based on probability is necessary before statistical measures, whether descriptive or analytical, can be applied. The latter aspect was frequently ignored altogether, where as detection methods were invariably elaborated on. In Table 2, a summary of the studies, found in the search for historical data, is given by area, date, author and parasite. Filamiasis and leishmaniasis were not screened for in this project, but were included in Table 2, not only for completeness but also because of their potential severe impact on the health status of rural populations in less developed areas. Eoth studies by Shawarby, et al. (1965) and Cahill, et al. (1966) had wide coverage and impressive sample sizes. The reader is referred to these studies and the others cited in Table 2 for details on prevalence and distribution that are not shown in the table.

In parallel with the historical findings on human schistosome data, more surveys were completed in the Nile Delta than in the other sectors of the country. Also, the Nile Delta had consistently higher prevalences, regardless of the parasite or year, when compared to any other area of the country. This was also true for the schistosomes. It is unfortunate that the greater proportion of the rural Egyptian population (59.4%) is located in this same area.

Filariasis and leishmaniasis show very distinctive focal distributions in the Nile Delta. Both studies are now over a decade old, having been

7

Area	Date	Author	<u>Ascaris</u>	Hymenolepis	Parasite Pr Ancylostoma	revalence Entoameoba	Filariasis	Leishmaniasis
General	1965	Shawarby, et al.	- *				0.1	
General DELTA	1966	Cahill, et al.	-	-	-	÷	-	0.1
Kafr El Sheikh	1937a b	Scott	28.0	_	30.0		-	-
Oalvubiva	1952	Weir et al.	62.0	_	4.0	97.0	_	_
Oalvubiya	1954	Chaudler	50.0	-	8.3	59.0	_	_
Oalvubiya	1954	Chaudler	76.0	_	36.0	58.0	· · ·	
Rosetta	1966	Cabill, et al.	-		-	-	-	4.1
Damanhur	1966	Cabill et al.	-	-	_	-	_	1.6
Hiby	1966	Cahill, et al.	-	<u> </u>	-	-	-	20.7
Benha	1966	Cahill, et al.		-		-	-	7.3
Behira	1966	Faroog, et al.	66.0	່ 1.7	4.3	-	-	-
Sharqiya	1965	Shawarby, et al.	-		-	_	6.0	. · ·
Oalvubiya	1965	Shawarby, et al.	-	- <u>-</u>	_	<u> </u>	7.2	
Minufiva	1965	Shawarby, et al.	_	- -	_ .	_ · ·	0.08	_
Gharhiya	1965	Shawarby, et al.	-	<u> </u>	_	_	0.0	_
Dagabliya	1965	Shawarby, et al.	_	_	_	-	3.0	_
Cairo	1966	Cabill et al	-	_	_	. <u>.</u>	-	1.1
Cairo	1958	Rifaat	12.0	6.5	7.0	17.0	_	
UPPER MIDDLE FOYPT	1000	NITUUL		0.5				
Beni Suef	1937a h	Scott	8.1	_	25.0	-	•	· · · · ·
Giza	1962	Zawahrw	54 0	8.6	10.0	41.0	-	_
Favoum	1966	Cabill et al	-	-	1010	-110	_	0.0
Accunt	1966	Cabill at al		_	_		<u> </u>	0.6
Beni Suef	1965	Shauarby et al	_ · · · ·		_		0.0	
LIPPER FOURT	1000	Shawarby, et al.					0.0	
Acuan	1937a b	Scott	6 5	_ ·	23.1		_	
Ald Nubia	1059	Bifaat	0.0	_	0.0	1.0		· · · · ·
Old Nubia	1964	7auahm	6.6	7.7	0.0	34.3	_	_
Sohag	1966	Cabill et al	-	-	-	-	_	1.6
Sohar	1965	Shawarby et al.	-	-	-		0.0	
Aswan	1965	Shawarby, et al.	+	÷ .	-	-	0.0	-

TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF PREVALENCE SURVEYS FOR SELECTED PARASITES IN EGYPT

*Indicates that the respective parasite was not searched for in the study.

8

completed in the 1960's. Hopefully, surveillance will be continued on these two important parasitic infections in order to determine if there have been more recent changes in prevalence or distribution.

Overall, it would appear that hookworm (Ancyloctoma) infections are not as prevalent as the roundworm (Ascaris) infections. Chandler's studies on hookworm in 1954 found considerable variation (8.3% and 36.0%) in different village sites in the governorate of Qalyubya. This variation was determined by a single investigator and his respective research team, thus variation due to methodological differences were most likely minimal, thus indicating a strong focal distribution for hookworm infections. The differences in prevalence found between the other investigations support this hypothesis. However, the only historical data on a country-wide basis for hookworm and roundworm were made by Scott in the 1930's (Scott, 1937a and Scott 1937b). The expectation has, and still is, that hookworm would be consistently high, 90% to 100%, in the rural population of Egypt, and Scott (1937c) attem; to explain why it was not. It is interesting that no cases of hookworm were scen by Rifaat (1958) or Zawahry (1964) in old Nubia. Furthermore, Scott (1937a) found roundworm infection lowest in the Aswan area.

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS IN RURAL EGYPT

The following material on environmental health conditions in rural Egyptian populations also appears in a previous report (Miller, et al., 1978). Since analytical aspects are included in this report and employ this information, this portion of the text is reproduced here for reasons of convenience for the reader.

The historical information sought for environmental health conditions in Egypt included the following:

- 1) General village sanitation
- Water supply wastewater practices
- 3) Wastewater practices
- 4) Housing conditions
- 5) Refuse or solid waste practices.

Detailed analytical information on these parameters is rather limited for Egypt as a whole. Several local studies are available, however, for the delta and for Old Nubia. Data from these studies have been provided in somewhat greater detail.

Amin and Zaghloul (1959) reviewed the administrative organization of the EMH environmental services, but provided little data. They did point out that by 1959, pretected rural water supply had been provided to each village over 1,200 persons. Generally, water was pumped from an underground source to elevated tanks which supplied a limited number of public water standpipes (one or more taps fixed to a vertical concrete slab). This water supply project had been started in the 1940's and by 1960 fairly wide coverage was obtained. In 1975 all villages had at least one source of water. The goal of one standpipe per 300 persons was 90% to 95% completed by this time (Furnia, 1975). This is very impressive when compared with the water supplies available in the rural villages of other similar developing countries. Installation of latrines in the rural areas has been less successful (Furnia, 1975).

The major refuse problem in Egyptian villages is animal waste (Headlee, 1933; Weir, et al., 1952). Animal manure is still commonly used for composting and for cooking fuel. The compost heaps and the drying dung cakes cause a serious sanitation problem by providing ample sites for fly breeding. For the most part, solid waste in the conventional western sense does not exist in Egyptian rural villages. Only infrequent isolated litter piles may noted in typical villages. However, where multistoried housing projects have been constructed and in urban areas there are extensive solid waste problems. On the village level very little solid non-organic material is discarded. This picture is now beginning to slowly change as the population grows and as more consumer goods become available to the rural populations.

Focusing on the delta, Headlee (1933) made detailed environmental observations on the rural village of Rushdy, Qalyubia. No clinical data were provided but excellent maps were made showing the defecation sites in the village. Samples were taken from these sites and examined for helminthic parasites. Enterobius, Ascaris, Trichuris, Hymenolepis and Ancylostoma were detected in the samples.

According to Scott (1937), this indiscriminate habit has important implications concerning hookworm transmission. If the same defecation sites were frequented, then hookworm transmission would be favored. However, defecation sites were scattered and, as Scott (1937) showed, the prevalence of hookworm was not as high as might be expected.

Headlee (1933) also observed the still common practice of disposing household wastewater in the village streets and that the presence of a stable attached to the home contributed to the intense fly problem Farooq, et al. (1966a) commented that village conditions in the delta had changed little since Headlee's report.

Weir, et al.'s (1952) study (1952) of the same general area (Sindbis, Qalyubia) confirmed Headlee's observations. Weir, et al. (1952) also found that 31% of all the homes in the study area had latrines, out of a total of 4,878 houses examined, and 10% had wells. Flies in the study site were noted in large numbers. Counts were made monthly on the fly populations. These counts showed seasonal fluctuations with low numbers in the middle winter months and high counts for the remainder of the year. Measures were taken to reduce the fly populations, and it is interesting to note that during a two year period in the areas where control was maintained, infant mortality was markedly reduced. This is a very important observation. No other environmental measure tested during this study demonstrated any improvement in infant mortality, indicating the overwhelming importance of flies as vectors of serious infantile diseases. In 1966, Farooq, et al. (1966a), found that 87.6% of the people in Beheria, in the northwestern delta, had piped water, a 77% increase in the number with piped water since 1952. The exact distribution of people with or without piped water is shown in <u>Table 3</u>. Ten and one half percent of the sample used canal water exclusively.

TABLE 3

DISTRIBUTION OF EXAMINED POPULATION BY SOURCE OF WATER SUPPLY

Water Supply	Number of People	Percentage Distribution
Canal	1248	10.5
Piped Water	10466	87.6
Other	70	0.6
Not Stated	160	1.3
TOTAL	11944	100.0

AFTER FAROOQ, et al. (1966)

Table 4, reproduced from Farooq's study, shows the number and distribution of people by type of house. There were considerable differences between divisions with an overall 58.5% living in stone or redbrick houses and 40.3% living in mud or mud brick houses. Farooq, <u>et al.</u> (1966) also determined the number of persons with a cowshed and the number and distribution of latrines. They found that just over half of the population do not have cowsheds, 32.9% have adjoining cowsheds and 14.4% have separated cowsheds. The latter group was considered to be in a higher economic class than the former two. At these study sites, 52% of the population was found to have latrines; 10% had latrines and did not use them (It would be interesting to know just how this was determined.); and 36.4% did not have a latrine. This indicates that there is an increase in the number of latrines in the homes since Weir, <u>et al</u>.'s (1952) time.

As shown in the prevous sections on schistosomiasis, all the above environmental parameters influenced the transmission of infection, with the exception of latrines which showed a marginal decrease in prevalence, and only when age and type of house were controlled for.

In Upper-Middle Egypt only the report by Hassouma (1975) is available on a rural housing survey. <u>Table 5</u> is reproduced from this report to the Egyptian Ministry of Planning. A majority of the houses obtained water from public standpipes (54%). However, a significant number (14%) had water piped to the home. For 12% of the homes, water came from the canals.

Hassouma (1975) also found that 6.4% of the houses were converted to sewage systems and that 10% had septic tanks. These fascinating observations, especially the presence of the sewage systems, beg the question "What did the author use a. a definition or 'rural'?" Unfortunately, no answer was provided. However, over half of the houses surveyed did not have a latrine.

TABLE 4

DISTRIBUTION OF EXAMINED POPULATION BY TYPE OF HOUSING

AFTER FAROOQ, et al. (1966a)

Type of House	Number of People	Percentage Distribution
Stone or Red Brick	6988	58.5
Mud Brick or Mud	4811	40.3
Other	7	0.0
Not Stated	138	1.5
TOTAL	11944	100.0

Upper Egypt

In the area between Assyut and Aswan, only sketchy information exists and most of what does is centered on the Aswan environment only. In 1965, Aswan City had no sewage system and the large fertilizer plant (The Kima Company) nearby was inadequately treating its waste-water which was being discharged into the Nile (Messina, 1970). Other (Eachmann, 1965; Satti, 1970) reporting to the WHO found the Aswan urban area poorly developed in respect to wastewater management.

Old Nubia

In 1960, Abdady and Shalash (1966) from the National Research Center, Cairo, completed a one-year survey on the Nubians which examined the environment and livestock resources. Selection of families was based on the family register at each village and selections were representative and proportional to the 1960 census. It was a well-designed study. Each tribe was represented and <u>Table 6</u> shows the number of families selected, by tribe, village and the location of the village on the eastern or western bank of the Nile. <u>Table 7</u> shows the housing conditions for each area. <u>Table 8</u> shows the type of water supply, lighting and food storage in the house. Whereas this table points out that no sewage system existed, the general description of the text stated that toilets were located inside the houses in the Fadiga area, and outside for the other two tribes. No numbers were provided on how many were available. Also included in this discussion was that the hand pumpwells located in the Fadiga area usually did not function.

TABLE 5

WATER AND WASTE-WATER FACILITIES IN UPPER-MIDDLE EGYPT

AFTER HASSOUMA (1975)

	Wat	er Supply						
	 	······································	VILLAC	SE .				
TYPE OF FACILITY	Faras	kour	Que	aa		Des	shna	
	No.	0, ')	No.	9 0	j	No.	%	
Piped Inside Piped Outside Hand Pump Inside	 36 106 120 2	13.6 40.2 45.4	4 194 8 54	1.6 74.6 3.0 20.8		48 54 8 24	35.8 40.3 6.0 17.9	
	Waste-W	Vater Disp	osal		* * . •••••		an linn The second se	
Sewer Septic Tank Latrine None	34 94 134 264	6.5 1.8 25.5 50.2	4 8 219 260	0.8 1.6 36.9 53.1		44 38 46 134	16.7 14.5 17.6 51.1	

A description of the village areas was included in the report. In the Fadiga tribal areas, houses were in rows with 20-30 meters from one row to the next, spreading out over a 500 by 600 meter area. Houses made of combinations of mud, rock and cane were architecturally similar to the American Indian hogan with walls extending out to encompass a courtyard, a guest room and a stable. A characteristic feature of Nubian houses is the decoration of the walls, both on the inside and outside. There is a prevailing attitude throughout Egypt that the Nubian: are exceptionally tidy and honest.

Floor plans of the old Nubian houses have been prepared by Fernea (1973).

In brief, Egypt has had a progressive plan for the provision of a protected water supply to the rural areas since the 1940's. A visit to the rural areas readily confirms the widespread distribution of rural water supply. This project's findings indicate fewer persons visiting canlas or unprotected water courses for their water, but still evident in the rural areas are the women washing clothes and dishes in the canals, the children bathing in the canals and the farmer irrigating his fields by ancient methods requiring contact with canal water. Generally, the sanitation conditions in the villages of Egypt have improved somewhat since Headlee's study (1933). In terms of crowding, they may have become worse.

TABLE 6

TRIBE, LOCATION, VILLAGE AND NUMBER OF FAMILIES

SELECTED IN NUBIA, 1960

AFTER ABDADY AND SHALASH (1966)

Tribe	Location on Nile Bank	Village	Number of	Families	Selected
Fadiga	West	Ballana		275	
Fadiga	East	Abu Simbel		1.70	
Arab	West	As-Sabu'a		75	
Arab	East	As-Sangari		75	
Kanoose	West	Sarf-Hussein		75	
Kanoose	East	Kask Tamna		75	

In the following villages, irrigation pumps and canals had been installed: a) Dikka

b) Al-Alaqi

c) Aniba

d) Tushka

e) Aramna

f) Abu Simbel

g) Ballana

		TA	BLE	7			
HOUSING	CHA	RACTERI	STI	cs,	OLD	NUBIA,	1960
AFT	ER	ABDADY	AND	SHA	LASH	(1966)	

TRIBE	Area in m ²			Buildin	Number of			
				Mud	Wood	Mud	Kooms	
		nean	mud	ROCK	Cane	Cane	iotal	Rean
Fadiga	234860	528	428	0	16	1	2658	5.9
Arab	53170	355	0	150	0	0	801	5.3
Kanoose	34550	230	0	150	0	0	627	4.8

TABLE 8 WATER SUPPLY AND LIGHTING IN OLD NUBIA, 1960 AFTER ABDADY AND SHALASH (1966)

	Wate	r Source	Lighting		
TRIBE	Small Canals	Nile	Pump	Electric	Kerosene
Fadiga	94	275	76	0	445
Arab	ο	93	57	0	150
Kanoose	0	10	140	0	150

15

CHAPTER IV

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study is separated into two major subdivisions. The first, termed the "Downstream Study", is a comparison of environmental and epidemiological health parameters at different village sites comprised of indigenous rural populations located downstream from the AHD, excluding the relocated Nubians. The second, termed the "Nubian Study", is concerned with the Nubian populations displaced by the formation of Lake Nasser. The results of both investigations have been analyzed for correlations with environmental and epidemiological alterations resulting from the _onstruction of the AHD.

DESCRIPTION OF THE "DOWNSTREAM STUDY"

The Downstream Study is designed to assess the impact of the formation of Lake Nasser on indigenous rural populations in Egypt downstream from the AHD. The design rationale is based on a comparative approach for which data are collected from more than one site. Thus it can be determined whether changes occurring over time or in a given location are unique, and casual relationships can be developed accordingly.

Three areas have been selected which afford maximum comparability. The first, from an area likely to be affected by Lake Nasser, are the rural villages north of the city of Aswan and south of Kom Ombo. The two other areas are Beni Suef, between the delta and Assyut, and Kafr El Sheikh, in the north cantral Nile Delta. For the sake of convenience, the three "areas" in which rural villages were selected for the downstream study are referred to as the Aswan, Beni Suef, or Kafr El Sheikh study area.

In each of these three governorates, rural villages have been selected based on: a) how representative the village is of the area; b) accessibility; c) population composition and size; and d) the presence or absence of a rural health center or unit. The selection of villages from these three areas was also based on information obtained from past studies. It was clear from these studies that Upper-Middle and Upper Egypt had frequently been excluded, with a far greater number of past surveys being carried out in the delta. Within the delta, more prevalence information on schistosomiasis was available for Qalyubia than all the other delta governorates combined. Sites in Kafr El Sheikh were selected, therefore, to help correct this deficiency of information. Also, historical data indicated that the northern delta, in which Kafr El Sheikh is located, had maintained the highest schistosome prevalence in rural Egypt. The data from Kafr El Sheikh provided the ultimate baseline prevalence for this study, as opposed to areas farther south and geographically more central. Villages were selected in the Beni Suef area as representative of Upper-Middle Egypt for the simple reason that recent data

indicated that the distribution of S. mansoni infections were slowly migrating south from the Nile Delta into this area (Hussein, 1972; Alamy and Cline, 1477). Sporadic cases of S. mansoni had been seen in Beni Suef by Hussein (1977). It was therefore important to determine if S. mansoni cases could still be found or were increasing.*

DESCRIPTION OF THE "NUBIAN STUDY"

This study is designed to measure the changes in the prevalence of parasites in the Nutian population following displacement due to the formation of Lake Nasser. The Egyptian Nubians, a population of 45,000 to 50,000 persons, resided in villages scattered along the banks of the Nile, south of Aswan to the Egyptian border. This population was displaced by the rising waters of the new lake in 1964. The Nubians, who were rural in nature and composed of three different tribes, were moved en masse to Kom Ombo, 40 kms downstream from the AHD. For all practical purposes, the entire population was resettled in this area. The new villages bear the same names as those from which the settlers originally came and, in addition, retain their respective locations as in old Nubia with the Kanoose tribe in the north, the Arab in the middle and the ladiga in the south. No other formal arrangement was made by the government to have resettlements in other areas. However, there remains an original Nubian community located on the eastern Nile bank just north of the old Aswan dam, called Kazan Sharq. This is the southernmost village in Egypt with the exception of a very shall village located on an island in the reservoir that inundates the area between the old and new dams. No resettlement sites are present on the lake shore. The high ground surrounding the lake is harsh, barren and, according to Dazo and Bile's (1971) survey, uninhabited with the exception of the Abu Simbel community 300 km upstream from the AHD. Although Abu Simbel does not constitute a rural/agricultural community, it is the only permanent lake shore site currently inhabited. In 1971, the population of Abu Simbel was 134 and was comprised mostly of government workers employed in the maintenance of the Abu Simbel temples. Abu Simbel does not represent displaced Nubian communities. Observations made during a 5 day trip on Lake Nasser in May, 1977 confirmed these findings. Earlier in the Review of Literature, Dazo and Biles (1972) found that 9% of the population there had S. haematobium infections. No other helminthic infections were observed.

The Nubian study includes three major sites between which comparative studies have been made: a) the old, no longer existing Nubian villages of Kurta, El Malki, and Ballana; b) the correspondingly resettled sites at Kom Ombo; and c) the original Nubian community, Kazan Sharq, located on the eastern Nile bank just north of the old Aswan Dam.

* (The determination of a change in the distribution of S. mansoni infections to the south was an overriding consideration in respect to the selection of appropriate sites for the surveillance of the other helminthic and protozoan infections. In addition, there was no historical information that suggested that by selecting village sites in the Beni Suef area aspects of the distribution of these other parasites would be missed or overlooked.) Abu Simbel had to be excluded because it does not represent the Nubian population. Also excluded are the lake shore sites which are yet to be developed, and the Lake Nasser fishermen. As mentioned previously, a joint WHO-ENH inter-region project (IR-065 RDF/71/217) is currently being organized to investigate the health status of the Lake Nasser fisherman population.

Definitions for pre- and post-AHD are needed to establish the point in time for describing "before" and "after" conditions necessary for making comparisons between studier. Pre-dam is defined as the period before the discharge of the Nile was controlled by the AHD. Post-dam is defined as the period from 1964 to the date of this study (1976). The construction of the AHD was not completed until 1974, but as mentioned earlier, the coffer dam, constructed to divert the flow of the river around the area where the AHD's foundations were being laid, was removed in 1964 and the ensuing floods have since been trapped behind the AHD. The reservoir reached maximum volume in 1976. The term "significant" is defined as a meaningful increase in the prevalence of a selected parasite when comparing the results from different study sites us d in this research with results from other villages employed by other workers. Frequently, the number of cases may be large enough to demonstrate statistical significance between results differing only in one or two percentage points. Whereas this would constitute statistical significance, it would not be meaningful.

DATA ACQUISITION

The two major categories of data collected were: historical baseline data and data collected from field studies that included environmental health data and epidemiological morbidity data for the selected parasites mentioned. The implementation of the field survey was guided by a program evaluation review technique (PERT) diagram.

The FERT diagram identified and numbered each individual activity or job to be carried out. The time in days required to complete each job was estimated and then each job was placed appropriately in the sequence. Except for the first, each preceding job or jobs had to be completed before the following one could be started. Thus, projected dates of completion were calculated. Moreover, free slack, or the amount of time that a previous job could be postponed without delaying the overall projected completion time, was estimated. The estimated time to complete the field activities up to the point of analysis of the data was 265 days. The actual time for completion was approximately 260 days.

SPECIFIC DATA COLLECTED

The categories for data which were collected are:

- 1) environmental health parameters:
 - a) water supply and use
 - b) sewage disposal
 - c) housing
 - d) irrigation practices

2) epidemiological parameters:

- a) age-sex structure of the sample population
- b) parasite prevalence.

It may be noted that agricultural irrigation methods have been included as an environmental health parameter. Generally, irrigation schemes, as such, do not fall within the realm of environmental health specialties. However, in Egypt, as in a number of other tropical developing nations, agriculture practices and especially irrigation methods play a central role in the transmission of many parasitic diseases. Moreover, it is the open canals and drains which are associated with present day irrigation in Egypt that provide excellent habitats for snail vectors. For the rural populations of Egypt, canals long ago became a way of life. The convenience the canals have provided in the rural villages for domestic water for washing, bathing, swimming, drinking, and ablutions is readily evident to the visitor.

Data acquisition forms (questionnaires) were designed and translated into Arabic. These forms serve as a list for the various parameters under study. The original English data forms are included in Appendix 2, in part one (Miller, <u>et al.</u>, 1978).

Considerable peripheral data are included in the survey listed on the data forms. As much data as possible were obtained concerning all the environmental parameters in the hope that nothing would be overlooked simply because it was not requested. Secondly, data were needed to control for certain variables; for example, age, sex, occupation, etc. Indeed, the study was originally designed under a much broader scope specified by the needs of the River Nile - Lake Nasser study of which this work was a part.

SELECTION OF FIELD SURVEY SITES

Бала .

A total of ten health units and centers were selected in Kafr El Sheikh and in Beni Suef based on criteria mentioned in the description of the downstream study. The name of the health unit or center does not always correspond to the name of the village from which the sample population was selected. Sometimes more than one village was sampled by the health unit or center. This is true also for Aswan and for the Nubian sites.

In Kafr El Sheikh, the selected health units of centers, also termed "study sites", and their code numbers shown in parenthesis, were:

- a) health unit El Agazein (16): only the village El Agazein was sampled;
- b) health center a (17): only the village El Hamra was sampled;
- c) health unit Mahalet El Kasab (18): only the village Mahalet El Kasab was sampled;
- d) health unit Mahalet Mousa (19): Mahalet Mousa and El Nataf were sampled;
- e) health unit Sheno (20): two villages, Sheno and Reskit El Shenawi were sampled.

In Beni Suef, the selected health units or centers and their code numbers were:

- a) health center Barout (11): only the village Barout was sampled;
- b) health unit Sherif Pasha (12): only the village Sherif Pasha was sampled;
- c) health unit Naiim (13): two villages, El Ammana and Abu Mousa, were sampled;
- d) health center Beni Adi (14): only the village of Beni Adi was sampled;
- e) health center Ashamant (15): only the village of Ashamant was sampled.

Both Kafr El Sheikh and Beni Suef are the respective capitals of their governorates. Kafr El Sheikh is about 2.5 hours' drive north of Cairo, roughly 140 km, and is located in the central northern sector of the delta. The northern border of the Kafr El Sheikh province is the Mediterranean Sea. Almost the same distance to the south of Cairo is Beni Suef. To the north of Eeni Suef is Giza; to the west, the Fayoum; and to the south, Minya. Each of the villages selected in both Kafr El Sheikh and Beni Suef was an agricultural community typical of the area.

In Aswan the selected health units or centers and their code numbers shown in parenthesis were:

- a) health unit Kazan Sharq (1): the village of Kakhor was sampled;
- b) health unit Guzaria (2): the villages Gamma, Omrob and Harrob were sampled;
- c) health center Abu Rish Bahri (3): the villages of Mal Katta and Mal Licta were sampled;
- health unit Ga'afra (4): the villages of El Aratag, Shouna, Masagien, Falaleha, Omarab, Ali Abu Karime, El Sheikh Garat, Hedadoun, Hagar and Mahatta were all sampled;
- e) health center Bimban (10) the villages of Kenisa, Abu Sharl, Omda, Mariab, Sheikh Mousa and Kabarra were all sampled.

In the Nubian resettlement area of Kom Ombo, the selected health units or centers and their code numbers were:

- a) health center Ballana (5): the villages of Ballana 1,2, and 3 were sampled;
- b) health unit Tushka (6): only the village Tushka was sampled;
- c) health center El Malki (7): only the village of El Malki was sampled;
- d) health unit Kurta (9): only the village Kurta 2 was sampled;
- e) health center Kalabsha (9): the villages Kalabsha and Abu Khor were sampled.

The village of Kazan Sharq (1) is one of the most southern villages to be found in Egypt. This village is comprised of Nubians of the Kanoose tribe, and it should be pointed out that Kazan Sharq (1) and a few remaining villages just to the north, are also populated by Nubians who, because of their location downstream from the AHD, did not have to be moved when Lake Nasser began to fill. Eather, this small population of Nubians are living in the same villages and the same homes that they were living in before the AHD was built, or, for that matter, from the last century and before.

The villages located at health units and centers 2,3, and 4 are communities typical of the area between Aswar and the Yom Omba plain and are located on the eastern bank of the Nile. These communities are characteristically found in high, dry, barren ground. Because the Nile valley is so narrow in this area, very little land is available for cultivation, and, therefore, what is available is far too valuable to build on. The cultivated areas are always found as a green strip between the village and the river, with the exception of Hagar in Ga'afra, which is located on a low barren hill next to the river. In this respect, these villages are unlike the ones in the Kom Ombo agricultural plain. From the northern point of the Kom Ombo plain, continuing north, the narrow valley gradually begins to widen as it passes through the next two governorates, Qena and Sohag. In these sites the villagers live at a greater distance from the irrigation canals and drains than villagers located in the delta or in Upper-Middle Egypt. Villages are found within the cropped area with increasing frequency as one travels north and east of Aswan into Qena and into Sohag. North of Sohag only a small fraction of the rural population resides in villages located outside the cultivated land, and these villages are often bounded on one side by their fields. The health center Bimban (10), was selected to represent villages typically built within the cultivated area. Six different villages all located in Bimban markaz (center) were sampled. The Bimban markaz, seen on the map in Figure 11, is located on the western bank of the Nile valley, almost directly west of Daraw. The villages are separated from the Nile and from the desert to the west by fields of sugar cane and wheat, and by palm groves, etc.

The selection of the Nubian resettlement villages was based on the previous study by Zawahry (1964). Each village that was surveyed in 1964 has now been surveyed again for this study. They are Ballana (Fadiga) (5), E1 Malki (Arab) (7), and Kurta (Kanoose) (8). In addition, two other villages were selected: Tushka (Fadiga) (6) and Kalabsha (Kanoose) (9), to increase the overall sample size.

DATA COLLECTION TEAMS

The primary data collection teams at each of the selected health units or centers were comprised of a physician, a laboratory technician, a sanitarian, one or two nurses and one or more aides. The team was led by the physician whose responsibility was to implement the collection of data and to ensure that all activities were completed according to the outlined procedures (see Appendix 4). The cooperation and employment of the various health teams was obtained through the Egyptian Minister of Health and through the respective regional offices of the Director General of Health. The director-general provided transportation to the sites and local security approvals, and saw to it that the materials necessary to continue were received at the study sites. In addition, a field supervisor, typically a vice-director-general, was assigned to follow the day-to-day progress in the in the field and to work closely with the technical field supervisor (the writer). Aside from developing the plan of operation, acquiring materials, and training personnel, the role of the technical field supervisor was to coordinate the work at all levels at each of the twenty field sites.

The Egyptian Minister of Health assigned Dr. Baha Hashen, the directorgeneral of all rural health services as a team representative from the ministry to the project. The EMH's services proved to be very helpful in establishing communications, transporting materials, collecting data, and obtaining cooperation of primary data collection teams.

The chief administrative team leader, Dr. M. Hussein, Dean of the High Institute of Public Health at the University of Alexandria, developed the administrative structure by which the various data teams and members were employed and paid, and closely followed the day-today developments in the field. In addition, Dr. Hussein obtained the necessary governorate approvals and security permits and provided the laboratory space and personnel for the amalysis of the stool and urine specimens at the University of Alexandria.

REVIEW OF FACILITIES AND PREPARATION OF MATERIAL

The location for the examination of families was a rural health unit or a rural health center. Each health unit (for outpatients only) and each health center (small scale in-patient facilities available) selected was assessed for facilities needed for the survey. If facilities or equipment were lacking, they were obtained by the local field supervisor from the respective director-general's office. For the most part, these services were not needed as the health units and centers selected all had the required facilities and were in working order. These facilities included:

- a light microscope, monocular model, with at least low power (16 mm focal length) and high power (4 mm focal length) objectives, and related equipment - slides, etc. Often these were manufactured in Czechoslovakia and were similar to Japanese Nikon models;
- b) glass pipettes in sufficient quantity;
- c) 250 cc glass conical flasks for urine sedimentation;
- d) stool pans for the collection of stool specimens;
- e) a hand centrifuge;
- f) a balance for determination of weight and height.

The balances were made by Detecto Scales, Brooklyn, N.Y., U.S.A.; model Detecto-medic or similar. Microscopes, balances and hand centrifuges were placed in the health units and centers by UNICEF about 5 years previously in a program to update rural medical facilities in Egypt.

The additional materials needed by the health units or centers for the

recording of data and preparation of specimens were:

- (1) printed data forms in Arabic;
- (2) solution for preservation of stool and urine specimens;
- (3) 10 cc plastic specimen vials or bottles;
- (4) disposable applicator sticks for transferring stool specimens;
- (5) permanent felt-tipped ink pens to label specimen bottles;
- (6) a reference or methods guide for the correct procedure to be followed during the survey.

Printed Data Forms

The data forms for the clinical examination of the family, form code 01; and the data form for the examination of the house, form code 02; were first translated into Arabic at the High Institute of Public Health. The same procedure was used for the environmental forms coded 03 through 12. (All data forms and the methods guide are included in Appendix 2 and Appendix 3, respectively, of part 1.) The translated forms were reproduced by mimeograph. At the beginning of the field activities, all forms of 01 and 02 were necessary. Form 01 had three pages and 02 had four. Therefore, 56,000 sheets of paper were required just for these two forms. It soon became obvious that delays would develop if the forms continued to be mimeographed at the High Institute. Paper, stencils, and staplers were purchased and delivered to the local director-generals' offices, which took over the responsibility of providing printed forms.

Lata Form Design--

The data forms for the examination of the family were based in part on the studies by Farooq and Nielsen (1966), Zawahry (1963), and Hussein (1972). At each health unit, a guide for the correct completion of the data form from the examination of the family was provided. This guide also included the correct method by which all procedures were to be carried out for the collection of field data. The guide served only as a reference and was not a substitute for instruction.

It should be pointed out, however, that special procedures were taken to determine age. The determination of age in a highly illiterate population is prone to error. Measures to minimize errors were adapted from Scott (1937). Scott (1937) found that it was more accurate to place a person in an age-group than to estimate the person's exact age. An age group sheet of 5-year age groups (starting from 0-1) was distributed with the methods guide and instruction for use was given to the physician. Birth dates were recorded only when government identification cards could be provided.

An attempt was also made to determine what medication, if any, the
individual had taken in the 360 day period prior to the day of examination. Only medications for parasitic diseases were recorded. Other medication received was recorded as "other." Details for the methods of obtaining the remaining data and data for the housing are described in the methods guide.

The design for the housing form, code number 02, was adapted from Mitwally and Sharqawi's (1970) article on measuring housing conditions in the rural areas of Egypt. For each data form a clear plastic overlay sheet with an English translation was made. These clear overlays provided an instant translation of the Arabic data form into English. As a guideline, the house to be examined by the sanitarian was defined as "the area lived in by the selected family."

FRESERVATION OF STOOL AND URINE SPECIMENS

It was clear from the beginning that there was considerable variation in ability to examine stool specimens for parasites and ova between laboratory technicians at the selected health units and centers. To compensate for the undesirable variability and to maximize the comparability from one study site to another, all stool specimens were preserved and sent to the laboratory at the High Institute. At the "central laboratory" the specimens were examined by a staff of trained personnel.

To implement this central approach for the examination of the specimens, a 10 ml translucent polyethylene bottle was provided for each individual at the selected sites. These bottles were purchased in Cairo and were 2 cm in diameter, 5 cm tall with a 1 cm opening in the top for which there was an inner cap and an outer screw cap, all polyethylene. On each bottle, the code number of the individual, comprised of the health unit number, the family code number, and the individual's number within the family, and his or her name was written with black, permanent, felt-tipped pens. Both pens and bottles performed well over the period of the survey. There was no occasion when the label came off, and the bottles, which were unbreakable, did not leak even though an occasional screw top had been deformed during the molding process.

The procedure for collecting the stool and urine for preservation is outlined in the methods guide. Two points should be added: 1) that the urine specimens were examined at the health units by the laboratory technicians. In addition, two drops of urine sediment were added to this stool specimen for preservation and examination later at the central laboratory. In this way a double check was provided on the examination of urine. The results of the on site examination were recorded on the data form for the examination of the family, form C1; 2) the transfer of the stool from the stool pan to the specimen bottle and the mixing of the stool with the preservative solution required something cheap and disposable. Broom straws, along with matchsticks and toothpicks, were all tested unsuccessfully. Very common in Egypt are small vegatable crates made by hand from palm fronds. The ribs of these crates were found to split nicely into straight wooden sticks which easily transferred and mixed the specimens. For preservation, the stool was mixed

with 9.0 to 9.5 cc of merthiolate-formalin solution adapted from the merthiclate-iodine-formalin concentration technique (MIFC) (Blagg, et al., 1955). Ova, cysts and trophozoites in fresh stool specimens collected in the MIF solution have been successfully preserved without deterioration of descriptive cytological features for a number of years. The exact period before deterioration begins is under study at the Naval Americal Medical Research Unit (NAMRU-3) in Cairo where the technique was first developed. Instead of adding iodine (Lugol's iodine) at the time of preparation when the specimen was mixed, as prescribed by this technique, the Lurol's iodine was added afterwards at the central laboratory just before the ether extraction phase. This alteration in technique, in addition to the fact that approximately 0.05 ml of urine sediment was being added, did not interfere with the desired staining intensity. By delaying the addition of Lugol's iodine at the health unit or center, the amount of materials that had to be delivered was reduced. This also assured that the Lugol's solution used was fresh, as it begins to deteriorate as a stain after one week. Thus, the urine was examined twice, once at the health unit or center and once at the central laboratory.

EXAMINATION OF STOOL AND URINE SPECIMENS

All specimens were collected from each of the study sites and transported to the parasitology laboratory at the High Institute of Public Health. At the laboratory, a team comprised of nine physicians examined the specimens. The team was supervised by three senior locturers of parasitology at the High Institute.

All specimens received at the laboratory from a particular health unit or center were grouped together. There was no intended order within the group and a few specimens at a time were selected for examination from each group. This quasi-random method helped minimize the biasing effect of individual ability among the laboratory personnel.

The preparation of a specimen for examination was as follows:

- 1) the specimen was mixed and poured through a layer of wet gauze into a labelled centrifuge tube;
- 2) 0.6 ml of fresh Lugol's iodine was added to the specimen;
- 3) 4 ml of petroleum ether added in order to increase the specific gravity of the ova and cyst by extracting the lipid fraction. The tube was inverted and shaken vigorously;
- 4) the specimen was centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1500 rpm;
- 5) the top other layer and fecal plug, and MIF layer were removed by suction, leaving the sediment and about 0.1 ml of MIF solution on top of the sediment;
- the sediment was resuspended and a drop of this mixture placed on a microscope slide, and covered with a cover slip;

- the slide was examined for characteristic ova, cysts, and trophozoites;
- 8) results were entered on a coded specimen examination form, an example of which is shown below.

Stool Examination Form (Code 15)

Specimen vial number:

Date:

Helminths:

Ascanis lumbricoides .			2		4																. 1
Trichuris trichiura .		0				4				,											. 2
Enterobius vermiculari	s			Ç.													•				. 3
Ancylostoma duodenale											÷				÷		•			•	. 4
Strongyloides stercora	lis	s														•	٠	÷	٠	٠	. 5
Taenia sp		٠.											•	•	•		٠	٠	٠	٠	. 6
Trichostrongvlus sp												•				•			•	•	. 7
H. nana								÷.						•	÷			•	•	٠	. 8
H. heterophyes										÷	4				٠	٠	٠	•	٠	•	• 9
F. hepatica	۰.												•	y	٠	٠		•		•	.10
F. gigantica	÷.							•			٠			٠	•		٠	٠	٠	•	.11
S. haematobium		۰.							•				•	٠	•		٠	•	•	•	.12
S. mansoni					•		•	•		٠		•	•		•	3	•	•		•	.13

Protozoans:

Giandia lamblia		з.			Ξ.										\mathbf{x}							.14
F histolution		0		1		0	1	÷												4		.15
E. historytica	1		1	Ţ	1	3	1	0	1	2	0									4		.16
E. COII	1	÷	÷			1	0	1		4												.17
Iodamoeba butschlij			÷		1		÷		1	Ŷ.												.18
Endolimax nana	2	5	0	÷	2	1							1									,19
Chilomastix mesnili		2	1				1											÷	,		÷	. 20
Thichomonas hominis	1	0	0														÷					.21
Dientamoeba fragilis	2									÷						•		÷	•	•	•	.22

Examined by:

The coded specimen form includes a place for the code numbers, date, form code and code number of the examiner at the laboratory who examined the slide and places for indicating the presence of the various parasites screened. Only one slide for each specimen was examined.

An in-laboratory test was used to obtain data on individual examiner error. One specimen each day was examined by all members and the results scored independently. It was requested that the personnel examine the "test" slide in the same fushion as all other slides. The exam results were not shown to the personnel, and it was emphasized that this was a procedure to estimate error rather than a proficiency examination. All laborator personnel at the High Institute were closely followed and exhibited proficiency in the identification of the various parasites. The helminth ova are easy to identify as they are generally large and very characteristic in morphology. Proficiency was assured by comparing sample specimens with the NAMRU-3 laboratory.

SELECTION OF THE SAMPLE POPULATION

A systematic sample was taken at each study site. The unit of selection was the family. All members of each family in the sample were examined. From each study site about 200 families were selected systematically from a list drawn up from the village or villages to be sampled that included all the families of the village or villages and all the members of each family. By selecting 200 families per health unit or center, a sample total of approximately 700 to 900 persons was estimated. The target sample size for all study sites in both studies was between fourteen and eighteen thousand. The family list was the sampling frame. For purposes of selecting the sampling unit (the family), the family was defined as a man, his wife or wives, and all unmarried offspring. This definition was easy to use and fairly stable, i.e. the average number of persons per family did not vary greatly from site to site, though in Upper Egypt, the families were somewhat smaller. (Note that not all offspring of a selected married female may have been examined.) All selected family members were accounted for. If a member did not attend the examination, an explanation of why the member was not present was stated on the family examination form. No attempt was made to replace those who would not come, were absent at the time of the survey, or had died.

To make the selection of the families, an up-to-date list of family names and members, or sampling frame, was required. Generally, a frame was available but often out-of-date by four to five years. In order to avoid delaying the start of the survey, the following procedure was implemented. The total number of families in the old list was divided by 200. The number obtained, for example 3.4, was rounded off to the next higher number and added to one (two or three in other cases depending on an estimate of how many new families would be added). In this example, with a starting list of 680, every fifth family would be selected starting from a random number between 1 and 5. The frame would immediately begin to be updated, adding new families at the end of the list, and the examination of the selected families starting from the first selected and proceeding sequentially was also begun. The up-dating was always finished long before the examination of the first selection of families could be completed. The selection of every fifth family according to this example would result in an under-selection, i.e. less than 200 would be selected. In this case, about 136 families would be selected if 120 new families were added to the original list of 680. After the examination of 136 families, 64 families would have to be reselected from 664 remaining unselected families by selecting every tenth family. Reselection being made without replacement, a total of 202 families would be selected and examined. This approach was used throughout the study, with

two or more samples (one or more reselections) from each site being taken in this fashion. Each selected family was circled and serially numbered in the list of family names and members.

The serial number for the family became the serial code number for that family. The members of the family were listed serially starting with the first person examined on the family examination data, form 01. This gave each individual that was examined in the survey a unique code number comprised of the number of the village, the family serial code number, and the individual code number within the family. The code number 01,001,01 identifies the first person in the first family selected at the health unit 01, Kazan Sharq. The health unit or center code number and the family serial code number were used to identify the data froms 01 and the housing data forms 02. The data form 02 was completed for each house of each of the selected families. These forms were matched with the family OI forms by coding the 02 forms with the health unit or center number, the house number, and family serial code number. The same sample size (200 families) was used throughout the survey, rather than selecting a given proportion of the population at cach site. Therefore, the sampling fraction varied from site to site. This was done for administrative reasons, and because it was desired that the completion of the survey of the familes and the environment be roughly during the same period of time at all sites. The reason for this was that by carrying out the survey at the different sites over the same period of time, the possibility of seasonal variation of the parameters measured between the sites would be negated.

It was estimated that 200 families would yield a sample of about 800 individuals. This sample size was felt sufficient to give the estimates of the various parameters sampled with adequate precision at each site. The systematic selection of families was used as opposed to a purely random method for two reasons: 1) the systematic selection more often than not gives greater precision. With a systematic selection, no isolated groups, individuals, or houses are left unrepresented in the sample, as might inadvertently happen with a random selection; 2) the selection of the families for examination could proceed immediately without waiting for an up-dated family list. A random sample would be impossible to select before the list was completed because the added group would not have a known possibility of being selected in the first round.

EXAMINATION OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND THE POPULATION

Before the survey could begin, each health unit or eanter had to receive the necessary materials and the personnel had to review the methods for the correct filling in of the data forms and the correct method for preparing the stool and urine specimens. The up-dating of the family list was always the first activity, followed by the selection of the families. In order to obtain the cooperation of the village members, a meeting was held with the village council, the local physician and the field supervisor, to explain the purpose of the survey and solicit the aid of the council to overcome any difficulties. On occasion, the director-general of the governorate attended these meetings. Only after the personnel at the health unit or centershowed proficiency with the different aspects of the data acquisition, was the

examination begun.

Senerally, a routine was established where the sanitarian would go to the selected family's house, complete the housing form and instruct the family to go to the health unit or center the following day. The instructions included telling the family members that the information obtained would be held in strictest confidence and that specimens of stool and unine would be requested at the health unit or center. At the health unit or center, the selected family members would be given a numbered stool pan and a humbered tin cup and requested to provide a specimen of each at some point during the examination.

ry obtaining the specimens from the selected family members at the health unit or center, proper identification of the specimens could be guaranteed. This is a unique feature to this survey. Scott (1937), Farcog and Nielsen (1966), and Zawabry (1963) all obtained their specimens by providing specimen containers to the heads of the household of each of the families selected.

There were exceptions, most notably at Kurta (8) in the resettled Nulian area. The water supply in the laboratory at Furta (8) was the most inadequite of all the selected sites. The piped water supply was limited to a few hours a day and frequently failet for periods longer than 48 hours. Water rarely came to the health unit's laboratory. At Kurta (8), protected water was used first for drinking and cooking before it went for other purposes.

iecause of this lack of water at the health unit, selected family members refused to give stool specimens. Under the circumstances, the methods of Scott (1937), Farceq (1966), and Zawahry (1964) were employed. Thus the correct specimen was obtained. Also, a large metal reservoir was given to the health unit laboratory so that water could be stored and available to clean equipment.

Trips to the field to initiate the survey activities were made in early April, 1976. By May, 1976 all units and centers had begun the collection of data. After the survey had begun, each field site was repeatedly visited. During these visits, additional materials were supplied, completed data forms and prepared specimens were picked up, and, if required, a reselection was made. This evaluation included the following:

- a check to see if the names on the completed 01 and 02 forms corresponded to the names in the family list;
- 2) a check to see if the correct code numbers were being used;
- a check to see if the code number on the specimen bottles corresponded to the code number on the family form 01;
- 4) a check to see that the housing forms 02 were being correctly completed. This was done by selecting several completed forms and going to the respective homes and seeing if the completed

forms agreed with the actual conditions;

- 5) a check to see if all the families in the village were included in the family list. This was done by going to the village and randomly selecting a house to see if the occupants were listed in the book. On no occasion was a family located that had not been included. It should be pointed out that 1) the sanitarians are well-trained in this respect; and 2) they were often residents of the village who knew the villagers well, and were actually related to many of them;
- 6) to review any problems or obstacles being met and to resolve them;
- 7) to review the general progress, and to determine if the health unit or center was on schedule. Since only part of the working day could be allotted to the examination of the family members or the environment at the health unit or center, it was requested that approximately 20 persons per day, or five to six families, be screened at a time. The examination of the families and their environment at each site, which was to be completed in about three months, was actually 95% complete after five months;
- 8) to review the method of examination of the selected family members. This was done by observing the completion of the family form 01, with the physician at the health unit or center during a visit when examinations were being carried out. First, a family that had been examined just prior to arrival was recalled and re-examined, while checking the completed form for discrepancies. Secondly, the completion of the family form was followed through on a family who had not been examined. This was especially helpful in detecting errors in obtaining and preparaing specimens. Idiosyncratic procedures were noted during this time. Those which did not in themselves affect the collection of data were usually allowed to continue, as changing procedure would risk causing errors;
- 9) confirmation of the methods used at the health unit or center for completion of the environmental data forms were reviewed in the same fashion as was employed for checking the housing forms;
- 10) on occasion, persons or families would come to the health unit or center requesting to be included in the examination. If the person was elderly and a relative of a selected family or a village leader who had not been selected, forms were completed and specimens taken. No code numbers apart from the health unit number were given to these individuals. Data from these forms were not included;
- 11) an inventory of all the materials;
- 12) one of the most important checks was to see if the specimens of stool and urine were correctly examined, prepared and labelled. From the very first it was stressed that the right stool and the right urine be placed in the right bottle in the correct manner. (The correct procedure was outlined for the laboratory technicians

in Arabic.) This procedure was reviewed frequently at the health units and centers at the time when specimens were being provided by the selected individuals.

DATA MANAGEMENT AND ANALYSIS

For this study, 3,859 house data forms were executed for the examination of the dwelling units. An almost equal number were completed for the examination of the family. About 400 data forms were completed for the various environmental aspects of the village sites. Exactly 15,665 stool specimens were received. Ultimately, over 40,000 cards were keypunched.

When raw data in these quantities are obtained, major efforts have to be made to keep the data from being misplaced, lost, or damaged before it can be processed. This was aggravated by the distances involved between the 20 different study sites, as well as by the lack of good communication systems. Invariably, staff at the health units faced problems after actually starting the survey that were not anticipated during the training phase. Most often the problem was solved by a change in coding procedure which did not affect the final accuracy. For example, on the housing form (02), in an unanticipated situation, the correct answer required the selection of more than one number, although only a single answer had been anticipated, and only one box had been provided on the data form. The examiners simply wrote in two numbers, or whatever the combination may have been, in the given box. This alteration was easily handled when the coding sheet was designed. The process was not always as uncomplicated as this and new combinations necessitated redesigning the code sheets.

To minimize problems of data management, a complete inventory of the number and amount of materials delivered and received from each study site was kept. Before data forms were accepted, they had to be checked for completeness, consistency and accuracy.

All completed forms were packaged and delivered to the Cairo University Statistics Center. Code sheets were designed, tested, and redesigned. The final coding sheet for a particular form was reproduced at the center by offset printing.

Before transferring the data onto code forms, a code book or code key was developed for each type of data form. The questions on the family examination form 01 concerning diagnosis and medication received were the only examples of truly open questions, and required continued updating of the code book. The greater part of the coding was simply copying a selected number onto the code sheet.

Coded data were verified on a sample of forms from each site before punching. Punching formats were designed from the code sheets, i.e. data were punched directly from the code sheet. At the computer center printed listings of each site were made and checked against a sample of original data forms. For listing the data on magnetic tape, the punched cards were sorted by site, family and individual, and a file on magnetic tape was created for each category of data form. A series of Fortran IV programs were written at the Cairo University Statistics Center for use on a Data General 'Nova' computer. These programs were for:

- 1) preparing listings of various sub-sets of data;
- 2) basic tabulations of important variables in the data set. A number of tabulations were used to follow the work in the central laboratory, and were designed specifically to detect errors and inconsistencies made by the laboratory workers;
- 3) sequencing, matching, and renumbering of specimen data;
- 4) validating and examining the consistency of coded data;
- 5) eliminating duplicates in the specimen data; and
- 6) writing the data set onto magnetic tape files.

The complete data set stored on magnetic tape was transferred to the University of Michigan's computing center for continued analysis. At the University of Michigan, the Michigan Terminal System (MTS) and the Michigan Interactive Data Analysis System (MIDAS) were used to:

- re-edit various sub-sets of data based on the results of verification programs run in Egypt;
- match and merge the data from the family examination with the data from the specimen forms and housing forms for the creation of a master data file; and
- 3) to complete, following step number two, the descriptive analysis and the assessment of relationships between variables in the data were completed.

Adjustment Scheme

Since the sampling fraction and the age structure of the sample varied from study site to study site, an estimate of prevalence made by simply adding together all those infected and dividing by the total number sampled in a given area, for example in Kafr El Sheikh, would be incorrectly weighted. To adjust for this, a procedure was formulated using a series of MIDAS commands. An estimated number infected was calculated for each age-sex group for each site in a given area of study. The age-sex specific prevalence at each site was used to make these estimates. The estimated numbers infected in each age group for each site were added together and divided by the sum total of the population of all villages studied in the area. This result was the adjusted age-specific prevalence. The sum of all these estimated to be infected, divided by the total population from all sites, equalled the overall adjusted prevalence for a given area. Sex-specific adjusted prevalences were calculated using the same procedure, but selecting only male or female cases.

CHAPTER V

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

PARASITIC INFECTIONS

The general overall crude prevalence in the samples of the four major rural study areas; the north central delta, Upper-Middle Egypt, Upper Egypt, and New Nubia has been determined. Table 9 is a master table showing the percent positive by each village site for all parasites screened for in the examination of the stool. This table also includes data obtained on the presence and type or absence of a latrine and whether or not it was used, and data on the number of persons per house. A separate table, Table 10, was prepared to show the distribution of water supply in the different study areas or regions. The number of persons per standpipe (a public spigot) by village, however, is given in Table 9.

It should be noted that the different categories in Table 10 are not mutually exclusive. Each cell in the table could reach 100%. The percentages shown simply indicate a preference of water source. For example; in the first column, 90% of the population in Kafr El Sheikh stated that their drinking water was obtained from public standpipes; 19.4% cited the canal as a source or at least 9.4% obtained drinking water from both sources. (When significant tests were used to determine if the source of water was related to the prevalence of a specific parasite, this "overlap" was corrected for by selecting a program which created exclusive categories and eliminated cases which had claimed more than one source.)

The results of Table10 clearly demonstrate the demand for protected water sources. The corresponding figures in Table 9 show the number of persons per standpipe and reveal the intense use placed on these sources. The lowest number of persons per standpipe was 85; some villages had no piped sources at all, and the overall means of 407 persons per standpipe in the downstream study sites is somewhat higher than that suggested by Furina (1975). Obviously s ch an intense competition for protected water supplies limit its usefulness and potential benefit. Although the data in <u>Table 11</u> do not strongly suggest a relationship between the prevalence of the helminthic and protozoan parasites, except for the schistosomes, every attempt should be made to improve and expand this service.

The infection of the sample with helminthic parasites was found to be remarkably low, as can be seen in Table 9. The prevalence of hookworm, H. nana (dwarf tapeworm), Taenia (beef or pork tapeworm), and Enterobius (pinworm) were low in all study areas including the Nubians. Ascaris was only moderately elevated in the Nile Delta study sites (8.4%) but not elsewhere. The Entamoeba infections were by far the most prevalent and were found consist-

1	NILE	DELTA (Kafr El She	ikh)				MIDDLE	NTER (D.	and Such		
Variable	E1	El	Mahalet	Mahalet		TOTAL		Shrief	MILL (D	ent Suer)		-
	Agazein	Hamra	El Kasab	Moussa	Sheno	Delta	Barout	Pasha	Natim	Reni Adi	Achmant	TOTAL
	16	17	18	19	20		11	12	13	14	15	Nile
Accords Jumbalasidas			55.0					1.00		P.		
Angulastani daudanala	4.8	4.1	19.1	7.3	8.9	8.4	1.3	1.0	3.6	3.7	0.3	2.1
Chilomastix muchil	0.7	1.5	0.8	1.8	2.9	1.5	0.3	4.1	0.3	5.0	5.3	3.3
Dientamonha Engilie	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.4
Endolimax a ma	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Entampaha hantmannt	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.3
Intampeba coli	1.2	2.3	0.9	0.6	1.2	1.1	0.7	1.3	0.4	1.8	2.0	1.8
Entamonha histolution	40.2	38.4	27.8	32.6	45.5	39.2	52.0	53.1	49.9	49.3	23.8	44.8
Interphing varmicularia	5.6	6.1	3.8	3.6	2,9	4.5	5.7	7.0	4.5	5.9	5.9	5.8
Fasciola digante	0.5	1.6	0.9	0.8	2.5	1.3	3.0	4.9	1.3	1.3	0.5	2.1
Casciola hepatica	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Siardfa lamblia	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1
Hymonologic gas	12.0	4.6	2.5	6.3	4.7	6.2	6.0	3.2	3.8	2.7	3.1	3.5
tetamphyse batamphyse	1.8	2.6	1.3	3.6	2.8	2.3	1.0	1.4	1.3	1.0	0.3	1.0
leterophyes neterophyes	0.1	0.5	0.9	0.0	0.4	0.4	4.0	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.8
Todamoeba butschill	0.8	1.3	0.3	0.6	1.0	0.9	0.7	2.1	0.7	1.3	0.5	1.0
aistosoma naematobium	52.8	34.0	21.2	11.4	19.0	28.5	27.5	16.9	37.3	29.4	27 4	26.7
chistosoma mansoni	28.4	24.2	15.4	13.7	14.2	19.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
trongyloides stercoralis	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.2
laenia sp.	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1
richomanas homilis	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
richostrongylus sp.	0.6	2.3	0.8	1.0	0.8	1.1	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.5
richuris trichiura	0.5	1.6	0.9	0.8	2.5	1.3	3.0	4.9	1.3	1.3	0.6	2.1
rersons/Standpipe	207.0	420.0	508.0	540.0	262.0	299.0	0.0	827.0	201.0	968.0	712 0	928.0
sorehole latrine	1.7	0.7	12.5	6.8	6.3	5.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	11.8	0.9	2.5
unlined pit latrine	79.6	65.5	76.3	41.1	76.6	69.0	81.0	94.7	71.7	17.6	98.3	22.2
Lined pit latrine	18.8	27.5	11.2	52.1	16.6	24.2	9.5	5.3	28.3	70.6	0.9	16.0
cesspool/Septic tank	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.0	1.0	0.0	3.9	10.5	0.0	0.0	9.6
Latrine not used	0.5	0.6	1.3	17.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	3.7	3.9	5.7	0.0	3.2
No latrine present	1.5	13.0	19.7	9.8	8.8	10.4	75.0	67.5	75.7	82 1	9.2	64 6
ersons per household	6	6	8	6	6	6.2	6	7	6	5	5.6	64.0
looms per house	3	2	4	6	4	3.8	3	3	3	2	5	

TABLE 9 Water Supply, Sanitation and Prevalence of Parasitism in Rural Egypt

Variable	Kazan	UPPER	NILE (A	swan)		-		NE	W NUBIA	(Aswan)		
	Sharq 1	Guzaira 2	Rish 3	Ga'afra 4	Bimban 10	Upper Nile	Ballana 5	Tuska 6	Malki 7	Kurta 8	Kalabsha 9	Nubia
Ascaris lumbricoides			57									
Ancylostoma doudenale	3.3	4.1	2.9	1.4	3.2	3.1	1.8	2.2	1.9	2.8	2.7	2.2
Chilomastix mesnili	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.5	1.2	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.3
Dientamoeba fragilis	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.3
Indolimax nama	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Intamorba hartmannt	0.0	0.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ditamorba coli	1.0	3.0	0.5	1.4	0.9	1.5	1.2	1.8	1.0	0.2	1.0	1.1
Intamorba histolytica	30.2	42.5	37.1	22.7	42.7	34.8	52.8	45.5	43.0	42.1	50 4	
Interobius vermiculania	5.1	8.6	6.2	2.1	4.6	5.5	6.3	6.9	7.9	8.4	9.4	40.0
asciola gigante	1.2	0.7	1.4	0.7	1.2	1.0	1.4	2.5	1.7	0.4	0.6	1.5
asciola hepatica	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
lardla lamblia	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.1
Vienolenis nana	6.3	12.1	11.2	4.0	12.2	9.0	11.5	0.1		5.6	0.0	0.1
eterophyes heterophyes	9.4	4.9	5.2	2.8	2.3	5.2	5.0	9 5	6.6	3.0	7.3	4.7
Odimorba butschlij	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2	2.3	3.3
chistosoma humatablum	1.2	1.3	1.4	0.3	1.4	1.1	1.2	1 3	1.3	1.5	0.0	0.1
chistosoma manconi	6.9	0.2	4.2	5.9	24.8	4.2	5.8	3 7	2.0	1.3	22.0	1.0
trongyloider stansont	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	32.8	8.8
denia so	0.3	0.5	1.4	0.0	2.1	0.7	0.2	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
richomanas homilia	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	8.0	2.1
richostron mulus	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
richuris trichiums	1.0	0.3	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
ersons/Standoine	1.2	0.7	1.4	0.7	1.2	1.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2
orebole latrice	0.0	503.0	638.0	85.0	0.0	244.0	478.0	295.0	195.0	735 0		265 0
nlined nit lathing	23.1	5.5	0.0	7.8	1.1	12.5	2.1	0.0	0.5	1.7	1.0	303.0
ined nit latelas	69.2	84.0	43.8	90.2	0.0	60.0	3.7	2.6	0.5	07.9	00.0	1.0
SSOON Section tool	7.7	12.5	56.3	2.0	98.9	32.0	94.1	97 4	00.0	0.6	33.0	40.5
atrine not used	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	. 0.0	0.0	0.0	20.5
	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.0		0.0	0.0
rsons per bourshald	34.7	3.3	85.0	59.2	49.5	42.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
DOMS Der house	5	5	6	4	4	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.1	2.3	2.0	2.0
her norse	3	4	6	5	2	3.9	3.2	3.1	2.0	3.3	2.0	3.9

.

×

TABLE 9 (continued)

		PERCEN	TAGE DISTRIBUT	ION
WATER US	SE AND SOURCE	Nile Delta Kafr El Sheikh	Middle Nile Beni Suef	Upper Nile Aswan
Drinking	- courtvard tap	4 2 ¹	0.2	
	public standpipe	90.0	0.3	0.0
	handpump-yard	0.0	1.3	01.3
	handpump-outside	0.0	1.5	10.9
	canal or drain	19.4	15.9	3.1
	Nile	0.0	0.0	0.6
		0.0	0.0	24.3
Bathing	- courtyard tap	4.2	0.2	0.0
	public standpipe	78.0	0.2	0.0
	handpump-vard	0.0	0.2	01.3
	handpump-outside	0.0	15.3	10.9
	canal or drain	66.5	1.9	3.1
	Nile	0.0	0.0	0.0
			0.0	24.5
Laundry	- courtyard tap	2.2	0.2	0.0
	public standpipe	62.7	5.4	61.3
	handpump-yard	0.0	1.1	10.9
	handpump-outside	0.0	11.9	31
	canal or drain	64.3	7.4	0.6
	Nile	0.0	0.0	24.3
				21.0
Jtensils	- courtyard tap	1.8	0.2	0.0
	public standpipe	61.8	4.9	61.3
	handpump-yard	0.0	1.1	10.9
	handpump-outside	0.0	11.8	3.1
	canal or drain	63.5	6.6	0.6
	Nile	0.0	0.0	24.3
			10.10 (Sr	
nimals	- courtyard tap	0.6	C.0	NI
	public standpipe	2.6	0.1	
	handpump-yard	0.0	0.0	
	handpump-outside	0.0	0.7	
	canal or drain	61.2	8.1	
	Nile	0.0	0.0	

TABLE 10 Water Supply By Use and Study Area

1

¹The different categories are not mutually exclusive.

No information

		PERCENT	PPEVALEN	CE BY WATER	USE
INFECTION	WATER SUPPLY	Drinking	Bathing	Laundry	Cleaning
Ascaris 1	umbricoides				
	House Conn. Standpipe Canal	7.1 11.5 13.7	7.1 11.2 14.0	7.1 11.9 12.3	7.1 11.6 12.9
An ylosto	ma duodenale				
	House Conn. Standpipe Canal	0.0 0.8 4.2*	0.0 0.4 4.7*	0.0 0.4 4.1*	0.0 0.4 4.0*
Entamoeba	coli				
	House Conn. Standpipe Canal	42.9 32.1 33.7	42.9 32.0 33.6	42.9 31.1 35.2	42.9 30.9 35.5
Entamoel a	histolytica				
	House Conn. Standpipe Canal	7.1 2.3 4.2	7.1 2.4 3.7	7.1 2.6 3.3	7.1 2.6 3.2
Giardia la	amblia				
	House Conn. Standpipe Canal	0.0 5.3 4.2	0.0 5.6 3.7	0.0 4.7 5.7	0.0 4.7 5.6
Schistoson	na haematobium				
	House Conn. Standpipe Canal	13.3 19.2 33.3	13.3 20.0 32.8	13.3 18.2 34.6	13.3 18.0 34.6
Schistoson	na mansoni				
	House Conn. Standpipe Canal	6.7 12.3 24.7	6.7 12.8 21.4	6.7 11.9 22.1	6.7 11.7 22.0

Relation of Water Supply to Parasites in the Nile Delta (Kafr Fl Sheikh), 1976

TABLE 11

*Significant, chi square 0.05 or less.

ently high in all study sites.

It became apparent very early in the examination of the stool specimens that the helminthic infections, Ascaris and Ancylostoma were being detected at a frequency much lower than expected. A quick glance at Table 2 shows that both infections were much higher in the past. The expectation that higher frequencies should be observed resulted in a close evaluation of the MIFC technique and its employment. The MIFC protocol was reviewed as well as the cytological features of the respective ova. Both aspects were found to be correctly used, as neither method truly qualifies as a technically difficult procedure. Furthermore, the genus Entamoeba was readily and frequently identified in the specimens as well as the helminth S. mansoni. Specimens obtained elsewhere and known to be positive for either Ascaris or Ancylostoma were correctly identified without fail using the MIFC procedure. The conclusion is that these two helminthic infections, Ascaris and Ancylostoma, have decreased in our sample sites over the period of a decade. (The time span between this study and the most recent historical data is 10 years.)

As was pointed out, direct comparisons between prevalence studies in Egypt are limited. However, the differences seen between this study and past studies in regard to these two helminthic infections is aramatic and too great to be explained on methodological differences alone. The past evidence clearly points to a much higher prevalence. Assuming that the sample sitesstudied here were not freakishly typical, and the prevalence for these two parasites have indeed fallen, then the most probable explanation for decreases in the infections is the wholesale distribution of pharmaceuticals such as piperazine. All rural health centers and units stock this drug and prescribe it frequently at little or no cost to the patient. Furthermore, this drug was frequently sited on the data forms as having been prescribed within the previous year of the study.

The exposed foot is the classic portal of entry by the infective larvae of <u>Ancylostoma</u>. For a number of years now, cheap plastic footwear has been available to the population in general and especially to the lower socialeconomic classes. The use of this rootwear breaks the hookworm transmission cycle. The readily observable use of plastic footwear, of any kind, throughout rural Egypt has no doubt contributed to a decline in the prevalence of this infection.

There were significant differences between study sites in a given area. Notable is the hookworm distribution in Aswan where the non-desert villages of Bimban (10) had more hookworm (1.2%) than any of the other "desert type" villages. Also interesting is the decrease in <u>Ascaris</u> infection outside the delta. Although the prevalences are much lower, the distribution still parallels that found previously by Scott (1937a), i.e. decreasing from north to south. No significant differences were seen between sexes or age for <u>Ascaris</u> infections. Prevalence in the very young ages were as high as the older ages. Unique age or sex distributions were not noted for any of the parasites investigated. (The tables depicting age-sex prevalence relationships and other descriptive aspects are included in an appendix for tables.) This rather unusual arrangement for tables was prepared because of the very large number of tables prepared for this report, greater than one hundred. The vast majority of these tables are basically descriptive and include tables with information on the environmental parameters that were also measured. Specific information sought for from these tables should be made by subject as each table's heading is indexed on the front pages of the table appendix.

The presence of a latrine was significantly associated with a reduced prevalence in <u>Ascaris</u> infection, Kafr El Sheikh and Beni Suef, but not in Aswan. <u>Table 12</u> shows these results in addition to five other selected parasites. Generally, the results were not encouraging. Only in one other case was the presence of a latrine favorable, i.e. for <u>E. coli</u> infections in Beni Suef.

The high prevalence of the Entamoeba species indicates that personal hygiene for the populations sampled is still inadequate. The quality of water supply did not relate well to the prevalence of these organisms either. (See table appendix on parasitic infections and water supplies.) This may be a reflection of limited water supply and use.

TA	RLF	12
111	000	14

	Kafr El	Sheikh	PERCEN	T POSI Suef	TIVE	van
PARASITE	Lat Yes	rine No	Lat: Yes	rine No	Lat: Yes	rine No
A. lumbricoides	8.0	12.0	0.7	3.2	3.9	2.1
Ancylostoma	1.4	1.1	4.0	2.6	0.3	0.3
H. nana	2.4	1.1	1.0	1.2	5.7	4.7
G. lamblia	5.5	5.1	3.5	3.4	10.9	6.4
Entamoeba coli	40.5	33.0	39.4	49.4	37.2	31.4
E. histolytica	4.5	3.7	6.0	5.4	7.2	5.2

Relationship Between Latrine and Infection With Selected Parasites in the Three "Downstream" Study Areas

Table 13 shows the percentage of persons infected with any single parasite and any two or more parasites. The sampled population from Beni Suef had consistently higher numbers of positives than the other two downstream locations sampled.

Table 14 is a summary of the percent positive in all four study a.eas. The table was constructed to give the reader a quick overview of the findings for eight of the more prominent parasitic infections screened for in this study.

TABLE	: 13

NUMBER OF		PER	CENT POST	ITIVE/	CASES	
INFECTIONS	Kafr El	Sheikh	Beni :	Suef	Aswar	n
Any one parasite	42.7/	1557	53.2/	1518	42.7/2	227
Any two parasites	20.1/	731	44.5/	1271	15.6/	449
Any three parasites	5.2/	198	14.5/	414	3.7/	107
Any four parasites	0.9/	31	3.2/	91	1.0/	28
Any five parasites	0.1/	5	0.4/	11	0.1/	4

Multiparasitic Infections in the Study Areas

TABLE 14

PARASITE	Kafr El Sheikh	AREA Beni Suef	Aswan	Nubia
Ascaris lumbricoides	8.4	2.1	3.1	2.3
Enterobius vermicularis	1.3	2.1	1.0	1.4
Ancylostoma duodenale	1.5	3.3	6.4	0.3
Taenia sp.	0.1	1 case	2 cases	0.0
Hymenolepis nana	2.3	1.0	5.2	5.5
Giardia lamblia	6.2	3.5	9.0	9.7
Entamoeba histolytica	4.5	5.8	5.5	7.5
Entamoeba coli	39.2	44.8	34.8	48.6

Percent Positive of Selected Faras.tes By Study Area

The results of the Nubian study were based on methodologies and sampling sites used by Zawahry in 1964 (as described in the methods section) just prior to their relocation in Kom Ombo. This design was selected in order to strengthen the validity of comparisons between the results of these two studies.

Table 15 shows the prevalence for the same eight parasites mentioned above and the respective prevalence levels before and after relocation. No

cases of hookworm infection were seen in Old Nubia (Table 2). Seven cases were detected by this study; perhaps as results of the increase in sample size over the one in 1964 by a factor of four.

TABLE 15

1964***** PARASITE 1973 Ascaris lumbricoides 6.6 2.3 Hymenolepis nana 7.7 5.5 Enterobius vermicularis 0.1 1.4 Taenia sp. 0.1 0.0 Entamoeba histolytica 3.2 7.5 Entamoeba coli 34.3 48.6 Giardia lamblia 2.7 9.7

A Comparison of Parasite Prevalence (%) Before and After Resettlement in Nubia

After Zawahry, 1964.

Overall, there was very little meaningful change in the prevalence of these parasitic infections. Except for <u>Entamoeba</u>, the prevalence levels were favorably low before and after resettlement. It was expected that the increased crowding necessitated by the resettlement plan would result in an increase in a number of communicable infections including the parasite diseases mentioned here. The slight increases seen in the protozoan infections may be a result of this change in living conditions. This increase can only be considered alarming if it indicates a continued upward trend. Follow-up surveillance is needed however, before this can be determined.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

Critical environmental measures such as water supply and water disposal were analyzed in the previous section under Parasitic Infections in a brief attempt to establish a relationship or association between these two variables. There are other interesting descriptive features concerning the water, wastewater and other environmental variables that were measured and should be elaborated on. (The details of the environmental data can be found in the appendix for tables; subheading Environmental Measures.)

The cutstanding features, however, are the fact that protected water supplies are present in almost every Egyptian village, and more rural houses in the sample had a latrine than did not. Two of the three village standpipes relied heavily on ground water raised by handpumps. Only the villages of Kazan Sharq (1) did not have some type of protected source and wereforced to carry from the river bank. Even here, public standpipes were being installed as the field activities for this study were closing in the fall of 1976.

THE NUBIANS

In terms of water, wastewater and housing, the Nubians benefited by their relocation to Kom Ombo enormously. All the new houses have electricity or have readily available points for connections into the village supply. Many homes, especially in Kurta (8), sprout television antennae. (Most villages of rural Egypt now have at least one television set, usually located at a village tea house. Small cheap battery-driven radios are also common and provide the creative environmental health worker with an important mechanism for the development of environmental health education and awareness.) All Nubian homes have latrines and the only source of water for the new Nubian homes is from public standpipes. Furthermore, the Nubains now have access to the medical care system through the rural health centers and units found throughout the resettlement area. Schools, cocial centers and club buildings have also been provided. The mere fact that the Nubians are no longer a remote population, difficult to travel to, and remote from the mainstream of Egypt's overall development, is an environmental improvement in itself. It is unfortunate that many Nubians have voiced a desire to return to old Nubia by establishing new settlements along the shores of Lake Nasser. To do so would: (1) dangerously expose them to schistoschiasis transmission via the Lake Nasser fishermen who have been found to have a high prevalence of this infection in their own groups (Dazo and Eiles, 1971); and (2) to provide a mechanism of malaria transmission from the Sudan into the downstream populations of rural Egypt (not to mention the devastating effect malaria would no doubt have on their own populations).

THE DOWNSTREAM STUDY SITES

Upper-Middle Egypt (Beni Suef) had more persons per standpipe and fewer homes with latrines than did the other two downstream study areas, 928 and 64.6% respectively. It is interesting that the multiparasitic infections (Table 13) are higher in this area also.

The typical village house in the three downstream study sites was made of mud brick with a packed earth floor. Stone, red brick, or mud and red brick were also common. Few houses examined stood alone. Many were bound on three sides (65.2% in El Hamra (17), Kafr El Sheikh) and reflect the close housing patterning necessitated by a limited amount of agricultural land, characteristic of Egyptian villages, towns and cities. Actually, crowding (persons per room) is less severe in the rural areas than in the urban ones. (Obtained from the results of comparing figures from this study with data collected by the 1960 census; Capmas.) Although conditions have changed since 1960, the direction has been entirely toward the urban centers. Severe crowding conditions in the home could not be described for Aswan and Nubia even if the rooms of these homes were small. The stable (used for chickens,

rabbits, ducks, goats, etc.), when located within the confines of the house (typically adjoining the courtyard), is a serious environmental hazard. Most homes in Kafr El Sheikh or Aswan had them inside. The Nubian homes were designed with inside stables.

i.

The stable floors are intense fly-breeding areas and are used in some homes as a handy and secluded location for defecating (Headlee, 1933). It is obvious that the transmission of a number of communicable diseases, including several infections not measured by this study, could be enhanced by the presence of the stable. The stable is an important site for improvement and must be included in environmental health programs. Currently, they are not.

Related to the stable and domestic animals is cooking with dried dung cakes. A detailed assessment was made on what different types of fuels were used for cooking. Dung alone was used most frequently in Beni Suef, and dung in combination with some other fuel (kerosene or ch.rcoal) was by far the most commonly sited source of cooking fuel. Fewer studied homes in Aswan cooked with dung than in the other downstream sites. It appeared that if other cooking fuel, besides dung, could be obtained it was preferred. Every attempt should be made to help the villager exercise this preference.

Animal waste may frequently be dumped in the street, in the yard or stable (where it originated), or sometimes in the canals. The street, where all village household wastewater is disposed, also is the most common site for dumping animal waste material. In the dry, hot south of Upper Egypt, desication remedies a sizable proportion of this problem. In the delta, especially during the wetter months, the unpaved village streets may become almost septic and impassable.

An encouragable number of homes were painted (itside, outside, or both) and many had electricity. The villages of Aswan, of course, benefitted most from the nearby location of the High Dam, but the results indicate that rural electrification programs are extensive and most likely growing. There was at least one or more television sets in every village.

The pit latrine was the type of latrine most frequently seen and by far the major of these latrines were sited as inside the house. Only in Bimban (10) were latrines most often located in the stable. Some had latrines and did not use them, especially in Mahalet Houssa (19), Kafr El Sheikh (17.0%).

The shortage of water for the home is interesting. Throughout the country-side, and seen also in urban locations where people congregated, are zirs or large earthenware water containers. These containers hold about 20 liters, have tapering pointed bottoms, and are slightly porous. Because of the pointed ends, various types of support structure are necessary, for the vessel will not otherwise stand. Furthermore, the vessel is supported so that the pointed tip does not touch the ground. The zir wher full, "sweats", that is, small amounts of water seep through the pores and blankets the vessel in a wet film of water that collects at the pointed tip and is used for drinking. The "sweating" also provides a measure of coolness to the container due to rapid evaporation in the hot and dry climate of Egypt. Water for drinking is also obtained directly from within by, more often than not, a tin can. Some zirs have lids.

Over 95% of the homen studied had zirs and used them to store water collected either from standpipes, handpumps, canals or other sources. Even homes with piped in water supplies (for example, a single tap in the courtyard) would use the zir to store the family's water supply. One might wonder why water would be stored in an earthenware zir when a piped source is available. The reasons are (1) because the water supply via the pipe is erratic; (2) the water from the zir is cooler; and (3) in the opinion of the rural Egyptian who has been drinking from the zir all of his or her life-water tastes best from a zir. All water that comes into the house, where it was used for drinking, cooking, washing, laundry, etc., passes through the zir storage container. From personal observation, it seemed that bigger houses and families had more zirs. The investigators were often told that the dried pit of the apricot would be ground into powder and added to the turbid water of the zir, apparently as a coagulant. These points are made because any health improvement program must consider the hygienic characteristics of the zir, and the role it plays in the rural Lgyptian household.

4

z

PEFERENCES

- Abdallah, A. 1973. A Resume of Some Pilot Control Projects Carried Out in Egypt in the Past 20 Years. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 48:290-307.
- Abdel-Salam, E. and Abdel-Fattah, M. 1972. Prevalence and Morbidity of Schistosoma Mematobium in Egyptian Children. A Controlled Study. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 26(3):463-469.
- Flamy, N.A. and Cline, B.L. 1977. Prevalence and Intensity of <u>Schistosoma</u> <u>aeratorium</u> and <u>S. manschi</u> Infections in Qalyub, Egypt. American Journal of propical Medicine and Hygiene. 26(3):470-472.
- Amin, H. and Zaghloul, H.F. 1959. The Present Status and Programs of Environmental Sanitation in Egypt. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 34(1):1-35.
- Ansari, 1. 1973. Epidemiology and Control of Schistosomiasis (Bilbarziasis). Baltimore: University Park Press, 1973.
- Attiah, M.A.; Eholy, A.M.; and Omran, A.R. 1962. Computed Incidence in Chronic Diseases by Applying the Life Table Approach to Prevalence Data. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 37:217-239.
- Ayad, N. 1966. The National Campaign Against Bilharziasis in the United Arab Republic. Pflanzenschutz-Nachrichten. 19:1-6.
- Ayad, N. 1969. The Anti-Bilharzial Campaign in the U.A.R. Proceedings of the First National Symposium on Bilharziasis. The Ministry of Scientific Research, Cairo, U.A.R.
- Azim, M.A. 1935. The Epidemiology and Endemiology of Schistosomiasis in Egypt. Journal of the Egyptian Medical 'ssociation. 18:215-227.
- bachmann, G. 1965. Assignment Report: Sewerage, Sewage Purification and Fumping Stations in the U.A.R., 1965. (EM/ES/70.4.65).
- Barlow, C.H. 1939. Seasonal Incidence of Infestation of the Snail Hosts with Larval Hurran Schistosomes. American Journal of Hygiene. 30:73-81.
- Bell, D.R.; Farooq, M.; Samaan, S.A.; Mallah, M.B.; and Jarockiy, L. 1967. Transmission of Urinary Schistosomiasis After the Introduction of Snail Control. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Parasitiology. 61: 422-428.

- Blagg, W.; Schloegel, E.L.; Mansour, N.S.; and Khalaf, G.I. 1955. A New Concentration Technique for the Demonstration of Protozoa and Helminth Ova in Feces. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 4:23-28.
- Bruijning, C.F.A. 1971. Water, Health, and Economic Progress. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 65:47-52.
- Cahill, K.M.; et al. 1966. Leishmaniasis in Egypt, UAR. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 60(1):79-82.
- CAPMAS. 1960. Census of Population. Volume II. General Tables. United Arab Republic, Department of Statistics and Census, Cairo, UAR.
- CAPMAS. 1976. The Preliminary Results of the Several Population and Housing Census, 22-23 November 1976 in Egypt. Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics, Cairo, UAR.
- Carter, L.J. 1969. Development in Poor Nations: How to Avoid Fouling the Nest. Science. 163:1046-1048.
- Chandler, A.C. 19 . An Evaluation of the Effects After Two Years of Sanitary Improvement in an Egyptian Village. Journal of the Royal Egyptian Medical Association. 36:257-265.
- Cheever, A.W. 1968. "A Quantitative Post Mortem Study of <u>Schistosomiasis</u> <u>mansoni</u> in Man." American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 17:38-64.
- Cheever, A.W.; Kamel, I.A.; Elwi, A.M.; Mosimann, J.E.; and Danner, R. 1977. Schistosoma mansoni and S. haematobium Infections in Egypt. II. Quantitative Parasitological Findings at Necropsy. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 26(4):702-726.
- Dawood, M.M. 1951. Bilharziasis in Bilad El Nuba in Egypt. Journal of the Egyptian Medical Association. 34:660.
- Dazo, B.C. and Biles, J.E. 1970. Schistosomiasis in the Kainji Lake Area, Nigeria. Report on a survey made in 1970. WHO/SCHISTO/72.21.
- Dazo, B.C. and Biles, J.E. 1971. The Schistosomiasis Situation in the Lake Nasser Area, A.R.E. WHO/SCHISTO/72.23.
- Dazo, B.C. and Biles, J.E. 1971a. Follow-up Studies on the Epidemiology of Schistosomiasis in the Kainji Lake Area, Nigeria. WHO/SCHISTO/73.29
- Dazo, B.C. and Biles, J.E. 1972. The Present Status of Schistosomiasis in the Nile Valley North of the Aswan High Dam. Unpublished Document. PD/72.14.
- Dazo, B.C. and Biles, J.E. 1972a. Investigations on Schistosomiasis in Ghana. Report on a visit to Project IR-0659. PD/72.12.

- Dazo, D.C.; Hairston, N.G.; and Dawood, I.K. 1966. The Ecology of Bulinus truncatus and Biomphalaria alexandria and its Implications for the Control of Bilharziasis in the Egypt-49 Project Area. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:339-356.
- Dawood, I.K.; Dazo, B.C.; and Farooq, M. 1966. Large-scale Application of Bayluscide and Sodium Pentachlorophenate in the Egypt-49 Project Area. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:357-367.
- Dimmette, E. Metal. 1956. Survey of an Egyptian Village Infected with S. haematobium. American Journal Clinical Pathology. 25:1032-1042.

EMH. 1975. Fayoum Control Project for Bilharziasis.

- EMPH. 1955. Results of a Country-wide Schistosomiasis Survey by Wright, W. E. in <u>Epidemiology and Control of Schistosomiasis</u> (<u>Bilharziasis</u>), ed. Ansari, N. baltimore: University Park Press. pp. 42-48.
- Fahim, H.M. 1974. The New Nubian Resettlement in Egypt: A Descriptive Report Field Research Projects. Coconut Grove, Miami, Florida.
- Farid, M.A. 1975. The Aswan High Dam Development Project in Man-made Lakes and Human Health, ed. Stanley, N.F. and Alpers, M.P. Lordon: Academic Press. pp. 89-102.
- Farooq, M. 1964. New Partnership in Schistosomiasis Control: Socioeconomic Overplays with Bilharzia. Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 67:265.
- Farooq, M. 1966. Importance of Determining Transmission Sites in Planning Bilharziasis Control. American Journal of Epidemiology. 83:603.
- Farooq, M. 1967. Progress in Bilharziasis Control: The Situation in Egypt. WHO Chronicle. 21:175-184.
- Farooq, M. 1973. Historical Development in Epidemiology and Control of Schistosomiasis (Bilharziasis), ed. Ansari, N. Baltimore: University Fark Press. pp. 1-16.
- Farcoq, M. and Hairston, M.G. 1966. The Epidemiology of <u>Schistosoma</u> <u>Daematobium</u> and <u>S. mansoni</u> Infections in the Egypt-49 Project Area. <u>IV. Measurement of the Incidence of Bilharziasis</u>. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:331-338.
- Farooq, M.; Hairston, N.G.; and Samaan, S.A. 1966. The Effect of Area-wide Snail Control on the Endemicity of Bilharziasis in Egypt. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:369-375.
- Farooq, M. and Mallah, M.B. 1966. The Behavioural Pattern of Social and Religious Water-contact Activities in the Egypt-49 Bilharziasis Project Area. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:377-387.

- Farooq, M.; Samaan, S.A.; and Nielsen, J. 1966b. Assessment of Severity of Disease Caused by S. haematobium and S. mansoni in the Egypt-49 Project Area. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:389-404.
- Farocq, M.; Nielsen, J.; Samaan, S.A.; Mallah, M.B.; and Allam, A.A. 1966. The Epidemiology of <u>Schistoscma haematobium</u> and <u>S. mansoni</u> Infections in the Egypt-49 Project Area: 2. Prevalence of Bilharziasis in Relation to Personal Attributes and Habits. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:293-318.
- Farooq, M.; Nielsen, J.; Samaan, S.A.; Mallah, M.B.; and Allam, A.A. 1966a. The Epidemiology of <u>Schistosoma haematobium</u> and <u>S. mansoni</u> Infections in the Egypt-49 Project Area: 3. Prevalence of Bilharziasis in Relation to Certain Environmental Factors. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:319-330.
- Farooq, M. and Nielsen, J. 1966. The Epidemiology of Schistosoma haematobium and S. mansoni Infections in the Egypt-49 Project Area: 1. Sampling Techniques and Procedures for Measuring the Prevalence of Bilharziasis. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 35:281-292.
- Fernea, R.A. and Gerster, G. 1973. Nubians in Egypt. Peaceful People. Austin: University of Texas Press.
- Fogel, L.J.; Sullivan, D.; and Maxfield, M. 1972. Epidemiological Consequences of Schistosomiasis in Egypt. Washington, D.C.
- Freeman, P.H. 1974. The Environmental Impact of a Large Tropical Reservoir: Guidelines for Policy and Planning: Eased on a Case Study of Lake Volta, Ghana in 1973 and 1974. Office of International and Environmental Programs, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.
- Furnia, A.H. 1975. Syncrisis: The Dynamics of Health XVI: The Arab Republic of Egypt. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Public Health Service. Office of International Health, Division of Program Analysis.
- Gilles, H.M.; Zaki, A.A.; Soussa, M.H.; Samaan, S.A.; Soliman, S.S.; Hassan, A.; and Barbosa, F. 1973. Results of a Seven Year Snail Control Project on the Endemicity of <u>Schistosoma haematobium</u> Infection in Egypt. American Journal Tropical Medicine and Parasitology. 67(1): 45-65.
- Gremliza, F.G.L. 1965. A Method for Measuring the Quality of Village Conditions in Less Developed Rural Areas. American Journal of Public Health. 55:107-115.
- Halawani, A. 1957. Mass Treatment and Control Service of Schistosoniasis and Endemic Diseases in Egypt. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 32:123-135.

Hairston, N.G. 1965. An Analysis of Age Prevalence Data by Catalytic Models. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 33:163-175.

- Hamman, N.M.; Allam, F.A.; Massanein, F.; and El Carby, M.T. 1975. Pelationship Between Pure <u>Schiptosoniasis baenatobium</u> Infection in Upper Egypt and Irrigation Systems. Gazette of the Egyptian Paediatric Association. July-Oct. 23(3+4):201-277.
- Headlee, U.N. 1933. Epidemiological Study of Helminth Infections in an Egyptian Village. Soil Pollution and Soil Infestation. American Journal of Hygiene. 18:695-711.
- Harinasuta, C.; Sornmani, C.; and Kitikoon, V. 1972. Infection of Aquatic Hydrobiid Snaills and Animals with <u>Schistosoma japonicum</u>-like parasites from Khang Island, Jouthern Laos. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 66:184.
- Hassouma, W. 1975. Bellefs, Practices, Environment and Services Affecting the Survival, Crowth, and Development of Young Egyptian Children. The Egyptian Institute of National Planning, Cairo.
- Heyneman, L. 1971. Mis-aid to the Third World: "isease Repercussions Caused by Ecological Ignorance. Canadian Journal of Public Health. 62:303-313.
- Hiatt, R.A. 1976. Morbidity from <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u> Infections: An Epidemiologic Study Based on Quantitative Analysis of Egg Excretion in Two Highland Ethicpian Villages. American Journal of Tropical Medicing and Hygiene. 25(6):808-817.
- Hira, P.F. 1969. Transmission of Schistosomiasis in Lake Kariba, Zambia. Nature. 224:670-672.
- Hussein, M. 1972. Unpublished mimeograph on health manpower. High Institute of Public Health, University of Alexandria, Alexandria, Egypt.
- Imeviore, A.M.A. 1975. The Kainji Dam and Health in <u>Man-made Lakes and</u> <u>Human Health</u>, ed. Stanley, N.F. and Alpers, M.P. London: Academic Press. pp.209-220.
- Jordon, P. 1972. Schistosomiasis and Disease in <u>Schistosomiasis</u>, ed. Miller, M.J. New Orleans: Tulane University. pp. 17-23.
- Mamal, A.M. 1952. Bored-hole Latrines in Sirs-El-Hayan District. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 31(2):53.
- Knight, W.B.; Hiatt, R.A.; Clure, B.L.; and Ritchie, L.S. 1976. A Modification of the Formal-Ether Concentration Technique for Increased Sensitivity in Detecting <u>Schistosoma mansoni</u> eggs. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 25(6):818.

Khalil, H. 1949. The National Compaign for the Treatment and Control of Bilharziasis From the Scientific and Economic Aspects. Journal of the Egyptian Medical Association. 32:817-856.

Chalil, M. 1927. Feport and Notes of the Public Health Lab No. 6, Cairo.

- Khalil, M. and Azim, M.A. 1935. The Introduction of Schistosoma Infection Through Irrigation Schemes in the Aswan Area, Egypt. Journal of the Egyptian Medical Association. 18:371-496.
- Khalil, M. and Azim, M.A. 1938. Further Observations on the Introduction of Infection with <u>S. haematobium</u> Through the Irrigation Schemes in Aswan Province. Journal of the Egyptian Medical Association. 21:95-101.
- Lanoix, J.N. 1958. Relation Between Irrigation Engineering and Bilharziasis. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 18:1011-1035.
- Leiper, R.T. 1915. Report on the Results of the Bilharzia Mission in Egypt, 1915. Part I. Transmission. Journal of the Royal Army Medical Corps. 25.1-55.
- MacDonald, G. 1955. Medical Implications of the Volta River Project. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 49:13-27.
- McJuukin, F.E. 1970. Engineering Measures for Control of Schistosomiasis. Office of Health. Bureau of Technical Assistance. Agency for International Development. Washington, D.C. 20523. September, 1970.
- Messina, A.M. 1970. Planning of Environmental and Sanitary Engineering Activitie: in the Lake Nasser Area, June-July, 1970. EM/ES/163. October, 1970.
- Miller, F.D.; Hussein, M.; Mancy, K.H.; and Hilbert, M.S. 1978. Schistosomissis in Rural Egypt: A Report of U.S. Egyptian River Nile and Lake Nasser Research Project. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, Georgia. Publication No. EPA-600/1-78-070.
- Mitwally, H. and El-Sharkaw, F. 1970. Methodology and Application of Measuring Housing Conditions for Rural Areas in U.A.R. and Similar Developing Countries. Part I. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 45(5):420.
- Mobarkic, E.M. 1975. Fayoum Control Project for Bilharziasis. Egyptian Ministry of Health, Cairo.
- Moser, C.A. and Kalton, G. 1972. Survey Methods in Social Investigation. New York: Basic Books, Inc. Publishers. p.467.
- Nagaty, H.F. and Rifaat, M.A. 1957. A Parasitological Survey of the Kharga and Dakhla Oases in 1952 and of the Kakhla Oases in 1955. Journal of the Egyptian Medical Association. 40:444.

- Nooman, Z.M.; Mafeh, M.A.; El-Kateb, H.; Atta, S.M.; and Ezzat, E.S. 1974. Hepatosplenic Disease Caused by <u>Bilharzia haematobium</u> in Upper Egypt. Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 77(2):42-48.
- Obeng, L.E. 1975. Health Problems of the Volta Lake Ecosystem in <u>Man-made</u> <u>Lakes and Human Health</u>, ed. Stanley, N.F. and Alpers, M.P. London: <u>Academic Press.</u> pp. 221-232.
- Omran, A.R.; Kholy, A.M.; and El-Sayedali, A. 1962. Epidemiological Basis of Research in Bilharziasis in Egypt. Proceeding of International Symposium on Bilharziasis, Part I. pp. 183-210.
- . 1966. Impact of Economic Development on Health Patterns in Egypt. Archives of Environmental Health. 13:117-124.
- . 1973. Egypt: Population Problems and Prospects. Chapel Hill, North Carolina: Carolina Population Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.
- Tifaat, M.A. 1964. A Parasitological Survey in Mersa-Matruah Government UAR. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 39(1):49.
- Rifaat, M.A. and Nagaty, H.F. 1970. A Survey of Parasitic Infections Including Malaria and Nutritional Diseases in Nubia Before the Construction of the High Aswan Dam. Ain Shams Medical Journal. 21(2):155.
- Rifaat, M.A.; Salem, S.A.; and Morsy, T.A. 1963. Parasitological Survey in El Waady El Gadeed, UAR. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 38:199-202.
- Rifaat, ".A.; Salem, S.A.; and Nagaty, H.F. 1964. Parasitological and Serological Surveys in Wadi El Natrum, UAR. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 39(1):17.
- Ruffer, M.A. 1910. Note on the Presence of <u>Bilharzia haematobia</u> in Egyptian Mummies of the Twenthieth Dynasty (1250-1000 B.C.). British Medical Journal. 1:16-25.
- Russell, C.S. and Landsberg, H.H. 1971. Internal Environmental Problems-a Taxonomy. Science. 172(25 June):1307-1314.
- Satti, M.H. 1969-1970. Lake Nasser Development Center (Health Aspects). November, 1969 - September, 1970.
- Shawarby, A.A.; et al. 1965. Incidence of Filariasis in Egypt. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 40(4):267.
- Sherif, A.F. 1968. A New Trend for Controlling Schistosomiasis in Hyperendemic Area at Iflaka, UAR by Elimination of the Parasite from Man and Vector. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 43(1):30.

- Scott, D. and Chu, K.Y. 1974. Project IR 0658 (RAF/71/217). Research in the Epidemiology and Methodology of Control of Schistosomiasis in Man-made Lakes; Report on a Visit Made From the Project Based in Ghana to Lake Kosson in Ivory Coast MPD/24.7. WHO unpublished.
- Scott, J.A. 1937. The Incidence and Distribution of the Human Schistosomiasis in Egypt. American Journal of Hygiene. 25:566-614.
- Scott, J.A. 1937a. The Prevalence and Distribution of Hookworm Infection in Egypt. American Journal of Hygiene. 26:455-503.
- Scott, J.A. 1937b. Observations on Infection With the Common Roundworm, Ascaris lumbricoides, in Egypt. American Journal of Hygiene. 30:83.
- Scott, J.A. 1969. Schistosomiasis Control in Water Supply Sources. Journal of the American Water Works Association. 11:352-354.
- Shindy, Baligh. 1977. Personal Communication, Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture, Cairo.
- Sterling, C. 1972. Superdams: The Perile of Progress. Boston: The Atlantic Monthly Company. April. pp. 35-41.
- Tuli, R.L. 1966. Report on a WHO Mission for Public Health Aspects of Lake Nasser Development. CPD/67.3.
- van der Schalie, H. 1960. Egypt's New Dam--Asset or Liability? The Biologist. 42:63-70.
- . 1963. People and Their Snail Borne Diseases. Michigan Quarterly Review. 2:2,106-114.
- . 1972. World Health Organization Project Egypt-10: A Case History of a Schistosomiasis Control Project in <u>The Careless Technology</u>, ed. Faruar, M.T. and Milton, J.F. Garden City, New York: The Natural History Press.
- . 1974. Aswan Dam Revisited. Environment. 16(9):18-20, 25-26.
- Waddy, B.B. 1975. Research into the Health Froblems of Man-made Lakes with Special Reference to Africa. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 69:39-50.
- Warren, K.S. 1975. Schistosomiasis: Selected Abstracts 1852-1972. Cambridge, Massachusetts and London, England: M.I.T. Press.
- Warren, K.S. and Mahmoud, A.A. 1975. Algorithms in the Diagnosis and Management of Exotic Diseases. I. Schistosomiasis. Journal of Infectious Diseases. 131(35):614-620.
- Waterbury, J. 1971. Manpower and Population Planning in the Arab Republic of Egypt. Part I.: Population Review 1971. American University Field Staff, Inc. XVII: 2.

Waterbury, J. 1974. The Balance of People, Land and Water in Modern Egypt. American University Field Staff, Inc. XIX: 1.

- Webbe, G. 1972. Control of Schistosomiasis in Ethiopia, Sudan, and East and West African Countries in Proceedings of a Symposium on the Future of Schistosomiasis Control, ed. Willer, M.J. New Orleans, Louisiana: Tulane University.
- Webster, M.H. 1975. Medical Aspects of the Kariby Hydroelectric Scheme in <u>Man-rade Lakes and Human Health</u>, ed. Stanley, M.F. and Alpers, M.F. London: Academic Press. pp. 69-88.
- Weir, J.M. 1969. The Unconquered Plague. Rockefeller Foundation Quarterly. 2:4-21.
- Weir, H.M.; Wasif, I.M.; Hassan, F.R.; Attia, S.D.M.; and Kader, M.A. 1952. An Evaluation of Health and Sanitation in Egyptian Villages. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 29(3):55.
- White, H.M.; Dobrovolny, C.G.; and Berry, E.G. 1958. Field Trials of Various Molluscicides (chiefly Sodium pentachlcrophenate) for the Control of Aquatic Intermediate Hosts of Human Bilharziasis. Eulletin of the World Health Organization. 18:963-974.
- WHO. 1967. Measurement of the Public Health. Importance of Bilharziasis. Technical Reprint Serial Number 349.
- Wright, W.H. 1972. A Consideration of the Economic Impact of Schistosomiasis. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 47:559-566.
 - . 1973. Geographical Distribution of Schistosomiasis and Their Intermediate Host in Epidemiology and Control of Schistosomiasis (Bilharziasis), ed. Ansari, N. Baltimore: University Park Press. pp. 52-48.
- Zaghloul, A.Z. 1963. Eural Health Services in U.A.R. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Assocation. 38:217-242.
- Zawahry, M.M. 1962. Bilharzia in Children 0-12. Proceedings of the 1st International Symposium on Bilharziasis, Part I. pp. 211-228.

_____. 1964. A Health Survey in Egyptian Nubia. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association. 39(5):313-340.

APPENDIX I.1

COMPOSITE TABLE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

Environmental Factors



54



APPENDIX II.1

Tabulations of Housing Variables by Study Sites, Percent of Houses with Attributes

(not including the Nubian study sites)

						Vi	11age	Study	Site						
		A	swan				Ber	i Suef				Kafr E	1 Shei	kh	
	1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Construction Material					_										_
Stone or Red Brick	10.4	8.5	62.6	0.0	2.6	7.5	10.9	2.4	4.1	4.0	25.6	4.5	10.5	23.4	11.7
Mud Brick	78.1	12.1	1.6	87.6	94.8	86.9	61.2	\$6.6	91.9	58.8	69.8	91.5	84.3	71.6	70.6
Wood or Reed	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
Mud and Red Brick	8.9	79.5	32.5	1.0	0.0	1.9	27.4	38.2	4.1	32.7	3.5	1.0	2.6	5.1	16.4
Red brick and Wood or Reed	6.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Red Brick and Mud Brick and Wood or Reed	0.5	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Mud Brick and Wood or Reed	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	1.9	0.0	3.5	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
No Information	1.6	0.0	0.0	11.4	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	2.6	0.0	0.0

50

÷.

II.1.2 (continued)

....

1 -

	Village Study Site														
		A	swan			Village Study Site Beni Suef Kafr El Sheikh 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 2 5 2.7 0.7 1.0 3.2 1.7 9.3 0.0 8.3 20.5 9 .3 6.4 5.6 21.0 5.5 4.0 21.0 4.5 17.6 37.3 1 .9 48.4 24.9 51.5 29.3 23.0 64.1 30.3 54.9 41.0 7									
	1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	-15	16	17	18	19	20
Structure Attachment															
Detached	9.0	5.4	4.1	9.9	3.5	2.7	0.7	1.0	3.2	1.7	9.3	0.0	8.3	20.5	9.5
One Side Only	43.7	24.6	13.0	39.6	11.3	6.4	5.6	21.0	5.5	4.0	21.0	4.5	17.6	37.3	13.1
Two Sides	41.3	39.7	34.1	44.0	57.9	48.4	24.9	\$1.5	29.3	23.0	64.1	30.3	54.9	41.0	77.4
Three Sides	6.0	30.4	48.8	6.6	27.4	42.5	68.5	26.5	62.0	71.4	5.6	65.2	19.3	1.2	0.0
Staircase															
Fixed	15.7	6.7	11.5	0.0	6.7	72.0	77.0	68.0	58.2	59.8	71.7	92.4	44.2	7.8	19.3
Mobile	2.3	2.7	1.6	1.1	35.0	9.7	7.9	5.1	12.0	27.2	26.6	4.1	36.0	75.8	32.8
None	82.0	90.6	86.9	98.9	58.3	18.3	15.1	26.3	29.8	13.0	1.7	3.5	19.8	15.4	8.9
Television															
Present	5.5	16.3	0.8	3.6	1.4	4.2	3.0	3.5	0.3	3.0	2.6	2.8	4.3	4.1	0.7
Not Present	94.5	83.7	99.2	96.4	98.6	95.8	97.0	96.5	99.7	97.0	97.4	97.2	95.7	95.9	99.3

5

57

.

11.1.3 (continued)

1 1

.

	Village Study Site															
		А	swan				Ben	i Suef				Kafr E	1 Shea	ıkh		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
Ownership																
Own	40.3	12.1	5.7	4.2	4.8	1.9	7.5	0.9	2.0	6.1	2.0	1.0	4.7	10.4	0.9	
Rent	59.2	87.5	86.2	95.8	94.1	89.7	89.9	98.1	76.0	93.4	98.0	99.0	94.2	89.1	93.4	
No Information	0.5	0.4	8.1	0.0	1.1	8.4	2.5	0,9	21.9	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.5	5.7	
Stable																
Inside	59.4	79.5	65.9	71.0		Data	Not A	vailab	le		79.6	73.8	63.7	57.3	73.1	
Outside	10.4	2.7	22.0	10.0							3.5	3.3	7.3	4.4	4.2	
None	20.8	15.2	11.4	7.1							15.6	7.0	27.1	38.1	15.5	
Inside and Outside	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.0							0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	
No Information	8.8	2.2	0.0	11.9							1.3	16.0	1.3	0.2	7.3	
Waste Container																
Yes	10.2	88.8	2.4	39.1							1.2	2.3	3.2	1.8	26.9	
No	89.8	11.2	97.6	60.9							98.8	97.7	96.8	98.2	73.1	

1.

+

53

,

.

ł

II.1.4 (continued)

	Village Study Site														
		Aswan					Kafr El Sheikh								
	1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Painted Walls															
Exterior	5.7	2.2	26.0	14.3	6.8	3.7	0.5	1.9	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.5	5.8	3.0	4.2
Interior	5.7	6.3	52.0	26.2	15.7	7.5	13.4	7.5	3.0	7.0	18.1	10.6	16.8	28.9	11.7
None	14.1	23.2	3.3	47.1	77.5	76.6	73.6	9.0	85.3	62.3	76.4	81.9	62.8	55.3	82.2
Exterior and Interior	70.8	67.4	18.7	0.0	0.0	12.1	11.4	78.3	9.1	27.1	4.0	3.0	13.1	11.2	1.4
No Information	3,6	0.8	0.0	12.4	1.0	0.0	1.0	3.4	0.5	2.5	0.0	1.0	1.6	1.5	0.5
ighting															
Electricity	46.9	79.9	44.7	40.5	14.1	28.0	22.4	31.6	0.0	36.7	50.3	7.0	30.4	2.0	0.0
Kerosene	46.9	19.6	42.3	47.1	85.9	66.4	74.1	67.0	99.0	61.8	47.7	88.4	68.1	97.5	99.1
Other	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.9	1.0	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.9
Electricity and Kerosene	0.0	0.0	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Kerosene and Other	0.0	0.0	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
No Information	6.3	0.0	0.0	11.9	0.0	4.7	2.5	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0

.

.

.
II.1.5 (continued)

1.1

						• •	Hage	Study	Site						
		A	swan				Ber	i Sucf				Kafr E	1 Shei	kh	
	1	2	3	4	10	11	12 .	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
lastewater Drainage															
Concrete	5.1	2.8	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.0	2.7	1.0	1.6
Pipe	3.4	0.0	1.7	0.5	0.0	1.8	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	19.4
Brick	1.7	0.0	0.8	1.1	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.2	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.5
Tile	1.7	0.0	0.8	0.5	0.0	1.8	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.5
Earth	20.3	96.3	71.2	95.6	100.0	93.6	98.4	92.3	7.6	0.0	43.2	0.0	92.0	98.5	73.7
Other	67.8	0.9	25.4	1.1	0.0	0.9	1.0	3.3	91.4	99.0	0.0	99.0	0.5	0.0	4.1

....

٦

· · · ·

1

II.1.6 (continued)

						V	illage	Study	Site						
		A	swan				Ber	i Suel	ſ			kafr I	1 She	i kh	
	1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Storage Place for Fuel Materials															
Roof	3.6	10.7	7.3	47.1	8.4	82.2	88.6	79.2	73.6	95.5	100.0	98.0	95.4	94.9	94.4
Stable	3.1	19.3	15.4	25.2	27.7	3.7	1.5	4.2	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	1.4
Storage Room	1.6	3.1	61.8	14.3	33.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	2.5	0.5
Yard	59.9	75.0	15.4	1.9	29.8	7.5	8.5	14.6	25.9	2.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	2.0	3.7
None Present	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Roof and Storage Room	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Roof and Yard	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Stable and Storage Room	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
No Information	31.3	0.0	0.0	11.4	1.0	3.7	0.5	1.4	0.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0

II.1.7 (continued)

Village Study Site

-

		A	swan				Ben	i Suef	2			Kafr E	1 Shci	kh	
	1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
oof Material															
Concrete	7.8	3.1	0.8	1.0	1.0	2.8	0.5	1.4	1.0	0.5	12.1	5.0	8.9	13,7	7.9
Wood	2.1	4.0	0.8	3,8	1.0	9.3	11.4	20.3	72.6	0.5	1.5	3.5	2.1	4.6	2.3
Reed	1.0	6.3	2.4	2.4	95.8	8.4	40.3	10.4	15.7	4.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.0	1.9
Mud	66.7	73.2	40.7	81.4	2.1	37.4	3.0	0.5	0.0	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	80.4
Concrete and Wood	0.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.5	3.0	0.0
Concrete and Mud	1.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7
Concrete and Wood and Reed	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0
Wood and Reed	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.5	11.4	49.5	9.6	3.0	2.0	87.4	29.8	75.6	0.0
Reed and Mud	1.0	5.8	30.1	0.5	0.0	31.8	29.9	9.4	0.0	7.5	G.0	0.0	19.9	0.0	0.5
Concrete and Reed	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.9
Wood and Reed and Mud	0.0	0.0	24.4	0.0	0.0	0.9	3.0	0.0	0.0	54.8	79.4	3.0	23.6	0.0	0.5
No Information	19.3	4.5	0.8	11.0	0.0	2.8	0.5	5.7	1.0	26.6	2.0	0.5	13.6	0.0	2.9

II.1.8 (continued)

						v	illage	Study	Site						
		А	swan				Ber	ni Suel	f			Kafr 1	1 She	i kh	
	1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Floor Construction															
Earth	56.3	26.8	91.1	83.8	95.3	85.0	80.6	87.3	90.9	78,9	86.4	97.5	89.0	81.7	94.9
Concrete	21.9	0.9	0.0	1.9	3.7	3.7	0.5	0.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.4
Tile	7.3	5.4	0.0	0.0	1.0	4.7	5.5	2.8	4.1	6.0	6.5	0.5	4.7	9.1	1.4
Wood	0.0	0.4	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Earth and Concrete	3.1	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	2.1	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.9
Farth and Tile	1.0	18.8	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.9	6.5	6.6	3.0	14.1	0.5	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0
Earth and Wood	0.0	0.0	8.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Earth and Concrete and Tile	0.0	20.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Concrete and Tile	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.0
Tile and Wood	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.5	4.1	0.0
No Information	10.4	0.0	0.8	12.9	0.0	2.8	6.0	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.5	0.0

II.1.9 (continued)

						V	illage	Study	Site						
		A	swan				Ber	1: Such	E			Kafr I	1 She	ikh	
	1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Number of Persons Living in House															
1	4.7	4.0	2.6	15.5	12.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	4.9	1.3	0.8	0.6	0.1	2.0	0.7
2	9.4	4.9	3.5	15.0	13.8	1.7	4.3	3.4	9.5	3.6	6.1	5.1	1.4	8.4	7.3
3	14.1	6.7	7.0	15.5	18.0	4.6	7.9	7.1	8.5	6.5	11.9	9.0	3.4	8.3	8.6
4	18.8	14.3	7.9	19.3	11.6	13.0	9.5	8.6	15.5	15.6	11.3	14.7	7.4	15.1	12.0
5	22.9	20.6	11.3	14.4	10.1	26.3	10.8	11.8	16.1	14.5	20.5	15.8	5.4	10.7	16.0
6	11.2	8.5	13.9	10.2	7.9	15.2	13.4	17.3	16.5	14.8	16.3	13.4	14.2	18.5	15.4
7	10.6	9.0	8.7	5.9	6.9	9.4	15.9	10.9	13.0	14.4	20.3	12.2	11.9	7.4	12.4
8	4.7	13.5	9.6	2.7	6.3	6.5	8.6	17.4	7.6	7.5	6.9	9.8	12.8	13.1	11.8
9	1.2	7.6	7.0	1.1	4.2	7.2	9.4	8.0	6.1	12.5	3.9	15.4	6.8	8.9	9.7
10	1.2	3.1	6.1	0.5	1.1	5.6	4.0	4.8	0.0	1.8	2.2	1.8	6.6	1.5	4.8
More than 10	1.2	7.5	15.5	0.0	7.8	8.3	15.0	9.6	2.3	7.5	0.0	2.2	30.0	6.1	1.3

64

~

(continued) 11.1.10

1

						٧	illage	Study	Site					ar.	
			Aswar	1			Be	ni Suc	f			Kafr	El She	ikh	
	1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
f Rooms use															

Number of Roams in House															
1	9.4	5.0	0.0	0.0	25.1	11.9	24.6	12.4	32.7	0.5	1.5	29.9	2.1	1.5	3.7
2	34.3	19.4	4.9	7.0	29.8	28.7	24.1	26.3	26.0	7.6	30.2	35.6	18.1	2.5	17.8
3	29.3	18.9	3.3	11.2	21.5	15.8	21.0	29.2	23.5	16.2	47.2	22.2	23.4	7.6	19.6
4	11.0	17.6	14.6	28.3	11.5	20.8	10.8	13.9	8.2	24.4	17.1	8.8	26.6	9.1	22.4
s	6.1	13.1	13.0	24.1	3.7	9.9	7.2	7.7	4.6	18.3	2.5	1.0	10.6	12.2	9.3
6	4.4	11.3	29.3	10.7	1.6	6.9	4.1	4.3	2.0	13.7	1.0	1.0	7.4	23.9	14.0
7	1.7	6.3	7.3	10.7	1.6	0.0	3.6	3.3	2.0	8.1	0.5	0.5	5.3	33.0	4.2
8	0.6	4.5	16.3	5.3	2.6	3.0	2.6	2.9	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	2.7	8.1	3.7
More than 8	3.4	4.3	11.3	2.6	2.5	3.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	4.0	0.0	1.0	3.7	2.0	5.2

II.1.11 (continued)

Village Study Site Азwал Beni Suef Kafr El Sheikh 1 2 3 10 11 12 13 4 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Storage of Water Meta1 1.6 0.9 0.8 4.8 0.0 1.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 1.6 1.0 0.9 0.5 Ceramic 85.7 67.0 97.6 81.2 100.0 96.4 100.0 98.6 100.0 98.0 93.0 95.0 97.9 98.0 98.6 Earthenware 0.5 20.1 0.0 2.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 4.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.5 Other 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.5 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 Metal and Ceramic 4.2 4.9 0.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Metal and 0.0 1.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 Earthenware 0.0 0.0 Metal and Ce.amic 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 and Earthenware 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Ceramic and 0.0 5.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Earthenware 0.0 0.0 No Information 6.9 0.4 0.8 11.1 0.0 0.5 0.0 1.0 1.8 0.0 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0

11.1.12 (continued)	
-----------	------------	--

						Vi	illage	Study	Site						
		A	swan				Ben	i Suef				Kafr E	1 Shei	kh	
	1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Cooking Fuel															
Gas	1.6	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	1.5	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.9
011	74.0	17.4	15.4	72.9	75.9	9.3	20.4	0.5	14.7	3.0	4.0	2.5	21	0.5	9.8
Hood	2.1	0.9	3.3	12.9	22.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	37.1	1.5	2.5	2.5	1.0	0.0	0.5
Dung	2.1	0.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	69.2	34.8	67.5	0.5	43.7	0.0	13.6	1.0	2.0	0.5
Gas and Oil	0.5	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
Gas and Wood	0.5	2.2	0.8	0.0	0.5	0.9	0.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0
Gas and Dung	0.0	24.1	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5
Gas, Oil and Wood	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Oil and Wood	2.6	1.3	16.3	0.0	0.0	0.9	8.0	0.6	1.5	0.5	13.6	37.2	19.9	64.0	0.0
Wood and Dung	0.5	0.4	21.1	0.0	0.0	4.7	7.0	0.0	42.1	26.2	13.6	11.1	20.9	28.9	0.5
Oil, Wood and Dung	0.0	0.0	24.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	12.1	54.8	26.6	17.8	0.0	0.0
No Information	16.1	45.5	16.3	10.5	1.6	5,6	23.4	27.8	1.5	2.0	10.6	5.5	35.1	3.6	79.4

-

67

-

II.1.13 (continued)

						Vi	llage	Study	Site						
		A	swan				Бег	i Suef				Kafr I	El Shei	ikh	
	1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Latrine															
Present	65.3	96.7	14.4	40.8	50.5	25.0	32.5	24.3	17.9	90.8	98.5	87.0	80.3	90.2	91.2
Not Present	34.7	3.3	85.6	59.2	49.5	75.0	67.5	75.7	82.1	9.2	1.5	13.0	19.7	9.8	8.8

68

 Is there a cover for the latrine?

 Yes
 13.3 96.6 12.4 39.1 50.0
 17.4 32.9 23.2 14.1 95.9
 78.9 87.9 80.2 2.4 91.0

 No
 86.7 3.4 87.6 60.9 50.0
 82.6 67.1 76.8 85.9 4.1
 21.1 12.1 19.8 97.6 9.0

11.1.14 (continued)

						Vil	lage	Study	Site						
		As	wan				Ben	i Suef			,	afr El	Shei	kh	
	1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Type of Latrine															
Borehole	15.4	5.5	0.0	7.8	1.1	9.5	0.0	0.0	11.8	0.9	1.7	0.7	12.5	6.8	6.3
Pit	69.2	84.0	43.8	\$0.2	0.0	81.0	94.7	71.7	17.6	98.3	79.6	65.5	76.3	41.1	76.6
Masonry Walls	7.7	10.5	56.3	2.0	98.9	9.5	5.3	28.3	70.6	0.9	18.8	27.5	11.2	52.1	16.6
Borehole and Masonry Walls	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pit and Masonry Walls	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.5
Water Carriage Present in Latrine?															
Yes	4.0	0.5	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0,6	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	U.6	0.0
No	96.0	99.5	100	97.7	100	100.0	99.4	98.2	:00.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.4	98.9	100.0
No Information														0.6	
Septic Tank Present?											•			a.	
Yes	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0		0.0	3.9	10 5	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.0
No	100	99.5	100	100		100.0	96.1	89.5	100.0	100.0	99.0	100.0	\$9.5	99.4	100.0

.

11.1.15 (continued)

						Vi	llage	Study	Site						
		A	swan				Ben	i Sucf				Kafr E	1 Shei	kh	
	1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Cesspool Present?															
Yes	15.4	0.5	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	13.4	6.9	7.9	0.9	16.5	8.3	73.4	6.8	0.9
No	84.6	99.5	100	97.5	100	100.0	86.6	93.1	92.1	99.1	83.5	91.7	26.6	93.2	99.1
Location of Latrine															
Inside	82.1	88.1	77.8	46.3	20.5	88.9	95.5	92.6	91.4	98.3	98.4	94.0	99.3	92.9	2.4
Outside	14.3	11.4	22.2	53.7	1.2	11.1	4.5	7.4	8.6	1.7	0.5	6.0	0.7	7.1	97.6
Stable	3.6	0.5	0.0	0.0	78.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Superstructure?															
Yes	9.8	1.0	1.5	73.4		10.0	3.3	2.0	28.9	0.0	2.1	0.6	1.6	2.2	0.0
No	90.2	99.0	98.5	26.6		90.0	96.7	98.0	71.1	100.0	97.9	99.4	98.4	97.8	100.0

11.1.16 (continued)

					1	illage	e Study	Site						
		Aswan				Kafr El Sheikh								
1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	15	17	18	19	20

1

1

Animal Waste Material

Stable 2.9 25.1 20.3 7.4 Data Not Available 1.0 0.0 1.1 42.5 1.5 Yard 6.6 3.1 22.0 3.2 1.0 4.7 0.5 1.2 0.0 Street 69.1 14.8 53.7 89.4 92.4 88.4 32.4 9.7 13.0 Canal 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.0 24.8 45.5 1.0 Roof 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.4 0.7 1.0 0.0 None 21.3 57.0 4.1 0.0 3.5 9.6 35.7 42.5 41.4 Stable Cleaning Daily 72.5 85.6 7.1 29.9 97.3 93.4 69.0 59.8 73.9 Weekly 24.2 13.8 60.2 36.9 0.9 1.5 12.0 0.0 20.3 Monthly 1.3 0.0 31.0 32.6 0.0 1.4 0.0 0.9 0.0 Never 2.0 0.5 0.9 0.5 1.2 3.6 19.0 38.9 5.6 Weekly and Monthly 0.0 0.0 0.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.0

II.I.I/ (continued)	

4

1.

						v	illuge	Study	Site						
		A	swan				Bo	ni Sue	f			Kafr E	I Sheil	h	
	1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
House Approach															
Non-Earth:															
Clean	5.9	99.1	9.1	25.4	í.	Da	ta Not	Avail.	able		83.6	0.2	86.0	16.7	17.3
Littered	2.2	0.0	0.0	22.2	2						5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5
Dry	91.4	0.0	90.9	51.9	9						5.3	93.4	3.0	83.3	80.8
Wet	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5							5.2	5.7	10.3	0.0	0.0
Earth:															
Clean	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0							0.4	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
Littered	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0							0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dry	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3
Wet	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0							0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0

-

.

II.1.18	(continued)
	i se

.

						v	illage	Study	Site						
		А	swan				Be	ni Sue	f			Kafr I	El She	ikh	
	1	2	3	4	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Number of Windows															
1	17.8	13.3	0.0	33.3	21.3	29.3	34.4	18.0	46.4	32.7	0.4	28.5	5.1	3.8	15.0
2	17.8	21.7	13.8	35.0	31.9	29.3	23.5	22.6	24.6	25.6	2.6	38.4	18.7	13.1	16.9
3	22.2	17.5	2.8	11.7	21.3	8.4	19.4	24.0	10.9	25.3	5.0	16.9	19.7	12.1	16.3
4	18.9	15.1	30.3	11.7	15.9	14.8	12.6	18.0	5.8	10.5	31.9	6.8	23.5	27 1	14 4
5	8.9	9.6	16.5	1.7	0.3	3.1	5.0	5.8	5.4	4.7	27.5	4.9	7.0	15.9	8 5
6	4.4	12.7	26.6	5.0	2.5	11.0	2.1	6.0	1.7	1.2	9.5	3.5	9 3	10.8	9.3
7	1.1	1.8	0.9	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.7	0.0	13.9	0.0	7.0	12.5	1.0
8	1.1	5.4	8.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.3	2.1	2.5	0.0	6.2	1 1	3.4	1 3	5.0
9	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.5	0.0	0.8	0.0	1.3	0.0	1 1	1.5	0.8
10	1.1	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.7	0.2	0.0	0.7	0.0	1.1	1.5	1.1
More than 10	3.3	1.8	0.9	1.7	0.0	1.8	0.3	3.2	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.9	1.4	4.7
oof Condit!															
Permeable	37.5	40.8	73.6	96.8	90.3	39.0	5.8	43.1	82.6	\$ 2	88.7	97.3	85.2	85.4	87.3
Non-permeable	62.5	59.2	26.4	3.2	9.7	61.0	94.2	56.9	17.4	94.8	11.3	2.7	14.8	13.6	17.8

A

© _____

.

LCI.

APPENDIX II.2

Distribution of Environmental and Housing Factors in Egyptian New Nubia, 1977

	Percent of	f Houses	with Attribu	tes		A11
	Ballana	Tushka	El Malki	Kurta	Kalabsha	Nubia
WHET CONTRACTOR MATCHING	1	•	1	1	1	1
HOUSE CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL				100.0	00.5	07 0
1 stone or red brick	94.9	95.5	35.0	100.0	99.5	2.5
2 mid Drick	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
A combinations	0.0	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.4
Number	197	201	200	200	199	997
FLOOR CONSTRUCTION						
1 comb	41 0	4 5	4 5	0.0	0.5	10.2
1 earth	56 1	6.0	0.5	39.8	92.5	38.8
I tile	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	5.5	1.2
4 162	0.0	85.1	87.5	57.2	0.0	40.2
5 other combinations	1.5	4.5	7.0	3.0	1.5	3.5
Number	198	201	200	201	199	999
LIGHTING				10 10		
1 electricity	39.3	39.7	10.6	53.7	57.4	40.1
2 kerosene	60.7	60.3	65.8	46.3	42.1	\$5.0
3 162	0.0	0.0	21.6	0.0	0.0	4.3
4 other	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.5	0.4
Number	196	199	199	201	197	992
TELEVISION						
present	3.1	1.6	0.6	20.1	6.2	6.5
Number	196	190	179	199	194	958
NUMBER OF ROOMS						
me	7.1	5.6	13.0	2.0	0.0	5.5
two	22.7	13.1	30.0	38.3	33.8	27.8
three	26.8	46.5	18.5	13.9	64.1	33.9
four	32.3	32.8	30.5	25.9	1.5	24.0
five	9.1	1.5	7.0	16.9	0.0	0.9
more than five	2.0	0.5	1.0	5.0	0.5	4.4
Number	198	198	200	201	198	995
NUMBER OF PERSONS LIVING IN	HOUSE					
me	8.3	8.0	12.4	43.3	16.8	17.9
TWO	7.8	13.5	15.5	25.9	20.8	16.8
three	12.4	10.0	15.5	11.4	9.1	11.7
four	13.5	15.0	11.3	7.5	13.2	12.1
five	17.1	16.0	12.9	6.0	10.7	12.4
six	15.0	11.0	10.8	2.5	7.0	9.5
seven	9.3	8.0	9.8	1.0	4.1	5.0
eight	0.7	9.5	4.1	1 5	11.6	8.0
more than eight	9.7	9.0	1.1	1.5	11.0	0.0
Number	193	200	194	201	197	985

74

1

A main the backward to be the

un anna an a chairte an an tha tha ann an an Anna an an Anna a

II.2.2 (continued)

						AII
	Ballana	Tushka	El Malki	Kurta	Kalabsha	Nubia
PERSONS PER POON					1	3
PLOSING PER ROOM						
less than one	15.6	17.6	19.2	69.7	28.5	30.5
one	50.0	46.6	46.6	24.7	34.7	40.5
two	21.4	29.5	20.7	5.1	21.8	19.6
three	8.9	3.1	9.3	0.5	8.3	6.0
four	3.1	0.0	2.6	0.0	6.2	2.4
more than four	1.0	3.2	1.5	0.0	0.5	1.2
Number	192	193	193	198	193	969
COOKING FUEL						
1 gas	2.1	0.5	0.0	\$5.2	5.5	12.8
2 011	94.9	1.0	18 5	5.0	04 5	47 4
3 wood	2.5	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	
4 dmg	0.0	0.6	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
5 263	0.6	0.5	75.5	5.5	0.0	10.0
6 conhinations of durg with	0.5	0.5	13.3	0.0	0.0	15.5
any other fuel	0.0	06.0		74.4		37.0
7 other	0.0	90.0	3.3	34.4	0.0	27.0
Nelse	0.0	1.5	2.0	2.0	0.0	1
NUMDET	195	201	200	201	199	996
SCREENS						
present	4.1	7.9	1.5	4.5	5.5	4.7
Number	194	191	196	200	199	980
MOSQUITO NETS						
present	1.0	10.4	1.0	0.0	3.6	3.7
Number	192	193	197	71	193	846
OWNERSHIP						
1.000	15 0	1.0	0.5	0.0	1 5	3.7
7 rent	49 7	8.0	. 40	00.5	40.1	45 6
3 no information	35 4	91.0	95.5	0.5	30.2	50.6
Norber	100	201	33.3	201	100	006
	195	201	200	201	199	330
ICATION OF STABLE						
1 inside	\$4.4	74.9	96.0	92.0	99.0	91.3
2 outside	1.0	10.8	1.5	2.0	0.0	3.0
3 162	0.0	11.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3
4 none	4.6	3.6	2.5	6.0	1.0	3.5
Number	195	195	199	201	199	989
STABLE CLEANING	0.0					
1 daile						
1 daily	99.0	3.1	98.0	99.0	97.5	79.4
2 weekly	1.0	3.6	1.0	1.0	2.5	1.8
3 monthly	0.0	86.2	1.0	0.0	0.0	17.4
4 never	0.0	7.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4
Number	192	195	196	198	198	979

1.1

75

The comparison of the second secon

II.2.3 (continued)

	Callana	Tushka	El Malki	Kurta	Kalabsha	All Nubia
	1	1		1	1	
ANIMAL WASTE MATERIAL DIS	POSAL					
1 stable	1.5	2.5	2.5	0.5	4.6	2.3
2 yard	1.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.0	0.6
3 street	96.9	0.0	95.0	10.0	0.0	40.1
4 Canal	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.0	0.5	17.9
6 none	0.0	97.5	1.5	1.5	90.4	38.2
Number	194	199	199	200	197	989
WATER SOURCE						
1 public	97.3	74 4	98.0	99.90	95.9	97.8
2 private ground well	2.1	25.1	0.0	1.1	A 1	6.6
3 surface	0.5	0.5	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
Number	188	199	199	184	197 -	967
		WATER S	UPPLY			
	N-199	N= 199	N= 200	N=199	N=200	N=997
DRINKING						
1 piped inside	0.5	4.9	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.7
2 piped outside	93.0	97.5	98.0	88.6	99.5	95.3
3 hand pump inside	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1
4 Canal 5 drain	0.0	97.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1
BATHING	0.0	0.0	0.0			
BATHING						
1 piped inside	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.4
2 piped outside	92.0	97.5	97.5	0.0	99.5	0.1
and pump inside	0.0	07.5	0.0	8.0	0.5	71.4
5 drain	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1
6 lake or pond	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1
LAUNDRY						
1 piped inside	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.2
2 piped outside	92.5	97.5	97.5	88.6	99.5	95.1
3 hand pump : nside	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1
4 canal	0.0	97.5	0.0	8.0	0.5	21.4
5 drain	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1
6 lake or pond	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1
UTENSILS						
1 piped inside	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.2
2 piped outside	92.5	96.5	97.5	88.6	99.0	94.8
3 hand pump inside	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	21.4
4 canal	0.0	97.5	0.0	8.0	0.5	0 1
6 lake or pond	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1
ANTWALC	0.0					
1 sized inside	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0 *	0.5
1 piped inside	0.0	0.0	07 5	87 6		49.8
3 hand nump inside	0.0	0."	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1
4 canal	- 0.0	v.1	0.0	8.0	1.5	21.3
S drain	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	99.5	19.9
6 lake or pond	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1

76

٩.

· -- ·

II.2.4 (continued)

	Ballana	Tushka	El Malki	Kurta	Kalabsha	All Nubia
	1	1	1			
STORAGE OF WATER						•
1 metal 2 ceramic 3 combinations and others	0.0	0.0	0.0 98.0	0.5	1.5 98.0	0.4 98.7
Number	192	126	200	2.0	0.5	
WASTEWATER DRAINAGE	1.1		200	197	* 199	984
1 concrete 2 pipe 3 tile 4 earth 5 other Number	57.6 0.0 37.7 4.9 0.0	4.1 0.0 0.0 95.3 0.0	12.0 0.5 0.5 86.9 0.0	2.1 0.5 0.0 0.0 97.4	2.5 0.5 2.0 0.5 94.4	15.5 0.3 7.9 40.0 38.4
LATRINE		150	133	194	197	977
present Number	97.9	98.0	98.5	99.5	100.0	98.8
LOCATION OF LATEINE	250	190	199	196	199	980
1 inside 2 outside 3 stable	100.0 0.0 0.0	99.0 0.5 0.5	98.5 1.5 0.0	98.0 2.0 0.0	100.0 0.0 0.0	99.1 0.8 0.1
Number	191	192	194	200	198	975
TYPE OF LATRINE						
1 borehole 2 pit 3 masonary walls Number	2.1 3.7 94.1	0.0 2.6 97.4	0.5 0.5 99.0	1.7 97.8 0.6	1.0 99.0 0.0	1.1 40.5 58.5
COTD FOR LATER	107	192	193	178	199	949
COVER FOR LATRINE		20.0				
present	60.2	98.0	98.0	12.5	100.0	74.2
Number	191	196	198	192	199	976
PRESENCE OF WATER CARRIAGE I	N LATRINE					
present	6.0	94.8	2.1	0.0	1.0	19.3
Number	189	192	194	200	199	974

77

4

.

APPENDIX II.3, Table I

- 1

Prevalence of Parasitosis in Egyptian Nubia, 1977

	Ball	lana 196	Tush N= 66	ke 9	E1 Ma N=5	lki 19	Kur N=4	t.# 66	Kala	478	ALL N	abia 628	
Parasite	No. Pos	Pos	No. Pos	Pos	No. Pos	1 Pos	No. Pos	l Pos	No. Pos	t Pos	No. Pos	Pos	
Ascaris Jumbricoides	,	1.8	15	2.2	10	1.9	13	2.8	13	2.7	60	2.3	
Trichuris trichiura		0.0	1	0.1	1	0.2		0.0		0.0	2	0.1	
Enterobius vermicularis	7	1.4	17	2.5	9	1.7	2	0.4	3	0.6	38	1.4	
Ancylostoma		0.0	3	0.4	1	0.2	2	0.4	1	0.2	7	0.3	
Strongyloides stercoralis	,	0.2	1	0.1	6	1.2	6	1.3	41	8.6	55	2.1	
Taenia		0.0		0.0		0.0	2	0.9		0.0		0.0	
Trichostrongylus	1	0.2		0.0	1	0.2	1	0.2	3	0.6	6	9.2	
Hymenolepis nara	25	5.0	57	8.5	34	6.6	18	3.9	11	2.3	145	5.5	
H. heterophyes		0.0		0.0	2	0.4	1	0.2		0.0	3	0.1	
H. hepatica		0.0		0.0		0.0	2	0.4	÷	0.0	2	6.1	
F. gigantio:	1	0.2	1	0.1		0.0		0.0		0.0	2	0.1	
Giardia lamblia	57	11 5	1	0.1	46	8.9	26	5.6	36	7.5	256	9.7	
Entanoeba	31	6.3	45	6.9	41	7.9	39	8.4	41	8.6	198	7.5	
Entamoeba coli	262	52.8	311	46:	223	43.0	196	42.1	284	59.4	1278	48.6	
Entanoeba hartmanni	6	1.2	12	1.8	s	1.0	1	0.2	5	1.0	29	1.1	
Iodamoeba butschlii	6	1.2	9	1.3	7	1.3	7	1.5	13	2.7	42	1.6	
Endolimax	1	0.2	2	0.3		0.0		6.0	1	0.2	4	0.2	
Chilomastix mesnili	1	0.Z	4	0.6		0.0	2	0.4		0.0	7	0.3	
Trichomonas		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Dientanoeba fragilis		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	•	0.0	

APPENDIX II.3, Table II

Age Distribution of Important Parasitic Infections in Egyptian Nubia, 1977

Age Distribution

							Age	Distr	IDUT 10	n						A
Parasite	1 № 31	1-4 N=241	5-9 №=283	10-14 N=271	15-19 N=270	20-24 N=142	25-29 N=130	30-34 №=112	35-39 N=119	40-44 N=112	45-49 N=112	50-54 N=96	55-59 N=105	60-64 N=69	65+ N=163	A
Ascaris	Pos	Pos) Pos	1 Pos	1 Pos	1 Pos	1 Pos	1 Pos	1 Pos	1 Fos	1 Pos	1 Pos	Pos	1 Pos	Pos	Po
lumbricoides	0.0	1.2	2.5	3.7	2.2	0.7	0.8	3.6	0.8	0.0	2.7	7.3	1.0	1.4	1.8	1.
Trichuris trichiura	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.
Enterobius vermicularis	6.5	0.4	1.4	3.7	2.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.0	3.6	2.1	1.9	0.0	0.6	1.
uncylostoma huodenale	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.
ymenolepis ana	3.2	12.5	9.5	8.5	4.4	4.2	1.5	3.6	3.4	4.5	3.6	3.1	1.9	4.3	1.8	5
iardia amblia	12.9	20.8	17.0	10,0	9.6	9.2	4.6	8.0	7.6	8.9	8.0	5.2	3.8	8.7	5.5	10
ntamoeba istolytica	0.0	4.2	5.7	7.7	10.0	3.5	9.2	7.1	16.8	4.5	8.0	5.2	5.7	5.8	7.4	7
scherichia oli	12.9	42.3	50.9	55.7	49.6	49.3	48.5	54.5	47.1	38.4	50.0	44.8	56.2	47.8	50.3	48.

Note: No cases of Taenia sp. infection were observed in the sampled population.

79

4.

APPENDIX II.3, Table III

Sex Distribution of Important Parasitic Infections in Egyptian Nubia, 1977

	M N=	ales =940	Femal N=13	les 328	Tot N=2	tal 2268		
Parasite	No. Pos	% Pos	No. Pos	\$ Pos	No. Pos	* Pos		
Ascaris lumbricoides	24	2.6	26	2.0	50	2.2		
Trichuris Erichiura	1	0.1	1	0.1	2	0.1		
Enterobius vermicularis	19	2.0	18	1.4	37	1.6		
Ancylostoma duodenale	1	0.1	3	0.2	4	0.2		
nymenolepis nana	63	6.7	66	5.0	129	5.7		
Giardia lamblia	113	12.0	122	9.2	235	10.4		
Entamoeba histolytica	60	6.4	101	7.6	161	7.1		
Entamoeba coli	432	46.0	674	50.8	1106	48.8		

Note: No cases of Taenia sp. infection were observed in the sampled population.

80

;

.

APPENDIX II.3, Table IV

A Comparison of the Prevalence of Intestinal Helminthic and Protozoal Infestations (Per 100 Persons) by Age and Sex; Nubia, Egypt, 1963 and 1977.

AGE Hymenolepis Ascaris Enterobius Entamoeba Giardia (Years) Dana. lumbricoides vermicularia E. coli histolytica lamblis 1963 1963 1977 1977 1963 1977 1963 1977 1963 1977 1963 1977 Less than 5 Males 9.4 13.73 9.4 0.84 3.1 0.0 9.4 30.62 0.0 4.67 0.0 14.26 Females 17.4 5.56 0.0 4.08 0.0 3.64 21.7 30.67 0.0 4.54 17.4 11.57 Total 12.7 10.59 5.4 2.03 1.8 0.36 14.6 31.02 0.0 2.35 7.3 12.23 5 - 14 Males 22.5 9.32 12.6 4.29 0.0 3.43 31.5 49.12 0.9 3.85 2.7 13.83 Females 16.8 8.95 10.6 2.09 1.8 0.52 38.9 58.31 0.9 8.86 3.5 15.35 Total 19.6 9.12 11.6 3.35 0.9 2.38 35.3 53.79 0.9 6.16 3.1 14.52 15 - 44 Males 1.6 3.15 7.9 1.35 1.73 6.4 31.7 42.62 1.6 9.28 1.6 7.95 Females 2.7 2.96 5.8 1.34 0.4 0.64 43.6 44.59 3.1 6.93 1.8 8.53 Total 2.4 3.28 6.2 1.52 1.7 1.18 41.0 43.55 2.8 7.79 1.7 8.10 45 + Males 0.0 1.67 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.19 35.8 59.25 11.3 4.71 3.8 5.08 Ti.les 1.2 2.72 1.3 0.63 0.0 0.66 27.0 45.12 5.7 4.44 1.9 3.69 Total 0.9 1.76 1.9 0.63 0.0 U.70 29.2 47.53 7.1 5.39 2.4 4.75 All ages Males 11.2 6.50 9.3 1.94 1.9 1.74 29.7 46.40 3.1 5.82 2.3 10.41 Females 6.0 4.59 5.2 1.63 0.6 0.62 36.5 46.35 3.3 5.92 2.9 9.14 Total 7.7 5.46 6.6 1.86 1.0 1.28 34.3 45.66 6.04 9.55 3.2 2.7

5

é

.

+ ---

1. 1.10

٠.

		Latr	ine	
Parasite		Present	Absent	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides			
Positive Number Percent		245 8.0	42 12.0	287 8.5
Negative Number Percent		2800 92.0	309 88.0	3109 91.5
N = 3396	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signif	icance = 0.01	24. Statistic = 6.2502
Ancylostoma	duodenale			
Positive Number Percent		43 1.4	4 1.1	47 1.4
Negative Number Percent		3002 98.6	347 98.9	3349 98.6
:1 = 3396	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signif	icance = 0.67	90, Statistic =0.17129
Hymenolepis	nana			
Positive Number Percent		74 2.4	4 1.1	78 2.3
Number Percent		2971 97.6	347 98.9	3319 97.9
1 = 3396	CF = 1	Chi-Square Signif	icance = 0.12	264, Statistic = 2.3361
Giardia lamb	lia			
Positive Number Percent		197 6.5	18 5.1	215 6.3
Negative Number Percent		2848 93.5	333 94.9	3161 93.7
N = 3396	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signif	icance = 0.3	285, Statistic = 0.95497

Appendix III.1 Table I

١

82

١.

٦.

•

	Latr	ine	
Parasite	Present	Absent	Total
Entameoba histolytica			
Positive Number Percent	136 4.5	13 3.7	149 4.4
Negative Number Percent	2909 95.5	338 96.3	3247 95.6
N = 3396 DF = 1 C	hi-Square Signifi	icance = 0.50	89, Statistic = 0.43634
Entameoba coli			
Positive Number Percent	1232 40.5	116 33.0	1348 39.7
Negative Number Percent	1813 59.5	235 67.0	2048 60.3
N = 3396 DF = 1 C	hi-Square Signif	icance = 0.00	72, Statistic = 7.2216

III.1 Table I (continued)

		S	ex	
Parasite		Male	Female	Total
Ascaris lumb	riccides			
Positive				
Number		145	162	307
Percent		7.9	8.9	8.4
Negative				
Number		1684	1649	3333
rercent		92.1	91.1	91.0
N = 3640	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significan	nce = 0.2694, S	tatistic = 1.219
Ancylostoma	duodenale			
Positive				
Number		26	30	56
Percent		1.4	1.7	1.5
Negative		120.0		
Number		1803	1731	3584
rercent		98.6	95.3	96.5
N = 3640	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significar	ce = 0.5646, 5	tatistic = 0.3316
Hymenolepis r	ana			
Positive				
Number		121	105	226
Percent		6.6	5.8	6.2
Negative				
Number		1703	1705	3414
Percent		93.4	94.2	93.6
N = 3640	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significar	ice = 0.3057, 5	tatistic = 1.0.49
Entamenha nis	tolutica			
Dur i t i ur				
Number		51	72	163
Percent		5.0	4.0	4.5
egative				
Number		1733	1739	3477
Percent		95.0	96.0	95.5
= 3640	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significan	ce = 0.1448, 5	atistic = 2.1261
Entameoba co	011			
Positive			1.1.2	
Number		718	708	1426
rercent		39.3	39.1	39.2
Negative			1103	
Percent		60.7	60.9	60.8
		00.7		00.0
N = 3640	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significa	nce = 0.9203, 5	statistic = 0.1002

Appendix III.1 Table II

Appendix	111.1	Table 111		
	- 18 a M			

Parasite	0 to 1	1 to 4	5 to 9	lu to 14	15 10 19	20 10 24	25 to 29	3J to 34	35 10 39	40 to 41	45 to 49	50 10 34	55 to 59	60 to 64	64.000
Ascaris lumbricoides															
Positive Number Percent	2	33 9.0	43 8.3	55 10.3	30 7.2	15	24	10	24 8 b	21 10. 7	1n	2.	5	11	3
Number Percent	31 93.9	332 91.0	476 91.7	479 89.7	365 92.8	212 93.4	255 91.4	170	225 90.4	1/6	215	115 94.3	106	86 88.7	4 3 67 95 7
N = 3634 DF = 1	Chi-	Square	Signifi	cance = 0	5616. St.	atistic -	12.558						13.04		
Ancylastona duodenal										1.9					e 27 m
Positive Number Ps.cent	0	1 0.3	8 1.5	15 2.8	6 1.4	3	5	2	2	3	2	4	0	2	3
Number Percent	33 100	364 99.7	511 98.5	519 97.2	409 93.6	224 98.7	274 98.2	247 93.9	247	194 98.5	231	118	114	95 97 9	67
1 = 36 14 DF = 1	Chi-	Square	Signific	cance = 0.	1321, St.	atistic -	19.940								
gmenolepis nana						A			(+++) -++	· · · · ·					
ositive Number Percent	2	11	20	11	5	4	8	5	4	2	6	z	2	U	2
eystive Number Percent	31 93.9	354 97.0	499 96.1	523 97.9	410 58.8	223 96.2	2.9 2/1 97.1	2.8 175 97.,	1.6 245 98.4	1.0 195 99.0	2.6	1.5	1.8	-97	6.5 6.3
• 3634 DF • 14	Chi-	Sourre	Stonific		2.4.7			-		(1.0		20.1	30.2	100	91.1

1.2

111.1	Table	111	(continued)	

Parasite		0 to i	1 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40 to 44	45 to 49	50 to 54	55 to 59	6U LO 64	65 ur 2
Giardia lamb	lia														3-	
Positive Number Percent		1 3.0	32 8.8	43 8.3	33 6.2	24 5.8	12 5.3	12 4.3	12 6.7	13 5.2	15 7.6	13 5.6	5 4.1	5 4.4	4 4.1	1.4
Negative Number Percent		32 97.0	333 91.2	476 91.7	501 93.8	391 94.2	215 94.7	267 95.7	168 93.3	236 94.8	182 92.4	220 94.4	117 95.9	109 95.6	93 95.9	69 98.6
N = 3634	DF = 14	Chi	Square	Signifi	cance = 0).2494, St	alistic	17.129				_				
Entameoba hi	stolytica															
Positive Number Percent		1 3.0	6 1.6	18 3.5	34 6.4	23 5.5	11 4.8	12 4.3	3 1.7	9 3.6	9 4.6	9 3.9	11 9.0	7 6.1	8 8.2	2.9
Negative Number Percent		32 97.0	359 98.4	501 96.5	500 93.6	392 94.5	216 95.2	267 95.7	177 98.3	240 96.4	188 95.4	224 96.1	111 91.0	107 \$3.9	89 91.8	68 97.1
N = 3634	DF = 14	Chi	Square	Signifi	cance = (0.0139, St	atistic	28.087								
Entameoba co	11															
Positive Number Percent		10 30.3	107 29.3	224 43.2	219 41.0	155 37.3	81 35.7	110 39,4	76 42.2	113 45.4	74 37.6	10B 46.4	38 31.1	44 38.6	37 38.1	28 40.0
Negative Number Percent		23 69.7	258 70.7	295 56.8	315 59.0	260 62.7	146 64.3	169 60.6	104 57.8	135 54.6	123 62.4	125 53.6	84 68.9	70 61.4	60 61.9	42

÷

140.

.

Appendix III.1	Table IV	
appendix men	futite ff	

			Study	Site Code		
Parasite	16	17	18	19	20	Total
Ascaris lumbricoides						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif,	40 4.8 0.0	34 1.1	122 19.1	37 7.3	74 8.9	307 8.4
Trichuris trichiura						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	0 0.3322	2 0.2	1.0.2	2 0.4	4 0.5	9 0.2
Enterobius vermicularis						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	4 0.5 0.0029	13 1.6	6 0.9	4 0.8	21 2.5	48 1.3
Ancylostoma duodenale				8		
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	6 0.7 0.0026	12 1.5	5 0.8	9 1.8	24 2.9	56 1.5
Strongyloides stercoralis	1					
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	2 0.2 0.7882	1 0.1	2 0.3	2 0.4	1 0.1	8 0.2
Taenia sp.				- Q. (
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	0 0.7663	1 0.1	1 0.2	0	0.1	0.1
Trichostrongylus sp.						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	5 0.6 0.0076	19 2.3	5 0.8	5	0.8	1.1

III.) Table IV (continued)

÷

			Study Si	te Code			
Parasite	16	17	18	19	20	lotal	
Hymenolepis nana					22	05	
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	15 1.8 0.0721	21 2.6	8 1.3	18 3.6	23	2.3	
Heterophyes heterophyes						14	
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	1 0.1 0.0648	4 0.5	6	0	0.4	0.4	
Fasciola hepatica				6.7		0	
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	0 0.4229	3 0.4	3 0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	
Fasciola gigantica		3.		0	3	11	
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	2 0.2 0.6550	3 0.4	0.5	0	0.4	0.3	
Giardia lamblia					20	226	
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	101 12.0 0.0000	38 4.6	16 2.5	32 6.3	39 4.7	6.2	
Entameoba histolytica						162	
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	47 5.6 0.0069	50 6.1	24 3.8	18 3.6	24 2.9	4.5	
Entameoba coli						1427	
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	389 46.2 0.0000	316 38.4	178 27.8	165 32.5	379 45.5	39.2	

.

III.1 Table IV (continued)

	Study Site Code							
Parasite	16	17	18	19	20	Total		
Entameote hartmanni								
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	10 1.2 0.0004	19 2.3	6 0.9	3 0.6	1 0.1	39 1.1		
Iodamoeba butschlii								
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	7 0.8 0.2838	11 1.3	2 0.3	3 0.6	8 1.0	31 0.9		
Endolimax nana								
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	1 0.1 0.6356	2 0.2	2 0.3	0	3 0.4	8 0.2		
Chilomastix mesnili								
Number positive Percent positve Chi-Square signif	1 0.1 0.2310	4 0.5	1 0.2	1 0.2	0	7 0.2		

Appendix III.1 Table V

-

۰.

Kafr El Sheikh. Percent:Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Drinking Water

. •

Parasite		Yes	No	Total
Ascaris lumb	oricoides			
Positive Number Percent		1 7.1	42 12.2	43 12.0
Negative Number Percent		301 87.	13 8 92.9	314 88.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signific	ance = 0.5654,	Statistic = 0.33051
Ancylostoma	duodenale			
Positive Number Percent		6 1.	7 0	6 1.7
Negative Number Percent		337 98.	14 3 100	351 98.3
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signific	ance = 0.6177,	Statistic = 0.24908
Giardia lamb	olia			
Positive Number Percent		18 5.	2 0	18 5.0
Negative Number Percent		325 94.	8 100	339 95.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signific	ance = 0.3791,	Stat stic = 0.77370
Entameoba hi	stolytica			
Number Percent		9 2.	6 7.1	10 2.8
Negative Number Percent		334 97.	13 4 92.9	347 97.2
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signific	ance = 0.3152,	Statistic = 1.0089
Entameoba co	oli			
Positive Numper Percent		110 32.	1 42.9	116 32.5
Negative Number Percent		233 67.	9 57.	241 1 67.5
14 = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signific	ance = 0.3983,	Statistic : 0.71356

Appendix III.1 Table VI

1

1.....

.

Kafr El Sheikh. Percent Prevalence of Selccted Parasites by Type of water Supply: ripeo-in matning water

Parasite		Yes	No	Total
Ascaris lum	bricoides			and a second second
Positivo				
Number				
Percent		42	1	43
Nesser		12.	2 7.1	12.0
Negative				
Percent		301	13	314
reitent		87.1	B 92.9	88.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significa	ance = 0.5654, Sta	tistic = 0.33051
In	1.1.1.1			0.00001
Ancylostoma	duodenale			
Positive				
Number		6	0	6
Percent		1.7		1.7
Negative				
Number		337	14	351
Percent		98.3	100	98 3
N = 357	DE - 1	Ch.I. C		20.5
	01 + 1	uni-Square Significa	nce = 0.6177, Stat	tistic = 0.24908
Giardia lamb	16.			
	IId			
Positive				
Number		18	0	18
rercent		5.2		5.0
legative				
Number		325	14	220
Percent		94.8	100	95 0
= 357	DF = 1	Chi fa		20.0
	01 - 1	Chi-Square Significar	hce = 0.3791, Stat	istic = 0.77370
ntameoba hi:	stolvtica			
ositive				
Number				1.2.2
Percent		9	1.	10
enati in		2.6	7.1	2.8
Number				
Percent		334	13	347
. cr conc		97.4	92.9	97.2
= 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significan	ce = 0.3152, Stati	istic = 1.0089
				110007
incameoba co	1			
ositive				
Number		110	6	116
Percen'.		32.1	42.9	32 5
egative				34.5
Number		223		
Percent		67 9	57 1	241
		07.9	57.1	67.5
= 3.57	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significan	ce = 0 3923 C+++	

Parasite		Yes	No	Total
Ascaris lumbr	icoides			
Positive Number Percent		42 12.	0 ¹ 7.1	43 12.0
Negative Number Percent		301 87.	13 8 92.9	314 88.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signific	ance = 0.5654, St	tatistic = 0.33051
Ancylostoma d	luodenale			
Positive Number Percent		6 1.	7 0	6 1.7
Negative Number Percent		337 98.	.3 14 100	351 98.3
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signific	cance = 0.6177, S	tatistic = 0.24908
Giardia lamb	lia			
Positive Number Percent		18 5	.2 0	18 5.0
Negative Number Percent		325 94	.8 100	339 95.0
N = 357	Dr = 1	Chi-Square Signifi	cance = 0.3791, 5	Statistic = 0.77370
Entamecha hi	stolytica			
Positive Number Percent		9	1.6 7.1	10 2.8
Negative Number Percent		334 97	13 7.4 92.9	347 97.2
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signifi	icance = 0.3152,	Statistic = 1.0089
Entameoba	coli			
Positive Number Percent		1	10 6 32.1 42.	9 32.5
Negative Number Percent		2	33 8 67.9 57.	1 241 67.5
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signi	ficance = 0.3983.	Statistic = 0.7135

Appendix III.1 Table VII Kafr El Sheikh. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Laundry Water

Appendix III.1 Table VIII

Parasite		Yes	No	Total
Ascaris lum	bricoides			
Positive				
Number		42	1	43
Percent		12.2	7.1	12.0
Negative				
Number		301	13	314
Percent		87.8	92.9	88.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.5654, Stat	tistic = 0.33051
Ancylostoma	duodena le			
Positive				
Number		6	0	6
Percent		1.7		1.7
Negative				
Number		337	14	351
Percent		98.3	100	98.3
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.6177. Stat	istic = 0.24908
Giardia lamt	olia			
Positive				
Number		18	0	18
Percent		5.2		5.0
Negative				
Number		325	14	339
Percent		94.8	100	95.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.3791, Stat	istic = 0.77370
Entameoba hi	istolytica			
Positive				
Number		9	1	10
Percent		2.6	7.1	2.8
Negative				
Number		334	13	347
Percent		97.4	92.9	97.2
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.3152, Stat	istic = 0.0089
Entamenha co	ol i			
Desitive				
Number		110	6	116
Percent		32.1	42.9	32.5
Negative				
Number		233	8	241
Percent		67.9	57.1	67.5
	05 - 1	Chi Causas Ciasifisses	- 0 2002 5+	istic = 0 71356
N = 35/	Ur = 1	uni-square significance	- U. J30J, JLa	

Kafr El Sheikh. Percent Prevalance of Sciected Parasites by Type of of Water Supply: Piped-in Utensil Water

Appendix III.1 Table IX

Parasite		Yes	No	Total
Ascaris lumbr	icoides			
Positive			11	42
Number		13	30	43
Percent		13.7	11.5	12.0
Regative			222	314
Number		82	88.5	88.0
Percent		00.3	00.0	
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance =	0.5666, Stat	15tic = 0.32839
Ancylostoma d	uodenale			
Positive			2	6
:lumber		4	0.8	1.7
Percent		4.2	0.0	
Negative		01	260	351
Number		95.8	99.2	98.3
Percent				intia - 6 0127
H = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.0251, Stat	15110 = 5.013/
Glardia lambl	ia			
Pacitiva				
Number		4	14	18
Percent		4.2	5.3	5.0
Negative				220
Number		91	248	95 0
Percent		95.8	94.7	55.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.6655, Star	tistic = 0.18693
Entameoba hi	stolytica			
Positive				10
Number		4	2 2	2.8
Percent		4.2	2.5	
Negative		a 1	256	347
Number		95.8	97.7	97.2
rercent			¢	stictic = 0 9444
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	e = (.,3311, 21)	atistic - 0.544
Entameoba co	011			
Positive		20	84	116
Number		32 7	32 1	32.5
Percent		55.7		
Negative		62	178	241
Number		66.3	67.9	67.5
Percent		50.5		
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.7723, Sta	tistic = 0.83/40

Kafr El Sheikh. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Drinking Water Piped Outside

Appendix III.1 Table X

Parasite		1	Yes	No	Total
Ascaris lumb	oricoides				
Positive Number Percent			15 14.0	28 11.2	43 12.0
Negative Number Percent			92 86.0	222 88.8	214 88.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance =	0.4535,	Statistic = 0.56194
Ancylostoma	duodenale				
Positive Number Percent			5 4.7	1 0.4	6 1.7
Negative Number Percent			102 95.3	249 99.6	351 98.3
<u>N = 357</u>	DF = 1	Chi-Square S	ignificance =	0.0040, S	tatistic = 8.2790
Giardia lamb	lia				
Positive Number Percent			4 3.7	14 5.6	18 5.0
Negative Number Percent			103 96.3	236 94.4	339 95.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	0.4514,	Statistic = 0.54241
Entameoba hi	istolytica				
Positive Number Percent			4 3.7	6 2.4	10 2.8
Negative Number Percent			103 96.3	244 97.6	347 97.2
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4826,	Statistic = 0.49293
Entameoba c	oli				
Positive Number Percent			36 33.6	80 32.0	116 32.5
Negative Number Percent			71 66.4	170 68.C	241 67.5
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.7611,	Statistic = 0.92422

Kafr E. Sheikh. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Bathing Water Piped Outside
Appendix III.1 Table XI

have a start

,

1

- - -

Parasite			Yes	No	Total
Ascaris lum	bricoides				
Positive Number Percent			15 12.3	28 11.9	43 12.0
Negative Number Percent			107 87.7	207 88.1	314 88.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.9166,	Statistic = 0.10957
Ancylostoma	duodenale				
Positive Number Percent			5 4.1	1 0.4	6 1.7
Negative Number Percent			117 95.9	234 99.6	351 98.3
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.0105,	Statistic = 6.5560
Giardia lam	blia				
Positive Number Percent			7 5.7	11 4.7	18 5.0
Negative Number Percent			115 94.3	224 95.3	339 95.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.6651,	Statistic = 0.18735
Entameoba hi	istolytica				
Number Percent			4 3.3	6 2.6	10 2.8
Negative Number Percent			118 96.7	229 97.4	347 97.2
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Signiticance	= 0.6936,	Statistic = 0.15525
intameoba co	11				
Positive Number Percent			43 35.2	73 31.1	116 32.5
Number Percent			79 64.8	162 68.9	241 67.5
4 = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square S	Significance	= 0.4236.	Statistic = 0.64733

Kafr El Sheikh. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Hater Supply: Laundry Water Piped Cutside

Appendix 111.1 Table XII

Kafr El Sheikh. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Mater Supply: Utensil Water Piped Outside

rarasite		Yes	No	Total
Ascaris lu	bricoides			
Positive Number Percent		16 12. 9	27	43 12 0
Negative Number Percent		102 87.1	206	314
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.7162, Stat	ustic . 0.1321
Ancylostoma	duodenale			
Positive				
Number Percent		5 4.0	10.4	6 1.7
Negative Number Percent		119	232	351
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.0117. Stat	1111 + 6 3597
Giardia lam	blia			
Positive				
Percent		5.6	4 7	18
Negative		2.0	4.7	5.0
Number		117	222	339
Percent		94.4	95.3	95.0
N = 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significar.ce	= 0.7040, Stat	istic = 0.1443
Entameoba h	istolytica			
Pesitive				
Number		4	6	10
rercent		3.2	2.6	2.8
Number		100	207	
Percent		96.8	97.4	97.2
	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	0.7228, Stat	istic = 0.12556
at smooth a				
Consisting	<u>,,,,</u>			
Number			72	
Percent		35.5	30.9	32 5
egative				
Number		80	161	241
rercent		64.5	69.1	67.5
# 357	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance .	0 3257 . 5++++	

		1 X 1 2 1	Latr	ine	
Parasite		Pr	esent	Absent	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides				
Positive Number Percent			917 99.3	1501 96.8	2418 97.8
Negative Number Percent			6 0.7	49 3.2	55 2.2
N = 2473	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Signif	icance = 0	0.00, Statistic = 16.777
Ancylostoma	duodenale				
Positive Number Percent			886 96.0	1509 97.4	2395 96.8
Negative Number Percent			37 4.0	41 2.6	78 3.2
N = 2473	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Signif	icance = 0	0.0606, Statistic = 3.521
Hymenolepis	nana				
Positive Number Percent			914 99.0	1531 98.8	2445 98.9
Negative Number Percent			9 1.0	19 1.2	28 1.1
N = 2473	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Signifi	cance = 0.	5687, Statistic = 0.3248
Giardia lamb	lia				
Positive Number Percent			891 96.5	1498 96.6	2389 96.6
Negative Number Percent			32 3.5	52 3.4	84 3.4
N = 2473	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Signifi	cance = 0.	.8817, Statistic = 0.22162
Entamoela hi	stolytica				
Positive Number Percent			868 94.0	1467 94.6	2335 94.4
Negative Number Percent			55 6.0	83 5.4	138 5.6
N = 2473	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Signifi	cance = 0.	.5268, Statistic = 0.4005

Appendix III.2 Table I Beni Suef: Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Latrine

	Latr	ine	
Parasite	Present	Absent	Total
Entamoeba coli			
Positive Number Percent	559 fj.6	784 50.6	1343 54.3
Negative Number Percent	364 39.4	766 49.4	1130 45.7
N = 2473 DF = 1	Chi-Square Signifi	cance = 0.00, 5	tatistic = 23.23

III.2 Table I (continued)

Appendix III.2 Table II

 \sim

Beni Suef: Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Sex

Parasite	Male	Female	Total
Ascaris lumericoide	5		
Positive Number Percent	74 1.6	35 2.5	59 2.1
Negative Number Percent	1435 98.4	1360 97.5	2795 97.9
N = 2854 DF =	1 Chi-Square Signific	ance = 0.1049, S	tatistic = 2.5295
Ancylostoma duodena	le		
Positive Number Percent	58 4.0	35 2.5	93 3.3
Negative Number Percent	1401 96.0	1360 97.5	2761 96.7
N = 2854 DF =	1 Chi-Square Signific	ance = 0.0274, S	tatistic = 4.8643
Hymenolepis mana			
Positive Number Percent	18 1.2	11 0.8	29 1.0
Negative Number Percent	1441 98.8	1384 99.2	2825 99.0
N = 2854 DF =	1 Chi-Square Signific	ance = 0.2358. S	tatistic = 1.4053

Parasite		-	Male	Female	Total
			+		
Giardia lamb	olia				
Positive Number Percent			53 3.6	47	100
Negative		1			
Number			1406	1348	2754
Percent			96.4	96.6	96.5
N = 2854	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.7020,	Statistic = 0.14639
Entameoba hi	stolytica				
Positive					
Number			87	78	165
Percent			6.0	5.6	5.8
Negative					
Number			1372	1317	2689
Percent			94.2	94.0	94.4
N = 2854	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	e = 0.6737	. Statistic = 0.1807
Entameoba co	11				
Positive					
Number			641	637	1278
Percent			43.9	45.7	44.8
Negative					
Number			818	758	1576
Percent			56.1	54.3	55.2
N = 2854	DF = 1	Chi-Souare	Significance	= 0.3532	Statistic = 0.8620

III.2 Table II (continued)

Parasite		U to 1	1 10 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 10 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	55 to 37	40 10 44	4% to 49	50 tu .4	55 to 60	61 10 64	65 or >
Ascaris lumbr	tcoldes															
Positive Number Percent		0	2 0.9	10	13 3.2	9 3.2	2 1.3	3 1.6	3 1.7	3 1.6	3 1.7	2	2	3 4.1	2	1.1
Negstive Numter Percent		31 100	229 99.1	450 97.8	395 96.8	269 90.0	154 98.7	180 98.4	176 98.3	179 98.4	172 98.3	126 98.4	148 98.7	70 95.9	93 97.9	94 93.9
N = 2024	DF = 14	Chi-	Square	Signifi	cance = 0	.7336. St	atistic +	10.384	=							
Ancylostoma d	uodenale															
Positive Number Percent		0	4	22 4.8	16 3.9	9 3.2	5 3.2	5 2.7	6 3.4	3 1.6	8 4.6	2 1.6	4 2.1	3 4.1	3 3.2	3 3.2
Negative Number Percen:		31 100	227 98.3	438 95.2	392 96.1	269 96.8	151 96.3	178 97.3	173 96.6	179 98.4	167	126 98.4	146	70 95.9	92 96.8	92 96.8
N · 2624	DF • 14	Chi-	Square	Styntft	cance = 0	. 7079, St	atistic •	10.720								
Hymenolepis n	ana															
Positive Number Percent		0	4	15 3.3	4 1.0	0	0	0	1	1	106	1 0.5	1	0	1.1	0
Negative Number Percent		31 100	227	445 96.7	404 99.0	278 100	156 110	183 100	178	181	174	127	149	73	94 93.9	95 100
N + 2024	DF = 14	Chi	Suuare	Stontfl	cance = 0	0024 5		21 612	20.0							

•

Appendix 111.2 Table III Beni Suef: Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Age Groups

arasite		0 to 1	1 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 10 29	30 14 34	35 to 39	40 to 44	45 to 49	50 to 54	55 10 59	0 10 04	
atamaha bis	tolytica															
Number Percent		2 6.5	13 5.6	20 4.3	27 6.6	18 6.5	13 8.3	12 6.6	7 3.9	6 3.3	16 9.1	11 6.6	7 4.7	3 4.1	4.2	5.3
Number Percent		29 93.5	218 94.4	440 95.7	381 93.4	260 93.5	143 91.7	171 93.4	172 96.1	176 96.7	159 90.9	117 91.4	143 95.3	70 95.9	95.8	94.7
• 2824	DF = 14	Ch1-	Square	Signifi	cance •	0.4176. 5	tatistic	• 14.439								
Giardia lamb	114														2	
Positive Number		0	12	30 6.5	15 3.7	9 3.2	6 3.8	52.7	2 1.1	6 3.3	6 3.4	1.6	0.1	i.4	2.1	3.2
Negative Number Percent		31 100	219 94.8	430 93.5	393 96.3	269 96.8	150 96.2	178 97.3	177 98.9	176 96.7	169 96.6	126 98.4	149 99.3	72 98.6	93 97.9	92 96.8
N = 2824	DF = 14	Chi	-Square	Signif	icance -	0.0319. 5	tatistic	* 25.276								
Entameoba co	011													N	24	44
Positive Number Percent		7 22.0	87 37.	185	205	112 40.3	69 44.2	90 49.2	76 42.5	83 45.6	89 50.9	63 49.2	51.3	49.3	35.8	50.3
Negative Number		24	144	275	203 8 49.8	166	87 55.8	93 50.8	103 57.5	99 54.4	86 49.1	65 50.8	73 48.7	31 50.7	61 .2	49.

Appendix III.2 Table IV

			STUDY SITE	CODE		
Parasite	11	12	13	14	15	Total
Ascaris lumbricoides						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	4 1.3 0.0000	6 1.0	25 3.6	22 3.7	2 0.3	59 2.1
Trichuris trichiura						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	0 0.0014	5 0.8	0	0	0	5 0.2
Enterobius vermicularis						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	9 3.9 0.0000	31 4.9	9 1.3	8 1.3	3 0.5	60 2.1
Ancylostoma duodenale						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	1 0.3 0.0000	26 4.1	2 0.3	30 5.0	34 5.3	93 3.3
Stronglyoides stercoralis						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	0 0.1506	4 7.6	0	2 0.3	1 0.2	7 0.2
<u>Taenia</u> sp.						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	0 0.4877	0	0	0	1 0.2	1 0.0
Trichostrongylus sp.						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	0 0.7336	4 0.6	3 0.4	3 0.5	4 0.6	14

Beni Suef: Percent Prevalence of all Identified Parasites by Study Site

III.2 Table IV (continued)

			STUDY SIT	E CODE		
Parasite	11	12	12	14	15	Total
Hymenolepis nana						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	3 1.0 0.3029	9 1.4	9 1.3	6 1.0	2 0.3	29 1.0
Heterophyes heterophyes						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	12 4.0 0.0000	2 0.3	3 0.4	3 0.5	4 0.6	24 0.8
Fasciola hepatica						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	0 0.6161	1 0.2	0	1 0.2	0	2 0.1
Giardia lamblia						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	18 6.0 0.1162	20 3.2	26 3.8	16 2.7	20 3.1	100 3.5
Entamenba histolytica						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	17 5.7 0.4534	44 7.0	31 4.5	35 5.9	38 5.9	165 5.8
Entameoba coli						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	156 52.0 0.0000	375 53.1	342 49,9	294 49.3	153 23.8	1280 44.8
Entameoba hartmanni						
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	2 0.7 0.0633	8 1.3	3 0.4	11 1.8	13 2.0	37 1.3

			STUDY SITE	CODE		
Parasite	11	12	13	14	15	lotal
Iodamoeba butschlii				9	3	31
Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	2 0.7 0.0487	2.1	0.7	1.3	0.5	1.1
Endolimax nana Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square signif.	2 0.7 0.4333	1 0.2	1 0.1	3 0.5	1 0.2	8 0.3
Chilomastix mesnili Number positive Percent positive Chi-Square s.gnif.	1 0.3 0.6977	4 0.6	1 0.1	2 0.3	3 0.5	11 0.4

III.2 Table IV (continued)

Trichomonas hominis

Dientamoeba fragilis

Appendix III.2 Table V Beni Suef. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Drinking Mater Total Yes No Parasite Ascaris lumbricoides Positive 17 0 17 Number 1.5 Percent Negative 1108 14 1094 Number 98.5 100 98.5 Percent Chi-Square Significance = 0.6409, Statistic = 0.21751 DF = 1 N = 1125 Ancylostoma duodenale Positive 40 3.6 40 3.6 0 Number Percent Negative 1025 14 1071 Number 96.4 96.4 100 Percent Chi-Square Significance = 0.4697. Statistic = 0.52263 1 = 1125 DF = 1 Giardia lamblia Positive 46 4.1 46 4.1 0 Number Percent Negative 1079 14 1065 Number 95.9 95.9 100 Percent Chi-Square Significance = 0.4369, Statistic = 0.60437 DF = 1 K = 1125 Entameoba histolytica Positive 55 4.9 1 7.1 54 Number 4.9 Percent Negative 13 92.9 1070 1057 95.1 Number 95.1 Percent Chi-Square Significance = 0.6939. Statistic = 0.15439 DF = 1N = 1125 Entameoba coli Positive 451 8 443 Number 40.1 39.9 57.1 Percent Negative 674 6 668 Number 59.9 42.9 60.1 Percent Chi-Square Significance = 0.1901, Statistic = 1.7167 DF = 1 N = 1125

Parasite		No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lum	bricoides			
Positive Number Percent		17 1.5	U	17 1.5
Negative ilumber Percent		1094 98.5	14	1108
<u>ii = 1125</u>	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.6409.	Statistic = 0.21751
Anci lostoma	duodenale			
Positive Number Percent		40 3.6	0	40 3.6
Negative Number Percent		1071 96.4	14 190	1025 96.4
11 = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.4697,	Statistic = 0.52253
Giardia lamb Positive Number Percent	lia	46	0	46
legative Humber Percent		1065 95.9	14 100	4.1 1079 95.9
= 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	0.4369,	Statistic = 0.60437
ntameoba his	tolytica			
Ositive Number Percent		54 4.9	1,1	55
egative Number Percent		1057 95.1	13 92.9	1070 95.1
= 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance =	0.6939,	Statistic = 0.15489
ntameoba col	i			
Number Percent		443 39.9	8 57.1	451 40.1
egative Number Percent		668 60.1	6 42.9	674 59.9
= 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance =	0.1901.	Statistic = 1.7167

Appendix 111.2

Table VI

Parasite			No	fes	Total
Ascaris lum	bricoides				
Number Percent			17 1.5	0	17 1.5
Negative Number Percent			1094 98.5	14 100	1108 98.5
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	e Significance	e = 0.6409.	Statistic = 0.2175
Ancylostoma	duodenale				
Positive Number Percent			40 3.6	0	40 3.6
Negative Number Percent			1071 96.4	14 100	1035 96.4
N = 1125	DF = 1	C'i-Square	Significance	= 0.4697.	Statistic = 0.5226
Giardia lamb	lia				
Positive Humber Percent			46 4.1	0	46 4,1
Negative Number Percent			1065 95.9	14	1079 95.9
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.4369.	Statistic = 0.6043
Entameoba hi	stolvtica				
Positive Number	storytrea		54	1	55
Percent			4.9	7.1	4.9
Number Percent			1057 95.1	13 92.9	1070 95.1
1 = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.6939,	Statistic = 0.15489
Intameoba co	11				
Ositive Number Percent			443 39.9	8 57.1	451 40.1
legative Number Percent			668 60.1	6 42.9	674 59.9
= 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0,1901	Statistic = 1 7167

Appendix III.2 Table VII Beni Suef. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Laundry Water

Appendix III.2 Table VIII

1. ...

Parasite		Ho	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides			
Positive Number Percent		17 1.5	0	17 1.5
Numper Percent		1094 93.5	14 100	1108 98.5
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance :	= 0.6409, Stat	tistic = 0.21751
Ancylostoma	duodenale			
Positive Number Percent		40 3.6	0	40 3.6
Negative Number Percent		1071 96.4	14 100	1085 96.4
11 = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.4697, St	etistic = 0.52263
Giardia lamb	lia			
Positive Number Percent		46 4.1	0	46 4.1
Negative Number Percent		1065 95.9	14 100	1079 95.9
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.4369, Sta	tistic = 0.60437
Entameoba hi	stolytica			
Positive Number Percent		54 4.9	1 7.1	55 4.9
Number Percent		1057 95.1	13 92.9	1070 95.1
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.6939, St	atistic = 0.15409
Entameoba co	oli			
Positive Number Percent		443 39.9	8 57.1	451 40.1
Negative Number Percent		668 60.1	6 42.9	674 59.9
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.1901, St	atistic = 1.7167

Beni Suef. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Utensil Water

Parasite		No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lum	bricoides			
Positive				
fiumbe r		11	6	17
Percent		1.3	2.0	1.5
Negative				
Rumber		814	294	1108
Percent		98.7	98. 1	98.5
11 = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.4176.	Statistic = 0.6569
Ancylostoma	duodenale			
Positive				
Number		27	13	40
Percent		3.3	4.3	3.6
Negative				
Number		793	237	1085
rercent		90.7	95.7	96.4
N = 1125	DF = i	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.3956,	Statistic = 0.7216
Giardia lamb	lia			
Pcsitive				
Number		40	5	46
Percent		4.8	2.0	4.3
Negative				
Fercent		785	294	1079
rercent		95.2	96.9	95.9
1 = 1125	D: = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.0329,	Statistic = 4.5517
Entameoba hi	stolytica			
Positive				
Number		36	19	55
Percent		4.4	6.3	4.9
legative				
Percent		789	281	1070
rencent		95.0	93.7	95.1
= 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.1755.	Statistic = 1.8356
intameoba co	<u>1i</u>			
ositive				
Number		312	139	451
Percent		37.8	46.3	40.1
legative				
Number		513	161	674
rercent		62.2	53.7	59.9
= 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0 0100	Statistic = 6 6417

Beni Suef. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Drinking Water Piped Outside

Appendix III.2 Table IX

.

Parasite		and the second second	No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumbr	icoides				
Positive					
Number			13	4	17
Percent			1.6	1.4	1.5
Negative					1100
Number			819	02.69	92.5
rertent			30.4	30.0	,
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	• 0.8118.	Statistic = 0 56684 -1
Ancylostoma d	uodenale				
Positive					15
funiter			27	13	40
Percent			3.2	4.4	3.0
liegative			905	202	1045
Rumper			96.8	95.6	96.4
rercent					
H = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Signit cance	= 0.3435.	Statistic = 0.89735
Giardia lambl	si				
Pesitive					
Number			41	5 1 7	40
Percent			4.9	1.1	4.1
Regative			701	283	1079
Percent			95.1	98.3	95 9
N + 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Souare	Simificance	= 0.0166.	Statistic = 5.7339
<u>n - 1125</u>		enresquare	Jightiteanee		
Entareoba his	tolytica				
Postive				10	
Number			3/	6.1	4.9
reitent					
Negative			795	275	1070
Percent			95.6	93.9	95.1
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square	Significance	= 0.2469.	Statistic = 1.3403
Entameoba col	i				
Positive			210	122	451
Number			33.2	45.4	40.1
rercent					
Regative			514	160	674
number			61 0	54 6	59 9
Percent			01.8	54.0	

Appendix III.2 Table X Beri Suef. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Nater Supply: Bathing Water Piped Outside

•

Appendix III.2 Table XI

Deni J	uel. rerc	ent rrevaler	Re or .	Serected	Parasiles	by	Type	01
Water	Supply: La	undry Water	Piped (Outside				

Parasite	No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumbricoides			
Positive Number Percent	13 1.5	4 1.5	17 1.5
Negative Number Percent	847 98.5	261 98.5	1108 98.5
N • 1125 DF • 1	Chi-Square Significance	• 0.9980.	Statistic = 0.65518 -5
Ancylostoma duodenale			
Positive Number Percent	27 3.1	13 4.9	40 3.6
Number Percent	833 96.9	252 95.1	1085 96.4
1i = 1125 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.1746.	Statistic = 1.8427
Giardia lamblia			
Positive Number Percent	41 4.8	5 1.9	46 4.1
Negative Number Percent	819 95.2	260 98.1	1079 95.9
11 = 1125 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	• 0.0384,	Statistic = 4.2865
Entameoba histolytica			
Positive Number Percent	39 4.5	16 6.0	55 4.9
Negative Number Percent	821 95.5	249 94.0	1070 95.1
N = 1125 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.3212,	Statistic = 0.98397
Entameoba coli			
Positive Number Percent	324 37.7	127 47.9	451 40.1
Negative Number Percent	536 62.3	139 52.1	674 59.9
N = 1125 DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.0029,	Statistic = 8.8617

Appendix III.2 Table XII

Beni Suef. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Utensil Water Piped Outside

Parasite		No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lu	mbricoides			
Positive				
Number		12		
Percent		1.5	4	17
Negative			1.0	1.5
Number		863	245	1100
Percent		98.5	98.4	98.5
N = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significar	nce = 0.8889. St	atistic = 0.19520
Angulartan				
Ancytostoma	duodenale			
rositive				
Percent		27	13	40
Nocal		3.1	5.2	3.6
Number				
Percent		849 96.9	236 94.8	1085 96.4
1 = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significan	ce = 0.1078. Sta	atistic = 2.5852
			1000	
Giardia lamb	olia			
Positive				
Numbe r		41	5	
Percen:		4.7	2.0	40
Negative				
Number		835	244	1079
Percent		95.3	98.0	95.9
1 = 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significant	e = 0 0602 Sta	tistic = 2 5207
			0.0002, 510	13111 - 3.3307
intameoud hi	stolvtica			
nsitive				
Number		20		
Percent		4.5	10	55
egative			0.4	4.9
Number		837	222	1070
Percent		95.5	93.6	95 1
= 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significanc	e =0.2025. Stati	stic = 1 6242
				the mount
ntameoba col	1			
ositive				
Percent		331	120	451
reitent		37.8	48.2	40.1
gative				
Percent		545	129	674
		62.2	51.8	59.9
= 1125	DF = 1	Chi-Souare Significance	= 0 0031 5+++	

		Latr	ine	
Parasite	1	Present	Absent.	Totai
Ascaris lumbr	icoides			
Positive Number Percent		43 3.9	13 2.1	56 3.3
Negative Number Percent		1061 96.1	598 97.9	1659 96.7
N = 1715	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signif	icance = 0.0486,	Statistic = 3.8891
Ancylostoma d	luodenale			
Positive Number Percent		3 0.3	2 0.3	5 0.3
Negative Number Percent		1101 99.7	609 99.7	1710 99.7
N = 1715	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signif	icance = 0.8389,	Statistic = 0.41817-1
Hymenolepis	nana			
Positive Number Percent		63 5.7	29 4.7	92 5.4
Negative Number Percent		1041 94.3	582 95.3	1623 94.6
N = 1715	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signi	ficance = 0.3980	, Statistic = 0.71432
Giardia lamb	lia			
Positive Number Percent		120 10.9	39 6.4	159 9.3
Negative Number Percent		984 89.1	572 93.6	1556 90.7
N = 1715	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signi	ficance = 0.0022	. Statistic = 9.4124

Appendix III.3 Table I

111.3	Table I	(continued)
-------	---------	-------------

a

	Latr	ine	
Parasite	Present	Absent	Total
Entameoba histolytica			
Positive			
Number	80	.2	112
Fercent	7.2	5.2	6.5
Negative			
Number	1024	579	1603
Percent	92.8	94.8	93.5
N = 1715 DF = 1	Chi-Square Signifi	cance = 0.106	8, Statistic = 2.6008
Entameoba coli			
Positive			
Number	411	192	603
Percent	37.2	31.4	35.2
Negative			
Number	393	419	1112
Percent	62.8	68.6	64.8
N = 1715 DF = 1	Chi-Square Signifi	cance = 0.015	9, Statistic = 5.8125

Parasite		No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides			
Decitivo				
Number		22	16	49
Percent		2.2	9.3	2.9
limbor		1402	156	01.11
Dercont		07 9	90 7	.97 1
rercent		97.0	30.7	
N = 1693	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	- 0.0000.	Statistic = 28.118
Ancylostoma	duodenale			
Positive				
Number		5	0	5
Fercent		0.3		0.3
"contine				
regative		1521	172	1693
Percent		93 7	100	99.7
rercent		55.1	100	
1 = 1693	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.4522,	Statistic = 0.56523
Giardia lamb	lia			
Positive				
Number		124	24	148
Percent		8.1	14.0	8.7
Constine				
lumber	140	1402	148	1550
Percent		91.9	86.0	91.3
		and the second se		
11 = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.0102,	Statistic = 6.5981
Entameoba hi	stolytica			
Positive				
Number		80	16	96
Percent		5.2	9.3	5.7
Negative				
Number		1446	156	1602
Percent		94.8	90.7	94.3
11 = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.289.	Statistic = 4.7765
Entameoba co	11			
Positive			03	FOF
Number		504	81	200
Percent		33.0	47.1	34.5
Negative		2000		
Number		1022	91	1113
Percent		67.0	52.9	65.5
		 Salaria Solitoria - Lastra - P 	1.1.1.1.1.1	enderstand and and

....

Appendix III.3 Table II Aswan. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Drinking Water

Appendix III.3 Table III

Aswan. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Piped-in Bathing Water

i.

Ì

Parasite		Ko	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides			
Positive - Number Percent		33 2.9	16 2.2	49 9.4
Negative Number Percent		1495 97.8	154 90.6	1649 97.1
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.0000,	Statistic = 28.709
Ancylostoma Positive	duodenale			
Number Percent		5 0.3	0	5 0.3
Negative Number Percent		1523 99.7	170 100	1693 99.7
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.4551,	Statistic = 0.55793
Giardia lamb Positive Number Percent	lia	124 8-1	24 14.1	148 8.7
Negative Number Percent		1404 91.9	146 85.9	1550 91.3
N = 1693	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.0085,	Statistic = 6.9273
Entameoba hi	stolytica			
Number Percent		80 5.2	16 9.4	96 5.7
Negative Number Percent		1448 94.8	154 90.6	1602 94.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.0253,	Statistic = 5.0019
Entameoba co	11			
Positive Number Percent		505 33.0	80 47.1	585 34.5
Negative Number Percent		1023 67.0	90 52.9	1113 65.5
1 = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.0003.	Statistic = 13.295

Parasite		Хо	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumbr	icoides			
Positive		33	16	49
Percent		2.2	9.4	2.9
Negative				1440
Number Percent		1495 97.8	90.6	97.1
N = 1698	DF # 1	Chi-Square.Significance	• 0.0000.	Statistic = 28.709
Ancylostoma d	uodenale			
Positive				
Number Percent		0.3	U	0.3
Negative		1523	170	1693
Percent		99.7	100	99.7
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.4551	Statistic = 0.55793
Giardia lambl	ia			
Positive				140
Number Percent		124 8.1	14.1	8.7
Negative		1404	146	1550
Percent		91.9	85.9	91.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	* 0.0085	Statistic = 6.9275
Entameoba his	tolytica			
Positive		00	16	96
Percent		5.2	9.4	5.7
Negative				1602
Number Percent		94.8	90.6	94.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	* 0.0253	, Statistic = 5.0019
Entameoba col	i			
Positive				
Number Percent		505 33.0	80 47.1	34.5
Negative			00	1112
Number Percent		1023 65.5	67.0	52.9

Appendix III.3 Table IV

Parasite		No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumbr	icoides			
Positive Number Percent		33 2.2	:6 9.4	49 2.9
Negative Number Percent		1495 97.8	154 90.6	1649 97.1
N = 1698	UF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.0000.	Statistic = 28.709
Ancylostoma c	luodenale			
Positive Number Percent		5 0.3	0	5 0.3
Negative Number Percent		1523 99.7	170 100	1693 99.7
1 = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.4551	Statistic = 0.55793
Giardia lambi	lia			
Positive Number Percent		124 8.1	24 14.1	148 8.7
Negative Number Percent		1404 91.9	146 85.9	1550 91.3
11 = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.0085	Statistic = 6.92/5
Entameoba hi	stolytica			
Positive Number Percent		80 5.2	16 9,4	96 5.7
Negative Number Percent		1448 94.8	154 90.6	1602 94.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	* 0.253,	Statistic = 5.0019
Entameoba co	11			
Positive Number Percent		505 33.0	80 47.1	525 34.5
Number Percent		1023 67.0	90 52.9	1113 65.5
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	e = 0.0003	, Statistic = 13.295

۰.

Appendix 111.3 Table V Aswan. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of Water Supply: Water Piped in for Utensils

				Total
arasite	1	No	tes	
scaris lumbri	icoides			
ositive		25	24	49
Number Percent		3.1	2.7	2.9
legative		789	860	1649
Number		96.9	97.3	97.1
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significanc	e = 0.6613, St	atistic = 0.19199
Ancylostoma d	luodenale			
Positive				F
Number		2	3	0.3
Percent		0.2	0.5	
Negative		812	881	1693
Percent		99.8	99.7	99.7
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significant	ce = 0.7219, S	tatistic = 0.1266
Giardia lamb	lia			
Positive				149
Number		79	69 7 8	8.7
Percent		9.7	7.0	
Negative		735	815	1550
Percent		90.3	92.2	91.3
1 - 1608	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significan	ce = 0.1656, S	itatistic = 1.9222
N ~ 1098				
Entameoba hi	stolytica			
Positive		59	37	96
Percent		7.2	4.2	5.7
Negative			047	1602
Number		755	95.8	94.3
Percent		52.0		Chamichic = 7 A52
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significa	nce = 0.0063,	Statistic - 7.452
Entameoba c	oli			
Positive		308	277	585
Percent		37.8	31.3	34.5
Negative			607	1113
Number		506	68.7	65.5
Percent		02.2		
	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significa	nce = 0.0048 ,	Statistic = 7.93

Parasite		No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumbri	coides			
Positive Number Percent		25 3.0	24 2.7	49 2.9
Negative Number Percent		796 97.0	953 97.3	1649 97.1
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significant	ce = 0.7044. St	tatistic = 0.14397
Ancylostoma d	uodenale			
Positive Number Percent		2 0.2	3 0.3	5 0.3
Negative Number Percent		819	874	1693
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significan	ce = 0.7082, S	tatistic = 0.14004
Giardia lamb	lia			
Positive Number Percent		79 9.6	69 7.9	148 8.7
Negative Number Percent		742 90.4	808 92.1	1550 91.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significa	nce = 0.202,	Statistic = 1.6408
Entameoba hi	stolytica			
Positive Number Percent		59 5.7	37 7.2	96 4.2
Negative Number Percent		762 92.8	840 95.8	1602 94.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significa	ince = 0.0082,	Statistic = 7.000
Entamoba c	oli			
Positivo			1	FOF
Number Percent		309 37.5	276 31.5	34.5
Negative Number Percent		512 62.4	601 68.5	1113 65.5
N = 1693	DF = 1	Chi-Square Signific	ance = 0.0075.	Statistic = 7.139

Appendix III.3 Table VII Aswan. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of

.

Parasite		No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumbr	icoides			
Positive Number Percent		25 3.0	24 2.7	49 2.9
Negative Number Percent		796 97.0	853 97.3	1649 97.1
11 = 1695	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significanc	e = 0.7044, 5	tatistic = 0.14397
Ancylostoma c	uodenale			
Positive Number Percent		2 0.2	3 0.3	50.3
Negative Number Percent		819 99.8	874 99.7	1693 99.7
1; = 1693	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significanc	e = 0.7032, 5	Statistic = 0.14004
Giardia lamb	lia			
Positive Number Percent		79 9.6	69 7.9	148 8.7
Negative Number Percent		742 90.4	808 92.1	1550 91.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significan	ce = 0.2002,	Statistic = 1.6409
Entameoba hi	stolytica			
Positive Number Percent		59 7.2	37 4.2	96 5.7
Negative Number Percent		762 92.8	840 95.8	1602 94.3
N = 1693	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significar	nce = 0.0082.	Statistic = 7.0002
Entameoba c	oli			
Positive Number Percent		309 37.6	276 31.5	585 34.5
Negative Number Percent		512 62.4	601 68.5	1113 65.5
2 = 1693	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significa	nce = 0.0075,	Statistic = 7.139

Appendix III.3 Table VIII Aswan. Percent Prevalence of Selected Parasites by Type of

Parasite		No	Yes	Total
Ascaris lumb	ricoides			
Positive				
Number		25	24	49
Percent		3.0	2.1	2.5
Negative		795	853	1649
Percent		97.0	97.3	97.1
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.7044.	Statistic = 0.14397
Ancylostoma	duodenale			
Positive				
Number		2	3	5 0 3
rercent		0.2	0.5	0.5
Number		819	874	1693
Percent		99.8	99.7	99.7
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.7082,	Statistic = 0.1400
Giardia lamb	lia			
Positive				1.000
Number		79	69	148
Percent		9.6	7.9	8.7
Negative		742	808	1550
Percent		90.4	92.1	91.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	= 0.2002.	Statistic = 1.6409
Entameoba hi	stolytica			
Positive				
Number		59	37	96
Percent		1.2	4.2	5.7
Negative		762	840	1602
Percent		92.8	95.8	94.3
N = 1698	DF = 1	Chi-Square Significance	* 0.0092	Statistic = 7.0002
Entameoba co	li			
Positive				5.05
Percent		309 37.6	31.5	34.5
Negative				
Number		512	601	1113
Percent		62.4	68.5	65.5
1 V. C		the Courses Classificance	- 0 0075	Statistic = 7 1202

Q.

•

and the second second

AP	PENDI	IX I	V.1

. . .

TABLE !

Study site code Kefr El Sheikh 17 18 19 Beni Suef Material Stone or red Brick Mud Brick Wood or Reed o Mud and red Brick Mud Brick and Wood or Reed No information 197 215 Total 212 197

Construction Esterial of House, Raw Counts, for beni Suef and Fafr El Sheikh

IV.1

TABLE II

Structure Attachment of House, Rew Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

				Бt	udy si	te code				
Attachment	11	Be 12	ni Su 13	ef 14	15	16	Kafr 17	E1 18	Sheikh 19	20
Detached	2	1	3	4	3	17	0	17	33	17
One Side only	10	10	41	10	8	42	8	29	70	26
Two Sides	50	51	117	61	43	124	57	99	91	168
Three Sides	42	139	50	122	144	15	125	43	3	0
No information	3	0	1	0	1	1	9	3	0	4
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

APPENDIX IV.	.1	
--------------	----	--

TABLE III

5	Num	ber	of	hou	sei	5 wi	th	Pair	nted	wall	8,	
	Raw	Co	unts	. 1	OT	Eer	1	Suef	and	Eafr	É1	Sheikh

				St	udy si	te code				
	Beni Suef							r El	Sheik	h
Painted	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Exterior	4	1	4	4	2	3	7	11	6	9
Interior	8	27	16	6	14	36	21	32	57	25
None	82	148	19	168	124	152	163	120	109	177
Exterior and Interior	13	23	166	18	54	8	6	25	22	3
No information	0	2	7	1	5	0	2	3	3	1
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

14.1

1

Sprath and

TABLE IV

Number of Houses with Steircase, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

		Be	n1 Su	6 F	tudy	site code	Lefr	El	Sheikh	2
Staircase	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Fixed	72	152	138	102	119	132	180	87	16	119
Mobile	12	15	12	20	48	57	8	59	151	68
None	18	31	55	69	28	3	10	35	28	20
No information	5	3	7	6	4	7	1	10	2	8
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

TABLE V

.

Number of Houses by Type of Roof Material, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Roof Material	11	Be 12	ni Su 13	ef 14	udy si 15	te code 16	Kefr 17	E1 18	Sheikh 19	20
Reed and Mud	34	60	20	0	15	0	0	38	0	1
Wood, Reed and "Mud	-*1	6	0	0	109	158	6	45	, 0	1
Concrete	3	1	3	2	1	24	10	17	27	17
Wood	10	23	43	143	1	3	7	4	9	5
Reed	9	81	22	31	9	0	1	1	4	4
Kud	40	6	1	0	3	2	0	0	0	173
Concrete and Wood	1 0	0	6	0	0	2	0	1	6	0
Concrete and Reed	0 1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
Concrete and Mud	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	٥	0	10
Concrete, Wood and Reed	0	٥	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
Wood and Reed	7	23	105	19	6	4	174	57	149	0
No information	0	0	1	4	1	0	0	1	0	0
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

14.1

TABLE VI

Number of Houses by Roof condition, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

				St	udy si	te code			Sheik	b
Condition	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Permeable	41	13	90	161	10	170	195	156	165	170
Non-permeable	56	187	119	29	162	22	3	32	22	25
No information	10	1	3	7	27	7	1	3	10	20
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

1.90

TABLE VII

Number of Houses by Storage location of Cooking and Heating Feul, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Storage				5t	udy sit	te code				
of Fuel		Be	ni Su	ef			Kaf	r El	Sheik	h
Materials	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
None present	4	1	2	1	2	0	0	1	0	0
Roof	88	178	168	145	190	199	195	183	187	203
Stable	4	3	9	0	1	0	1	2	1	3
Storage Room	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	1
Yard	8	17	31	51	4	0	3	٥	4	8
Roof and Stor- age Room	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Roof and Yard	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
No information	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

Number of Eouses by Type of Floor Construction, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Esfr El Sheikh

2				St	udy s	ite code				
Floor Construction	11	12 12	ni Su 13	14	15	16	Kaf 17	r 11 18	Sheik 19	ⁿ 20
Tile and Wood	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	8	0
Earth	91	162	185	179	157	172	194	170	161	204
Concrete	4	1	0	2	0	4	2	2	1	3
Tile	5	17	6	8	12	13	1	9	18	3
Wood	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1
Earth and Concrete	0	1	5	0	1	0	1	2	2	2
Earth and Tile	2	13	14	6	28	1	0	4	0	0
Earth and Wood	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Earth, Concrete Tile	• •	1	o	o	o	2	0	e	1	1
No information	3	12	2	1	1	2	1	3	4	0
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

TABLE IX

Number of Houses by the number of windows, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Number of	8	Bas	at Cu		tudy	site cod	Eafr	El	Sheikh	
Windows	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1	29	54	43	63	50	2	59	12	12	34
2	27	31	47	33	47	7	63	36	29	35
3	9	26	44	14	40	14	26	35	26	36
4	13	19	28	8	16	70	13	41	56	29
5	4	9	9	6	9	48	7	13	30	20
6	10	4	10	1	4	18	5	19	17	18
7	0	0	3	1	0	23	0	14	18	9
8	0	2	3	2	0	13	1	6	3	12
9	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	5	2	2
10 or more	2	2	3	1	0	2	1	5	4	15
No information	12	53	22	67	33	0	24	5	0	5
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

14.1

TABLE X

Number of Houses by Type of Lighting, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

				St	udy sit	e code	Vote	17	Sheith	
Lighting	11	12 12	13 Du	er 14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Electricity	30	45	67	0	73	100	14	58	4	0
Kerosene	71	149	142	195	123	95	176	130	192	213
Other	1	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	2
Electricity and Kerosene	1	0	0	0	0	4	9	0	0	0
No information	4	5	2	1	1	0	0	3	0	0
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

A	P	P	£	٧	Э	1	X	1	٧	1	

TABLE XI

Number of Houses with Television, Raw Counts, for Beri Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

				S	tudy	site code				
		Be	ni Su	eſ			Eafr	E1	Sheikh	
Television	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Present	4	5	5	1	7	6	4	9	5	1
Not Present	93	172	201	195	190	193	192	177	192	214
No information	10	24	6	1	2	0	3	5	0	0
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

14.1

TABLE XII

Number of Houses by Number of Rooms in House, Rew Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Number of Boo		Re	ri Si	er S	Study	site code	Fafr	FI	Sheith		
in House	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
1	12	48	26	64	1	3	58	4	3	5	
2	29	47	55	51	15	60	69	34	5	38	
3	16	41	61	46	32	94	43	44	15	43	
4	21	21	29	16	48	34	17	50	18	48	
5	10	14	16	9	36	5	2	20	24	20	
6	7	8	9	4	27	2	2	14	47	30	
7	0	7	7	4	16	1	1	10	65	9	
8 or more	6	9	6	2	22	0	2	12	20	19	
No information	6	6	3	1	2	0	5	3	0	0	
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215	

TABLE XIII

Raw C	ounts	, for	Beni	Stref	And	Kafr El :	Sheikh	-		_	
Number of Occupants	11	Be 12	ni Su 13	ef 14	itudy 15	site cod 16	Kafr 17	E1 18	Sheikh 19	20	
1	5	10	10	30	10	6	6	1	17	8	
2	5	18	16	36	14	28	15	6	33	38	
3	7	22	24	24	18	35	29	9	23	26	
4	15	23	18	31	34	26	35	17	30	31	
5	25	23	27	26	25	38	31	11	21	34	
6	13	24	33	20	24	25	23	25	27	26	
7	10	24	19	14	21	27	17	22	8	18	
8	7	11	26	7	12	8	12	20	14	15	
9	7	13	12	5	16	4	17	13	Э	11	
10 or more	12	31	25	2	19	2	4	65	7	7	
lio information	n 1	2	2	2	6	0	0	5	8	1	
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215	

Eucher of Houses by Number of Occupants in House.

IV.1

TABLE XIV

Number of Houses by Presence and Location of Stable, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

					Study i	site co	de			
C+++1+	44	Be	ni Su	ef	46	15	Ka	fr El	Shei	kh
Stable	-1)	12	12	144	15	10		10	19	20
Inside	69	148	130	68	184	150	140	120	105	147
Outside	3	3	10	5	3	6	5	15	в	9
None	31	46	69	102	10	39	18	52	82	42
No information	4	4	3	2	2	4	36	4	2	7
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

TABLE	XV

Number of Houses with Waste Container, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

				S	tudy	site	code		-	Chaith	
Weste Contriner	11	Be 12	ni Su 13	ef 14	15		16	17 Kair	18	19	20
Yes	2	29	2	1	о		4	3	6	3	60
No	95	170	209	191	198	1	194	193	179	191	139
No information	10	2	1	5	1		1	3	6	3	16
Total	107	201	212	197	199		199	199	191	197	215

11.1

APPENDIX IV.1

TABLE XVI

Number of Houses by method of disposal of animal waste, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Arimal	Study site code									
Waste Material	11	12 12	13 13	14	15	16	17	18	19	50
Stable	10	1	98	1	19	3	1	0	3	89
Yard	12	2	1	2		0	1	9	2	2
Street	7	9	9	1	28	171	144	62	17	26
Canal	3	0	2	0	С	1	0	42	86	1
Roof	58	60	о	83	74	0	0	5	2	1
None	14	115	98	107	72	13	19	67	85	80
No information	n 3	14	4	3	2	11	34	6	2	16
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215
TABLE XVII

Number of Houses by Type of Cooking Fuel, Raw Counts, for Ben Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

5 44.6				8	tudy	site code		-	Chaibb		
Cooking Fuel	11	12 12	13 13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	_
Wood and Dung	5	14	0	83	72	27	22	40	57	1	
Oil, Wood and Dung	0	8	0	0	24	109	53	34	0	0	
Gas	6	3	1	2	0	0	1	2	0	2	
Oil	10	41	1	29	6	8	5	4	1	21	
Wood	4	0	0	73	3	5	5	2	0	1	
Dung	74	70	143	1	8	7 0	27	2	4	16	
Gas and Oil	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Gas and Wood	1	1	0	3	1	0	0	2	1	n	
Gas and Dung	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Gas, Oil, and Wood	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	
Oil and Wood	1	16	0	3	1	27	74	38	126	0	
No information	6	47	59	3	4	21	11	67	8	171	
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215	

14.1

TABLE XVIII

Number of Houses by Cleaning of Stable, Haw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Ctable		Po	-i C.,	St	udy sit	te code		n Fl	Sheik	h
Cleaning	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Daily	82	101	86	41	63	174	140	118	110	147
Weekly	4	32	34	46	80	2	2	19	0	29
Monthly	0	18	25	1	36	0	2	0	1	0
Never	18	30	2	6	11	2	9	35	82	17
No information	3	20	65	102	9	20	46	19	2	21
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

Voune				S	tudy si	te code				
Approach	11	12	eni Su 13	14 14	15	16	17	r 21 18	Sheik 19	h 20
Non-earth:										
Clean	46	84	207	178	65	166	1	163	1	26
Littered	0	0	0	1	0	9	0	0	0	6
Dry	60	1	2	12	96	12	175	5	2	173
Wet	С	2	0	1	34	10	7	20	0	0
Earth:										
Clean	0	15	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Littered	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Wet	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
No information	1	99	1	5	1	1	15	2	194	3
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

TABLE XIX

Number

14.1

.

TABLE XX

Number of Houses Owned and Rented, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Eafr El Sheikh

		2.		5	Study	site code		- 55	2.1	
Ownership	11	12	13	14	15	16	Ea1 17	r El 18	Sheik 19	20
OVD	2	15	2	4	12	4	2	9	19	2
Rent	96	179	207	149	185	195	192	179	163	199
No information	9	7	3	4	2	0	5	3	15	4
Total	107	201	212	197	199	199	199	191	197	215

TABLE XXI

Storage of Water by Number of Houses, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

	Study site code Beni Suef Kafr El Sheikh 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20										
Material	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	
Metal	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	2	2	
Earthenware	107	193	209	197	192	186	189	186	195	215	
Cerazic	0	0	1	0	1	0	8	0	0	1	
Metal and Ceramic	0	0	1	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	
Metsl and Earthenware	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
No information	2	0	1	0	3	0	1	1	1	0	
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218	

18.1

TABLE XXII

Wastewater Drainage by Number of Houses, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Esfr El Sheikh

			and C		Study	site co	de	~ F)	Sheik	h
Material	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Concrete	0	0	6	0	1	0	2	5	2	4
Pipe	2	1	1	2	0	10	0	0	1	42
Brick	2	0	0	0	0	98	0	2	0	1
Tile	1	0	2	0	0	5	0	7	0	1
Earth	102	188	193	15	0	86	0	173	195	160
Other	1	2	7	180	190	0	193	1	0	9
No information	2	2	3	0	6	0	4	2	1	1
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218

TABLE XXIII

.

Number of Houses with Latrin, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

			St	udy si	te code					
	Be	ni Su	ef	1.5		Kaf	r El	Sheikh		
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	-
22	54	51	35	119	196	154	151	147	196	
69	112	159	160	12	3	23	37	16	19	
19	27	2	2	66	0	22	2	36	3	
110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218	
	11 22 69 19 110	11 12 22 54 69 112 19 27 110 193	Beni Su 11 12 13 22 54 51 69 112 159 19 27 2 110 193 212	Beni Suef St 11 12 13 14 22 54 51 35 69 112 159 160 19 27 2 2 110 193 212 197	Study si Beni Suef 14 15 11 12 13 14 15 22 54 51 35 119 69 112 159 160 12 19 27 2 2 66 110 193 212 197 197	Study site code 11 12 13 14 15 16 22 54 51 35 119 196 69 112 159 160 12 3 19 27 2 2 66 0 110 193 212 197 197 199	Study site code Beni Suef 14 15 16 17 22 54 51 35 119 196 154 69 112 159 160 12 3 23 19 27 2 2 66 0 22 110 193 212 197 197 199 199	Study site code Beni Suef 14 15 16 Kafr El 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 22 54 51 35 119 196 154 151 69 112 159 160 12 3 23 37 19 27 2 2 66 0 22 2 110 193 212 197 197 199 190 190	Beni Suef 11 12 13 14 15 16 Kafr El Sheik Sheik 19 22 54 51 35 119 196 154 151 147 69 112 159 160 12 3 23 37 16 19 27 2 2 66 0 22 2 36 110 193 212 197 197 199 190 199	Beni Suef Study site code Kafr El Sheikb Sheikb 20 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 22 54 51 35 119 196 154 151 147 196 69 112 159 160 12 3 23 37 16 19 19 27 2 2 66 0 22 2 36 3 110 193 212 197 197 199 199 190 199 218

14.1

TABLE XXIV

Number of Houses Using Latrine, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

				St	udy si	te code					
2 10 mm - d -		Be	ni Su	ef			Kaf	r El	Sheik	h	
Latrine Use	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	_
Yes	24	52	49	33	119	195	153	149	122	199	
No	31	100	159	54	7	4	13	38	52	16	
No information	55	41	4	110	71	0	33	3	25	3	
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218	

APPENDIX IV. 1

TABLE XXV

Number of Houses with Covered Latrine, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

				St	udy si	te code		-			
Latrine Cover	11	12 12	ní Su 13	es 14	15	16	17	r El 18	Sheik 19	n 20	
Yes	7	49	48	10	117	157	145	150	4	193	
No	38	100	159	61	6	41	20	37	162	19	
No information	65	44	5	126	74	1	34	3	33	6	
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218	

TABLE XXVI

Latrine Type by Number of Houses, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Eafr El Sheikh

				Sti	dy sit	e code	Vota	FI	Sheikt	1
Latrine Type	11	Ben 12	i Sue 13	f 14	15	16	17	18	19	20
	2	0	0	4	1	3	1	19	10	13
Borehole	17	54	38	6	114	144	93	116	60	157
Pit	2	3	15	24	1	34	39	17	76	34
Masonry Walls	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	1
Walls	Ŭ				•			70	67	13
No information	89	136	159	163	81	18	57	38	22	
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218

APPENDIX IV.1

11.1

TABLE XXVII

Presence of Water Carriage in Latrine by Number of Houses, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Mater				Sti	udy sit	e code	Fafr	EI	Sheik	1	5
Carriage in Latrine	11	Bei 12	ni Su 13	ef 14	15	16	17	18	13	20	-
	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	
Yes		455	56	79	118	194	158	179	174	217	
No	52	199	455	118	79	5	41	10	23	1	
No information	58	57	155	110		100	100	190	199	218	
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	195	.,,,			

IV.1

TIBLE XXVIII

Number of Houses with Septic Tank, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

				St	udy si	te code	Vof	- F1	Sheik	h	
Septic Tank	11	Bei 12	13	ef 14	15	16	17	18	19	20	_
Yes	0	6	6	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	
No	52	149	51	70	118	192	150	183	177	217	
No information	58	38	155	115	79	5	41	6	21	1	
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	215	

TABLE XXIX

Number of Houses with Cesspool, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

A Participation of the

		8	0.0	St	udy si	te code			Chalk	h	
Cesspool	11	Be 12	ni Su 13	ei 14	15	16	Kai 17	18	19	20	
Yes	0	21	4	6	1	32	13	135	13	2	
No	52	136	54	70	116	162	143	49	163	216	
No information	58	36	154	121	80	5	43	6	25	0	
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218	

14.1

TABLE XXX

Latrine Location by Number of Houses, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Contract Contract		1		St	udy si	te code				
Latrine Location	11	Be 12	ni Su 13	21 14	15	16	17 Kar	r El 18	Sheik 19	n 20
Inside	16	42	50	32	116	188	142	150	143	5
Outside	2	2	4	3	2	1	9	1	12	506
Stable	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
No information	92	149	158	162	79	8	48	39	.44	7
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218

APPENDIX IV.1

TABLE YXXI

Number of Houses with Latrine Superstructure, Raw Counts, for Beni Suef and Kafr El Sheikh

Latrine				St	udy sit	e code	Vet	. 51	Shaik	
Super- Structure	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Yes	2	5	1	22	0	4	1	3	4	0
No	18	146	50	54	117	190	154	179	.74	218
No information	90	42	161	121	80	5	44	8	21	0
Total	110	193	212	197	197	199	199	190	199	218

TABLE I

1

				S	tudy si	te cod	e No	ew Nul	Dia	
Construction Material	1	2	3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Stone or Redbrick	20	19	77	0	5	187	192	190	200	198
Mud Brick	150	27	2	185	181	9	7	7	0	1
Wood or Reed	1	0	0	c	3	0	0	0	0	0
Mud and Red Brick	17	178	40	2	с	0	1	\$	0	0
hed Brick, Wood or Reed	o	o	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Red Brick, Mud Erick, Wood or Reed	1	0	1	С	o	0	с	0	0	c
Mud Brick, Wood or Reed	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No informati	on 3	0	0	23	2	2	5	0	1	1
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

tion Material

TABLE II

Number of Houses by Structure Attachment, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

*		1		St	udy sit	te code				
Structure Attachment	1	2	swan 3	4	10	5	6	ew Nu 7	8	9
Detached	15	12	5	18	6	2	1	7	2	0
One Side only	73	55	16	72	27	8	2	10	14	3
Two Sides	69	89	42	80	105	62	28	52	62	49
Three Sides	10	68	60	12	53	123	168	131	122	146
No Informatio	n25	0	0	28	0	3	3	0	1	2
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

•				St	udy si	te code				
Walls	1	2	SWAD 3	4	10	5	Ne 6	v Nub	8	9
Exterior	11	5	32	30	13	68	2	1	4	:
Interior	11	14	64	55	30	30	39	2	15	145
None	27	52	4	99	148	98	155	7	173	1
Exterior and Interior	136	151	23	. 0	o	0	o	185	7	50
No information	7	2	0	26	0	2	3	5	2	. 1
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE III

TABLE IV

Number of Houses with Staircase, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

			. St	udy si	te code	4			
1	2	swan 3	4	10	5	6	ew Nu 7	bia B	9
27	15	14	0	14	3	o	2	2	0
4	6	2	2	68	o	1	1	0	11
141	203	106	178	109	194	197	193	198	137
on 20	0	1	30	0	1	4	4	1	2
192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200
	1 27 4 141 .01 20 192	1 2 27 13 4 6 141 203 .01 20 0 192 224	1 2 3 27 13 14 4 6 2 141 203 106 001 20 0 1 192 224 123	. St ABWan 1 2 3 4 27 13 14 0 4 6 2 2 141 203 106 178 .0n 20 0 1 30 192 224 123 210	ABWAN 1 2 3 4 10 27 13 14 0 14 4 6 2 2 68 141 203 106 178 109 001 20 0 1 30 0 192 224 123 210 191	. Study site code 1 2 3 4 10 5 27 13 14 0 14 3 4 6 2 2 68 0 141 203 106 178 109 194 .on 20 0 1 30 0 1 192 224 123 210 191 198	ABWan Study site code 1 2 3 4 10 5 6 27 13 14 0 14 3 0 4 6 2 2 68 0 1 141 203 106 178 109 194 197 con 20 0 1 30 0 1 4 192 224 123 210 191 198 202	ABWan Study site code 1 2 3 4 10 5 6 7 27 13 14 0 14 3 0 2 4 6 2 2 68 0 1 1 141 203 106 178 109 194 197 193 con 20 0 1 30 0 1 4 4 192 224 123 210 191 198 202 200	ABWan Study site code Nubia 1 2 3 4 10 5 6 7 B 27 13 14 0 14 3 0 2 2 4 6 2 2 68 0 1 1 0 141 203 106 178 109 194 197 193 198 con 20 0 1 30 0 1 4 1 192 224 123 210 191 198 202 200 201

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE V

R	aw Co	ounts,	for	Aswar	and 1	New Nubi	A			
Roof			SWAD	St	udy s:	ite code	,	lew Eu	bis	
Material	1	5	3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Read and Mud	2	13	37	1	0	0	0	3	0	0
Wood, Reed and Mud	d 0	0	30	o	0	0	0	. 2	0	0
Concrete	15	?	1	2	2	188	190	173	191	192
Wood	4	9	1	8	2	5	1	1	3	2
Reed	S	14	3	5	183	3	3	0	2	0
Eud	128	164	50	171	4	1	4	0	0	0
Concrete and Wood	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	0	5
Concrete and Mud	2	1	0	0	с	0	o	1	0	р
Concrete, Wood and Reed	¹ , c	0	c	o	0	0	0	3	0	0
Wood and Reed	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
No information	38	10	1	23	0	1	3	7	5	5
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

Number of Houses by Type of Roof Material,

TABLE VI

Number of Houses by Condition of Roof, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

Boof		10		St	udy si	te code				
Condition	1	?	3	4	10	5	6	7	B	9
Fermeable	33	91	89	180	174	12	3	10	59	5
Non-permeable	55	132	52	6	15	185	192	184	4	192
No information	104	1	2	24	2	1	7	6	139	3
Total	192	224	125	210	191	195	202	200	201	200

TABLE VII

Number of Houses	by Storage loca	ation of Cooking
and Heating Fuel,	Raw Counts, fo	or Aswan and New Nubia

Storage of		A	SVAD	St	udy si	te code	N	ew Nu	bia	
Fuel Naterial	1	2	3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Roof	7	24	9	99	16	137	129	5	4	68
Stable	6	23	19	53	53	4	36	1	59	3
Storage Room	3	7	76	30	63	16	1	2	0	0
Yard	115	168	19	4	57	39	27	192	133	125
Roof and Storage Room	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	٥	0	0
No information	61	1	0	24	2	2	8	0	5	4
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

TABLE VIII

Number of Houses by Type of Floor Construction, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

Floor			0400	St	udy si	te code	N	ew Nu	his	
Construction	1	2	3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Earth	108	60	112	176	182	82	9	9	0	1
Concrete	42	2	0	4	7	111	11	1	80	184
Tile	14	12	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	11
Wood	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Earth and Concrete	6	56	0	0	o	0	171	175	115	0
Earth and Tile	2	42	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0
Earth and Wood	0	0	10	0	0	0	2	3	4	о
Earth, Concret Tile	e, 0	45	0	0	0	0	4	10	0	0
No Information	20	6	1	27	0	4	2	1	2	4
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

TABLE IX

Number of Houses by Number of Windows, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

2010				St	udy si	te code					
Number of Windows	1	2	swan 3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9	
1	16	22	0	20	55	15	11	25	7	0	
2	16	36	15	21	56	43	26	58	73	68	
3	20	29	3	7	26	52	66	37	24	126	
4	17	25	33	7	24	32	36	38	24	3	
5	8	16	18	1	1	12	3	7	3	0	
e	4	21	29	3	2	19	17	17	15	0	
7	1	3	1	ò	1	12	31	11	25	0	
8	1	9	9	0	2	6	2	4	22	0	
9	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	4	0	
10 or more	4	5	1	1	2	3	0	1	4	0	
No informatio	on102	58	14	150	22	3	9	2	0	3	
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200	

TABLE X

Number of Eouses by Type of Lighting, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

	Study site coue						27	ew Nu	bia	
Lighting	1	2	swan 3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Electricity	90	179	55	85	27	77	79	21	108	113
Kerosene	90	44	52	99	164	118	120	131	93	83
Other	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	1
Electricity and Kerosene	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	43	0	0
Kerosene and Other	0	o	7	0	0	0	o	1	0	0
No information	12	0	.0	25	0	3	3	1	0	3
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

				St	udy si	te code				
Television	1	2	3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Present	9	36	1	6	5	5	3	2	40	12
Not Present	154	185	121	159	186	189	186	178	159	182
No informatio	on 29	3	1	45	0	3	13	20	2	6
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

TABLE XI

APPENDIY IV.7

TABLE XII

Number			wen	Sti	udy sit	e code	N	aw Nul	his	
of Rooms	1	5	3	- 4	10	5	6	7	8	9
1	17	11	0	0	48	14	11	26	4	c
2	62	43	6	13	57	44	26	60	77	67
3	53	42	4	21	41	53	92	37	28	127
4	20	39	18	53	22	64	65	61	52	3
5	11	29	16	45	7	18	3	14	34	c
6	8	25	36	20	3	1	0	0	2	c
7	3	14	9	20	3	1	0	1	2	c
B or Lore	7	19	34	15	10	2	0	1	2	1
No information	11	2	0	23	0	1	5	0	0	2

Number of Houses by Number of Rooms in House, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubin

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE XIII

1

Number of Houses by Number of Occupants, Raw Coults, for Aswan and New Nubia

Number of				Etudy site code			Ne	Yew Nubia			
Number of Occupants	1	2	3 Swan	4	10	5	6	7	8	9	
1	8	9	3	29	23	16	16	24	87	33	
2	16.	11	4	28	26	15	27	30	52	41	
3	24	15	8	29	34	23	19	30	23	18	
4	32	32	9	36	22	26	30	22	15	26	
5	39	46	13	27	19	33	32	25	12	20	
6	19	19	16	19	15	29	22	21	5	15	
7	18	20	10	11	13	18	16	19	2	13	
8	8	30	11	5	12	13	19	в	1	8	
9	2	17	8	2	8	8	8	6	2	11	
10 or more	4	24	33	1	17	11	10	9	2	12	
No informatio	n 22	1	8	23	2	6	3	6	0	3	
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200	

TABLE XIV

Number of Houses by presence of Stable, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

				Sti	udy sit	e code	N	ew Nu	bia	-
Stable	1	2	3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Inside	114	178	81	149	64	183	146	191	185	197
Outside	20	6	27	21	52	2	21	3	4	c
None	40	34	14	15	73	9	6	5	12	2
No information	18	6	1	25	2	4	29	:1	υ	1
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

Waste			SWAD	St	tudy s:	ite code				
Container	1	2	3	4	10	5	6	Tew Nu	IDIA 8	9
Present	9	199	3	72	49	7	184	161	0	34
None	79	25	120	112	140	182	13	37	199	165
No informat:	ion104	0	0	26	2	9	5	2	2	1
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

TABLE XV

APPENDIX IV.2

Ni D:	ispo	r of 1 sal, 1	Houses Raw Co	by lounts	Locati for	on of An Aswan an	imal d New	Wast: Nub:	e La	
Animal Waste			Aswan	St	tudy s	ite code	1	iew Ni	bia	
Material	1	2	3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Stable	4	56	25	14	16	3	5	5	1	9
Yard	9	7	27	6	67	3	0	1	0	2
Street	94	33	66	169	23	187	0	189	20	0
Canal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	176	1
Roof	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.	7
None	29	127	5	0	82	0	193	3	3	178
No information	56	1	0	21	2	5	4	1	1	3
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

TABLE XVI

145

.

TABLE XVII

APPENDIX IV.2

Number of Houses by Type of cook fuel employed, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

				Sti	udy sit	e code	N			
Cooking Fuel	1	5	swan 3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Wood and Dung	1	1	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oil, Wood, and Dung	0	0	30	1	0	o	0	5	· 0	0
Gas	3	16	0	0	0	4	1	0	111	11
011	142	39	19	153	145	184	2	37	10	1.08
Wood	4	2	4	27	42	5	0	1	0	0
Dung	4	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	0
Gas and Oil	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	0
Gas and Wood	1	5	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Gas and Dung	0	54	3	0	0	0	10	0	57	0
Gas. Oil.Wood	5	3	20	0	0	1	1	151	0	0
No Informatio	n 31	102	20	22	3	4	185	2	0	1
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

TABLE XVIII

Number of Houses by Frequency of Stable Cleaning, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

				St	udy sit	e code	N	ev Nu	bia		
Stable Cleaning	1	2	swan 3	4	0	5	6	7	8	9	_
Daily	108	167	8	56	2	189	6	192	196	193	
Weekly	36	27	68	69	100	2	7	2	2	5	
Monthly	2	0	35	61	20	0	168	2	0	0	
Never	3	1	1	1	12	0	14	0	0	0	
No informati	on 43	29	11	23	57	7	. 2	4	3	2	
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200	_

APPENDIX	IV.2	TABLE	XIX

Number of Houses by Type of Approach to Houses, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

				St	udy si	te code					
House			SWAL				N	ew Nu	bia		
Approach	1	2	3	4	10	>	6	7	8	9	_
Non-Earth:											
Clean	11	218	1	48	90	187	1	U	200	2	
Littered	4	0	0	42	33	3	3	0	0	0	
Dry	170	0	10	98	64	2	0	0	0	0	
Wet	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Earth:								14			
Clean	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Littered	0	1	0	0	0	0	93	99	0	0	
Dry	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	.0	0	0	
Wet	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
No information	6	4	112	21	3	6	102	101	1	197	
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200	
											1

TABLE XX

Number of Houses by Ownership, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

				St	udy si	te code				
		A	SWAD				N	ew Nu	bia	
Ownership	1	2	3	4	10	. 5	6	7	8	9
Own	77	27	7	8	9	31	1	1	0	3
Rent	113	196	106	181	177	95	16	8	200	136
No informatio	2 20	1	10	21	5	72	185	191	1	61
Total	192	224	123	210	191	198	202	200	201	200

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE XXI

Number of	Houses	by Tyr	e of	Water	Storage	
Container	Raw C	ounts,	Fer	ABWAD	and New R	ubie

			10.0		Study	site cod	le ,			
Vater Storage	1	2	Aswes 3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Metal	0	2	1	10	0	0	0	0	1	3
Earthenware	20	149	120	170	190	192	196	196	192	195
Ceramic	0	45	0	6	0	0	0	0	S	0
Sther	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Metal and Ceramic	0	11	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
Metal and Larthenware	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Ceramic and Earthenware	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
No information	1	1	1	24	1	7	3	0	4	2
Total	22	223	122	210	191	199	199	200	200	200

TABLE XXII

Number of Houses by Type of Wastewater Drainage, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

				S	tudy s:	ite cod	e 17	aur Nut	hie		
Wastewater Drainage	1	2	Aswal 3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9	
Concrete	1	6	0	2	0	110	8	24	4	5	
Pipe	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	
Brick	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	٥	0	
Title	0	0	1	1	0	72	0	1	0	4	
Earth	0	209	84	176	190	9	188	173	0	1	
Other	6	2	30	3	0	0	0	0	189	186	
No information	15	6	5	25	0	8	3	1	6	3	
Total	22	223	123	210	190	199	199	200	200	200	

APPENDIX IV.2 TABLE XXIII

Number of Houses by Fresence of Latrine, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

			Aswan	S	tudy	site	cod	ie .	iew Nu	bia	- 23	
Latrice	1	2	3	4	10		5	• 6	?	. 8	9	
Present	5	201	15	48	96		186	192	196	195	199	
Not Present	2	7	89	73	94		4	4	3	1	0	
No information	15	15	19	89	0		9	3	1	4	1	
Total	22	223	123	210	190		199	199	200	200	200	

TABLE XXIV

Number of Houses by Latrine Use, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Yubia

				S	tudy si	te cod	e			
Latrine Use	1	2	ASWAI 3	4	10	5	6	ew Nu 7	8	9
Yes	2	200	15	49	95	187	191	196	187	199
No	1	5	106	68	95	3	5	2	9	0
No information	19	18	2	93	0	9	3	2	4	1
Total	22	223	123	210	190	199	199	200	200	200

APPENDIX IV.2 TA

TABLE XXV

Number of Houses by Presence of a Cover for the Latrine, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

Letrine			Asuan	S	tudy	site c	ode	N	ew Nu	bia		
Cover	1	2	3	4	10		5	E	7	8	9	_
Present	0	196	15	43	95	11	5 1	92	194	24	199	
Not Present	1	7	106	69	95	7	6	4	4	168	0	-
No information	21	20	2	98	0		8	3	2	8	1	
Total	22	223	123	210	190	19	9 1	99	200	200	500	

TABLE XXVI

Number of Houses by Type of Latrine, Raw Counts, for Aswan and New Nubia

The ot			Lavan	S	tudy	site cod	e N	ew Mu	hie		
Latrine	1	2	3	4	10	5	6	7	8	9	_
Bore hole	0	11	0	4	1	4	о	1	3	2	
Pit	1	168	7	46	0	7	5	1	174	197	
Pit with Masonry Lining	0	21	9	1	94	176	187	191	1	o	
No information	21	23	107	159	95	12	7	7	22	1	
Total	22	223	123	210	190	199	199	200	200	200	

TABLE XXVII

Number of Houses by Location of Letrine, Raw Counts, for Aswen and New Nubla

				S	tudy	site cod	e N	ew Nu	hie	
Letrine Location	1	2	ASWED	4	10	5	6	7	8	9
Inside	3	177	7	19	17	191	190	191	196	198
Outside	0	23	2	22	1	0	1	3	4	0
Stable	0	1	0	0	65	0	1	0	0	0
Nc information	19	22	114	169	107	8	7	6	0	2
Total	22	223	123	210	190	199	199	200	200	200

