LAW OFFICES
J. P. HUNTINGTON
NORWICH, CONNECTICUT
4 BROADWAY

Feb. 6, 1918.

Major Murray Warner,
Officer in Charge of Utilities,
c/o Construction Quartermaster,
Camp Dix, Wrightstown, N. J.

Dear Major Warner,-

I have gone over with Dewolf and his counsel your claim of his excessive charge for services and they take the position that he will make no refund except after judgment, relying apparently on their keen appreciation of the fact thatyour claim cannot be effectively tried out except by you being present to testify. I do not, of course, feel warranted in starting suit without your express direction nor without assurance that you can be on hand for its trial.

Dewolf denies that he cultivated more than a small garden or that the charges in his accounts for repairs cover his own labor or anything but disbursments. Neighbors, however, tell me that he had quite a field of corn west of the road five years ago and cultivated on the east side the next year.

His charge appears to be reduced and your revenue increased \$18 over the account rendered you for it seems that the purchaser did not knew notify the tenants of the change of title, promptly, when it occurred on Nov. 30 and DeWolf collected the December rents in advance. He turned over to me nine months' rents saying that that was all he had collected and, as his memo. indicated that his last previous account to you was Jan. 20, it looked more like a deficiency than an excess.

In view of the purchaser's laches in giving notice to the tenants and the fact that the attorney for the buyer has also acted for Dewolf from a considerably earlier period it did not strike me as incumbent on you to repay this and I have insisted on his looking to Dewolf for it, which at last report there was prospect of his doing successfully.

Awaiting such further instructions as you may wish to give, Iam,

Very truly yours,

Huntingoz

LAW OFNIONE ..... A HEOLEGE SECTION .....

Feb. 6, 1918.

Major Morray Warner,
Officer in Charge of Utilities,
o/o construction quartermaster,
Oamp Bix, Wrightstown, N. J.

Dear Major Warner .-

counsel your claim of his excessive charge for services and they take the position that he will make no refund except after judgment, relying apparently on their keen appreciation of the fact thatyour claim cannot be effectively tried out except by you being present to testify. I do not, of course, feel warranted in starting suit without your express direction nor without assurance that you can be on hand for its trial.

pewolf denies that he oultivated more than a small garden or that the charged in his accounts for repairs cover his own labor or anything but disbursments. Neighbors, however, tell me that he had quite a field of corn west of the road five years ago and multivated on the east side that had year.

His charge appears to be reduced and your revenue increased \$18 over the account rendered you for it seems that the purchaser did not true notify the tenants of the change of title, promptly, when it occurred on Nov. 30 and Dewolf collected the December rents in advance. He turned over to me nine months rents saying that that was all he had collected and, as his memo. indicated that his last previous account to you was Jan. 20, it looked more like a deficiency than an excess.

In view of the purchaser's laches in giving notice to the the buyer to the tenants and the fact that the attorney for the buyer has also acted for Dewolf from a considerably earlier period it did not strike me as incumbent on you to repay this and I have insisted on his looking to Dewolf for it, which at last report there was prospect of his doing successfully.

Awaiting such further instructions as you may wish to give, lam, very truly yours,

Mulinger.